

09.10.2023

From

Dr.V.Srinivasa Rao

Dean - School of Computing

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology

Avadi, Chennai.

To

Dean - Quality Assurance

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology


Avadi, Chennai.

Respected Sir,

Sub: Inferential Statistics for the Interim Feedback Report (Summer Semester of 2023-24) of
Department of Computer Science and Engineering - reg.

The analysis of the Interim Feedback report for the Summer semester of 2023-24 has been done and all the statistics has been evaluated and noted with the below observations.

- The overall feedback for all the courses is good.
- Parameter wise overall feedback is analyzed and found to be good.
- All the faculties have secured more than 3.8 points out of 5.
- Individual parameters are analyzed and observed.
- Students have scored less than 3 points for the rating of 5.



Dr. Arshad Dhar M.S.
Head of the Department
Computer Science and Engineering

Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology



Dean - SoC
Dr. V. Srinivasa Rao
Dean, School of Computing

Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R & D Institute of Science and Technology
(Institution to be Substantially Self-Learning and Self-Improving)



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Affiliated to the University of Delhi, New Delhi, India

School of Computing

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year : 2023 - 24 / Summer Semester

Interim Feedback - Parameter wise Average Feedback

Ques. No	Description	Average Feedback (Out of 5)
1	I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom.	4.6
2	The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand.	4.59
3	Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.	4.59
4	The Teacher took extra care for slow learners.	4.52
5	The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning.	4.58
6	I could access required materials easily for my learning activities.	4.58
7	I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course.	4.58
8	The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class.	4.6
9	The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams.	4.62
10	The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6
11	The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Unit / Mid-term tests to the students.	4.62
12	The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course.	4.62

M. S. Jeyaraj
For
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Affiliated to the University of Delhi, New Delhi, India

Dr. M. S. Jeyaraj
Dean / SoC
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Affiliated to the University of Delhi, New Delhi, India



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Suganthala
RSD Institute of Science and Technology
(Approved by Council for Technical Education, Tamil Nadu, 1992)

SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

VTU R21 B.TECH – ECE


Summer 2023-24

The Interim feedback was taken for Summer semester 2023-24 and out of 227 feedbacks received for various courses including theory and laboratory, about 4 faculty members for 4 courses received feedback less than 4.

The action plan was taken by counselling the faculty members by the head of the department and the feedback analysis and action plan were recorded.

The inferential analysis of the department and individual faculty analysis who scored less than 4 are attached herewith.

Inferential Interim feedback Analysis Summer 2023-24




HOD/ECE

Dr. A. Sathish Pragasam
Head of the Department
Electronics & Communication Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthala
RSD Institute of Science and Technology
(Approved by Council for Technical Education, Tamil Nadu, 1992)

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication


DEAN SOEC
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthala
RSD Institute of Science and Technology
(Approved by Council for Technical Education, Tamil Nadu, 1992)



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING**

Interim Feedback Report - Summer Semester - AY 2023-24

The report summarizes interim feedback from students across various courses in the Summer Semester AY 2023-24, focusing on course quality, instructor effectiveness, and learning resources. Feedback was obtained from the students on 5 points scale.

Data Summary:

No. of Courses: 37

Total Respondents: 207

Response Summary

Course category	Course type	Feedback Score	
		Min.	Max.
Program core	Theory	4.6	5.0
	Laboratory	4.6	4.9
Program Elective	Theory	4.5	5.0
Open Elective	Theory	4.5	4.8

Feedback Analysis by Score Range

Score range	Interpretation
≥ 4.5 but ≤ 5.0	Excellent
≥ 4.0 but < 4.5	Good

1. Courses with Feedback Score ≥ 4.5 but ≤ 5.0 (Excellent)

1152EE132 - Utilization of Electrical Energy	10211EE113 - Electronic Circuits
1152EE112 - Flexible AC Transmission Systems	10212EE121 - Power Quality Engineering
10213EE131 - Charging Station	10217GE901 - Engineers and Society
10213EE133 - Electric Propulsion System and Control	10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship
1151EE111 - Power System Operation Control	10217GE901 - Engineers and Society
1151EE112 - Electrical Machine Design	1153EE110 - Power Supply Quality

