

On Isolated and Non-Isolated Subsemigroups of Full Transformation Semigroup

A.O. Adeniji

Abstract—Some properties of isolated and non-isolated subsemigroups are studied in this work.

Index Terms—Ideals, Isolated and Non-Isolated subsemigroups.

MSC 2010 Codes – 20M15, 20M20

I. INTRODUCTION

A SUBSEMIGROUP T of a semigroup S as a completely isolated subsemigroup if $x.y \in T$ implies that $x \in T$ or $y \in T$ for all $x, y \in S$. Also a subsemigroup T of a semigroup S is called isolated provided that $x^n \in T$ implies that $x \in T$ for all $x \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [1].

A completely non - isolated subsemigroup T' is defined for all $x, y \in T'$, $x.y \in S$. In the same vein, a non - isolated subsemigroup T' is defined if for $a \in T'$, $a^n \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [2].

A subsemigroup I of a semigroup S forms a right ideal if whenever $i \in I$ and $s \in S$, $is \in I$ and analogously, I is a left ideal if $si \in I$. If I is both a left and a right ideal, then it is an ideal [1].

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ be a natural ordering of numbers and $\alpha : \text{Dom}(\alpha) \subseteq X \mapsto \text{Im}(\alpha) \subset X$. The right[left] waist of α is $W^+(\alpha) = \max(\text{Im}\alpha)$ [$W^-(\alpha) = \min(\text{Im}\alpha)$] as defined by Umar[3].

Hence identity difference transformation is defined given that

$$|W^+(\alpha) - W^-(\alpha)| \leq 1, \quad | \cdot | \text{ stands for modulus.}$$

Generally speaking, identity difference full transformation semigroup (IDT_n) is non - invertible in nature for $n \geq 3$. Lemma 2.1 is necessary to show that T is non - commutative while Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 showed that T is isolated and completely isolated respectively. T is a left-sided ideal by Proposition 2.4. The cardinality of T' is derived in Theorem 2.6 while by lemma 2.5, T' is non-commutative and non-isolated. For the purpose of this study, $T = IDT_n$, $S = T_n$ and $T' = \widehat{IDT}_n$.

T and T' are both disjoint subsets of T_n where T is isolated and identity free for $n \leq 2$ while T' contains the identity element, symmetric group, S_n for $n \geq 3$ and is non - isolated. The symmetric group satisfies the property that $a^k = i$ where $a \in S_n$, i is the identity element $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is also known that the largest value a can attain is n , that is $\max(k) = n$.

A.O. Adeniji is a lecturer in the Department of Mathematics, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria. (E-mail: adeniji4love@yahoo.com).

II. IDENTITY DIFFERENCE FULL TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUP AND IT'S COMPLEMENT

Lemma 2.1: T is a non - commutative semigroup.

Proof: Associativity of composition of mappings necessarily leads to the idea of semigroup as follow:

Let $\alpha : W \mapsto X$, $\beta : X \mapsto Y$ and $\eta : Y \mapsto Z$ for all $w \in W$, $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$. Taking that $\alpha(w) = x$, $\beta(x) = y$ and $\eta(y) = z$.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha\beta = y \text{ and } \beta\eta = z \dots (i).$$

Since,

$$\beta\alpha = x \text{ and } \eta\beta = y \dots (ii)$$

then from (i) and (ii), T is non - commutative.

$$\text{But } \alpha(\beta\eta) = (\beta\alpha)\eta.$$

\Rightarrow Both $\alpha(\beta\eta)$ and $(\beta\alpha)\eta$ are defined equally.

Also, the closure property is defined by choosing arbitrary elements

$a, b, c, d \in T$ for composition of mappings as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ a & b & a & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ b & a & b & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ b & a & b & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence T is a semigroup noting that $\text{Im}(\alpha) = \{j, j+1\}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ for each $\alpha \in T$.

Lemma 2.2: T is an isolated subsemigroup.

Proof: From the definition of an isolated subsemigroup, let $x^n \in T$ implies that $x \in T$ for all $x \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For instance, take $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ b & a & a & b & b \end{pmatrix} \in T$, then

$$x^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ b & a & a & b & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ b & a & a & b & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ a & b & b & a & a \end{pmatrix} \in T;$$

$$x^3 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ a & b & b & a & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ b & a & a & b & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ b & a & a & b & b \end{pmatrix} \in T.$$

If $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ c & a & b & a & d \end{pmatrix} \in S$,

$$\text{then } x^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ c & a & b & a & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ c & a & b & a & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ b & c & a & b & a \end{pmatrix} \in S;$$

$$x^3 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ b & c & a & b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ c & a & b & a & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ a & b & c & b & c \end{pmatrix} \in S.$$

Thus continuing by iteration, $x^n \in T$ implies $x \in T \forall x \in S$.