1151EE309 - Power System Simulation Lab	10212EE105 - Computer Networks and Communication
10211EE107 - Transmission and Distribution	10211EE101 - Circuits Analysis
10211EE108 - Power Electronics	10211EE102 - DC Machines and Transformers
10211EE112 - Microprocessor and Microcontrollers	10211EE201 - Electromagnetic Fields
10211EE305 - Microprocessor and Microcontrollers Laboratory	10211EE301 - Circuits and Devices Laboratory
10211EE307 - Power Electronics Laboratory	10211EE302 - DC Machines and Transformers Laboratory
10215EE901 - Wind Energy Technology for Electrical Engineers	10212EE124 - Power Plant Engineering
10212EE102 - Operating Systems	10212EE154 - Renewable Energy Sources
10212EE105 - Computer Networks and Communication	10210ME103- Innovation and Entrepreneurship

Inference:

Students consistently reported very high levels of satisfaction for the above list of courses. The high score reflects the effectiveness and quality of this aspect of the course. This strong result suggests that students' needs and expectations are being met.

2. Courses with Feedback Score ≥ 4.0 but < 4.5 (Good)

10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship

Inference:

Students rated the above list of courses positively, with some suggestions for further refinement.

Remedial Actions for Adequate Feedback Score Interpretation

- Provide clearer lecture outlines or hand-outs to reinforce main ideas.
- Supplement with additional materials (e.g., video tutorials, online resources) to cater to diverse learning preferences.
- Increase interactive elements during class, such as group discussions, polls, or collaborative activities.
- Explore new teaching tools to foster engagement in online or hybrid settings.

Department Level Recommendations

- Encourage the faculty to share their best practices at departmental workshops or through informal mentorship.

- Provide professional development workshops focused on interactive teaching methods and effective use of learning resources.
- Set up regular feedback loops to help faculty make timely adjustments.

In conclusion, the feedback analysis highlights areas of excellence and opportunities for growth. Targeted actions will ensure continued enhancement of teaching quality, alignment with students' learning needs, and strengthened engagement. This reflective approach fosters a culture of continuous improvement and supports faculty in delivering impactful learning experiences.



Dr. S. Ramesh
Head of the Department
Electrical and Electronic Engineering
Vel Tech
Rangarajulu Dr. Sagayadasa
Rapid Institute of Science and Technology
Rangarajulu, Chennai - 605 006



FRESHMAN ENGINEERING

SoEC – ECE, EEE

Summer Semester 2023–24

INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT

In the Academic year 2023 – 24 Summer Semester, One Foundation Course was offered for the first year First Semester B. Tech students as per R-21 Regulations.

1. 10210EE201 – Basic Electrical, Electronics and Measurement Engineering offered to the students of SoC

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective sections, the feedback Statistical Analysis has been made and the following report is generated,

Sl. No.	Course Code & Name	Average Score
1	10210EE201 – Basic Electrical, Electronics and Measurement Engineering	4.36

Statistical Analysis Findings:

- The highest feedback score recorded was 4.8, while the lowest was 3.8.
- Most courses received feedback scores ranging between 4.1 and 4.6, with only one or two sections falling outside this range.
- Students expressed the need for better clarity in explaining complex concepts during regular classes.
- Students indicated a preference for more interactive and application-oriented activities during interim stages of the course.
- A significant number of students expected timely feedback on assessments, assignments, and classroom activities.

- Students highlighted the importance of regular doubt-clearing opportunities to help them stay aligned with the course outcomes.

Recommended Actions:

- Encourage faculty to periodically review difficult concepts and provide simplified explanations during interim phases.
- Introduce short interactive activities such as quizzes, case discussions, or think-pair-share exercises to sustain student engagement.
- Provide timely and constructive feedback on internal assessments and assignments to help students track their progress.
- Schedule regular doubt-clearing sessions or allocate dedicated time during classes for addressing student queries.
- Monitor interim feedback closely and take corrective measures early to enhance overall learning effectiveness.



FME-SoEC Coordinator



Dean-FME



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. in v. of UGC Act, 1956)

SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION

DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK AND ACTION TAKEN

SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-2024

S.No.	Course Code	Course Name	Faculty	Feedback Score
1	10211BT101	Microbiology	Dr.V.R.Manoj	4.3
2	10211BT108	Principles of Chemical Engineering	Dr.J.S.S.Allwin Ebenezer	4.3
3	10211BT102	Cell Biology	Ms.R.Sai Nandhini	4.6
4	10211BT301	Microbiology Lab (Batch I)	Dr.S.Chandramohan/ Dr. K. Tarangini	4.7
5	10211BT301	Microbiology Lab (Batch II)	Dr. Prasannamedhe. G/ Dr. K. Tarangini	4.8
6	10211BT303	Cell Biology Lab (Batch I)	Ms.R.Sai Nandhini/ Dr. S. Mugesh	4.5
7	10211BT303	Cell Biology Lab (Batch II)	Dr. Prasannamedhe. G/ Dr. S. Mugesh	4.8
8	10211BT302	Biochemistry Lab (Batch I)	Ms.V.Sugumari/ Dr. Jagajjanani Rao	4.3
9	10211BT302	Biochemistry Lab (Batch II)	Dr.R. Achsah/ Dr. Jagajjanani Rao	4.6
10	10211BT105	Genetic Engineering	Ms.R.Sai Nandhini	4.8
11	10211BT109	Advanced Biochemistry	Ms.V.Sugumari	4.4
12	10211BT110	Bioprocess Engineering	Dr.P.Azhagu Saravana Babu	4.8
13	10211BT115	Analytical and Instrumentation Engineering	Dr. Prasannamedhe. G	4.8
14	10211BT305	Bioprocess Engineering Lab (Batch I)	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar/ Dr. R. Ravikumar	4.7
15	10211BT305	Bioprocess Engineering Lab (Batch II)	Dr.S.Senthil Kumar/ Dr. R. Ravikumar	4.6
16	10211BT201	Computational Biology: Techniques and Applications	Dr.S.Senthil Kumar	4.7


Dr. P. Azhagu Saravana Babu
Head of Department
Vel Tech


Dr. Valarmathi R.S.
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. in v. of UGC Act, 1956)

17	10212BT131	Principles of Functional Food and Applications	Ms.V.Sugumari	4.5
18	10212BT106	Nanobiotechnology and application	Dr.S.Chandramohan	4.5
19	10212BT141	Biochemical Engineering	Ms.R.Sai Nandhini	5
20	10212BT142	Omics Technologies	Ms.V.Sugumari	5
21	10213BT103	Biomaterials Engineering	Dr.S.Chandramohan	4.4


HOD
 Head of Department
 Biotechnology
Vel Tech
 Engineering College
 Anna University, Chennai
 Vel Tech Engineering College, Anna University, Chennai


DEAN
 Dr. V. Ananthi R.S
 Dean - School of Technical & Communication
Vel Tech
 Engineering College
 Anna University, Chennai
 Vel Tech Engineering College, Anna University, Chennai

Faculty ID

TTS2285

School

SOEC

Course Code

10211BT102

Slot

S1

No. of Students Registered

65

Faculty Name

Sai Nandham R

Department

Biotechnology

Course Name

Cell Biology

Course Category/Type

Program Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded

51

Faculty Score

4.6

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.7	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator
Malek Coordinators


Head of Course
Dr. P. Arun Saravanan Babu
Rajkumar Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Technology


Dean
Dr. Valarmathi, R.S.
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore - 620 015