The fact that T is an isolated subsemigroup of S makes it a completely isolated subsemigroup [2]. In the light of this, the following theorem is essential.

Theorem 2.3: T is a completely isolated subsemigroup of S .

Proof: T is shown to be non - commutative in lemma 2.1. From the definition of a completely isolated subsemigroup, it is seen that

$x.y \in T$ does not automatically imply that $y.x \in T$. That is, if $x.y \in T$ is true, $y.x \in T$ may or may not be true, $\forall x, y \in S$.

This leads to three possibilities:

- $x \in T, y \in T \Rightarrow x.y \in T$ and $y.x \in T \dots (i)$;
- $x \in T, y \in S \Rightarrow x.y \in S$ and $y.x \in T \dots (ii)$;
- $y \in T, x \in S \Rightarrow x.y \in T$ and $y.x \in S \dots (iii)$.

From (i) and (ii), $x.y \in T$ implies that $x \in T$ or $y \in T$. Also, $y.x \in T$ is true if only $x \in T$ from (i) and (ii). It is observed that in all, $x.y \neq y.x$ •

Proposition 2.4: Let $T \subset S$, then $TS \subset S$ and $ST \subset T$.

Proof: From the definition of ideals, let $x_i \in T, i = 1, 2, 3$ and $x_j \in S, j = 1, 2, \dots, 10$.

$\Rightarrow x_i \subset x_j$. By composition of mappings, $x_i x_j \subset x_j$ and $x_j x_i \subset x_i$ which means that $TS \subset S$ and $ST \subset T$. Conclusively, T is a left sided ideal•.

a) : It is vital to state that since $T \subset S$ is an isolated subsemigroup, then $\sqrt[n]{x} \in T$ for all $x \in T$. This is further explained, in that, if T is an isolated subsemigroup, then $x^n \in T$ on the condition that $x \in T$ for all $x \in S$. If $y \in T$ is such that $y^n = x, \Rightarrow y = \sqrt[n]{x} \in T, n \in N$.

b) : Let $T' = \overline{IDT}_n = S \setminus T$ denote the compliment of the completely isolated subsemigroup T . The following results emerged from the study of T' .

Lemma 2.5: T' is a non - commutative and non - isolated semigroup.

Proof: T' satisfies the condition $W^+(\alpha) - W^-(\alpha) > 1$. Following the proof in lemma 2.1, T' is a non - commutative semigroup.

From the definition of non - isolation, consider the following examples for arbitrary elements in T' .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \notin T'. -$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \notin T'.$$

Hence the result •

Lemma 2.5 established the fact that the compliment of a completely isolated subsemigroup may not be completely isolated.

Theorem 2.6: T' contains $n^n + n - 2^n(n - 1) - 2$ elements.

Proof: T_n consists of bijection and singular mappings of which T' is a subset. In T_n , each map has the whole set $n \in N$ of natural numbers as the domain while the image, $Im(\alpha) \subseteq N$ and each is distinctly defined.

Following the rule of full transformation where each element in the domain maps $i = 1, \forall n$, which makes the length of image of the first element to be 1. Starting with the image of the right-most nth element in the domain i changes in this manner, $1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 4 \dots \mapsto n$ until the last element is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n \\ n & n & n & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The cardinality of T_n was obtained to be n^n and the cardinality of T is $n + (n - 1)(2^n - 2)$ [1]. Since $T' = S \setminus T$, $\Rightarrow n^n - [(n + (n - 1)(2^n - 2))] = n^n + n - 2^n(n - 1) - 2$ •

Lemma 2.7: T is an identity - free semigroup

Proof: Unlike T' , T is identity - free for $n \geq 2$ since $Im(\alpha) = \{j, j + 1\}$, for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ •

III. CONCLUSION

It was shown in this work, that the compliment of a completely isolated subsemigroup may not be completely isolated. Also, the cardinality of

$$T' = n^n + n - 2^n(n - 1) - 2.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Ganyushkin and V. Mazorchuk, "Introduction to classical finite transformation semigroups", Springer - Verlag London, 2009.
- [2] A. O. Adeniji and S. O. Makanjuola, "Ideals and elements in identity difference full transformation semigroup", *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol.21, no. 3, pp. 323 - 329, 2010.
- [3] A. Umar, (2010), "Some combinatorial problems in the theory of symmetric inverse semigroups", *Algebra and Discrete Math.* vol. 9, pp. 115 - 126, 2010.