Faculty ID : TTS3533

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10211BT108

Slot : S1

No. of Students Registered : 65

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded : 51

Dr. Allwin Ebinesar J.S.S

Biotechnology

Principles of Chemical Engineering

Program Core/Theory

Faculty Score : 4.3

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

S. Arulselvi
Course Instructor

S. Arulselvi
Course Coordinator
Madhule Coordinator

Dr. P. Aravind Saravanan Babu

Head of the Department

Dr. P. Aravind Saravanan Babu
Head of the Department

Faculty ID : TTS3607
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10211BT301
Slot : SI
No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name : Dr. Prasanamedha G
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Microbiology Laboratory
Course Category/Type : Program Core Laboratory
No. of Students Responded : 28

Faculty Score : 4.8

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand.	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.7	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation

Prasanamedha G
Course Instructor

Prasanamedha G
Course Coordinator
Module coordinators

Dr. P. Prasanamedha G
Head of Course Offering Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Nagamathal
Institute of Science and Technology
Autonomous Institute for Higher Education



Vel Tech
Rajaguru Dr. Srinivasulu
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022

Faculty ID

: TTS3515

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10211BT302

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 32

Faculty Name

: Dr. Achsah R.S

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biochemistry Laboratory

Course Category/Type

: Program Core/Laboratory

No. of Students Responded

: 28

Faculty Score

: 4.6

Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Ashwin Karanappa Babu
Principal, SOEC

Head of Course offering Dept
Rajaguru Dr. Srinivasulu
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
Dean, School of Chemical & Communication

Rajaguru Dr. Srinivasulu
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sampathkumar
School of Engineering & Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022

Faculty ID

TTS3607

School

SOEC

Course Code

10211BT303

Slot

- SI

No. of Students Registered

- 33

Faculty Name

: Dr. Prasanna Medha. G

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Cell Biology Laboratory

Course Category/Type

: Program Core/Laboratory

No. of Students Responded

: 28

Faculty Score

: 4.8

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description		Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.9	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation

C. Prasanna Medha
Course Instructor

C. Prasanna Medha
Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Ashagu Saranya Babu

Head of the Department

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sampathkumar
School of Engineering & Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022

HoD of Course offering Dept

Dr. V. Varmathi, R.S

Dean

Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sampathkumar

School of Engineering & Technology

Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthan
Vellore Institute of Technology



Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID : TTS2285

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10211BT105

Slot : S2

No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name : Sai Nandhini R

Department : Biotechnology

Course Name : Genetic Engineering

Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded : 39

Faculty Score : 4.8

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

Sai Nandhini R
Course Instructor

Sai Nandhini R
Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Ashagu Sargana Babu
Head of the Department
HoD of Course-offering Dept
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthan
Vellore Institute of Technology
Approved by the Institute Council on 15.05.2023

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
Head of School of Electrical & Communication
Dean Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthan
Vellore Institute of Technology
Approved by the Institute Council on 15.05.2023



Faculty ID

: TTS3294

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10211BT109

Slot

: S2

No. of Students Registered

: 48

Faculty Name

: Sugumar V

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Advanced Biochemistry

Course Category/Type

: Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 39

Faculty Score

: 4.4

Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. V. Subashini Saravanan
 HoD of Core offering Dept
 Biotechnology (B.T. Subcellular)
 RAS Institute of Science and Technology
 (Autonomous Institute) - 600 044, Chennai

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
 Dean Vel Tech
 RAS Institute of Science and Technology
 (Autonomous Institute) - 600 044, Chennai



Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID

: TTS2869

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10211BT110

Slot

: S2

No. of Students Registered

: 48

Faculty Name

: Dr. Azhagu Saravana Babu.P

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Bioprocess Engineering

Course Category/Type

: Program Core Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 39

Faculty Score

: 4.8

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator


Dr. P. Azhagu Saravana Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology
HoD of Course-offering Dept
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sengottaiyan
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu 620 022


Dr. Valarmathi R.S
Dean
Rangarajan Dr. Sengottaiyan
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu 620 022

Faculty ID

ITS3607

Faculty Name

: Dr. Prasannametha. G

School

: SOEC

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Code

: 1021IBT115

Course Name

: Analytical and Instrumentation Engineering

Slot

: S2

Course Category/Type

: Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Registered

: 48

No. of Students Responded

: 39

Faculty Score

: 4.8

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation

A. Prasannametha
Course Instructor

A. Prasannametha
Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator

Dr. H. Ashagu Saravanan Babu
Head of Department
Vel Tech
Vellore Institute of Technology
Rajagopalapuram, Vellore - 620 015
Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Valarmathi. R.S
Dr. Valarmathi. R.S
Rajagopalapuram, Vellore - 620 015
Tamil Nadu, India

Faculty ID : 1153625
School : SOHC
Course Code : 10211BT201
Slot : S2
No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name : Dr. Senthil Kumar. S
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Computational Biology Techniques and Applications
Course Category/Type : Program Core/Integrated Course
No. of Students Responded : 39

Faculty Score : 4.7

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.7	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation



Course Instructor



Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Azhaghiyan Babu
Head of the Department
HoD of Course offering Dept
Vel Tech
Vellore Institute of Technology



Dean

Faculty ID : TTS3625
School : SOEC
Course Code : 1021HBT305
Slot : S2
No. of Students Registered : 25

Faculty Name : Dr. Senthil Kumar. S
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Bioprocess Engineering Laboratory
Course Category/Type : Program Core/Laboratory
No. of Students Responded : 20
Faculty Score : 4.6

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

Sgt
Course Instructor

Sgt
Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator

Sgt
HOD of Course offering Dept -
Biotechnology



Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID

: TTS3276

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10212BT106

Slot

: S2

No. of Students Registered

: 32

Faculty Name

: Dr.S.Chandra Mohan

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Nanobiotechnology and Application

Course Category/Type

: Programme Elective Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 27

Faculty Score

: 4.5

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator
Makul Coordinators


Dr. Azhagu Pragasam Babu
Head of Course offering Dept
HoD of Course offering Dept


Dr. Valarmathi. R.S.
Dean
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthini
All India Institute of Technology
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthini

Faculty ID : TTS3519

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10211BT305

Slot : S2

No. of Students Registered : 23

Faculty Name : Dr. Bishwar Karmakar

Department : Biotechnology

Course Name : Bioprocess Engineering Laboratory

Course Category/Type : Program Core/Laboratory

No. of Students Responded : 19 Faculty Score : 4.7

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

Bishwar Karmakar

Course Instructor

Bishwar Karmakar

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. Prashant Kumar Babu
HoD of Course offering Dept.

Faculty ID

1153276

School

SOEC

Course Code

10213BT103

Slot

S2

No. of Students Registered

61

Faculty Name

Dr. S. Chandra Mohan

Department

Biotechnology

Course Name

Biomaterials Engineering

Course Category/Type

Open Elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded

42

Faculty Score

4.4

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator


Dr. P. Arun Kumar Saravali Babu
Dean
HOD of Course offering Dept
Vellore Institute of Technology
School of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore - 620 015

Dean

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

2

5

✓

Course Instructor

✓✓

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Arinze

HOD of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valarmathi, A.S.
Associate Professor & Coordinator
Dean
Vel Tech
Rangarajam Dr. Sagayam
Muruganathan Engineering College
Chennai - 600 090, India



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthi
Institute of Science and Technology
Autonomous Campus for Women

Faculty ID

: TTS3276

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 1021IBT301

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 32

Faculty Name

: Dr.S.Chandra Mohan

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Microbiology Laboratory

Course Category/Type

: Program Core Laboratory

No. of Students Responded

: 23

Faculty Score

: 4.3

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / Ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator

HoD of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S.
Dean - School of Life and Environmental Sciences
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthi
Institute of Science and Technology
Autonomous Campus for Women



Vel Tech
 Vellore Institute of Technology
 Engineering, Technology, Innovation

Faculty ID

School

Course Code

Slot

No. of Students Registered

TTS3294

SOEC

10211BT302

S1

32

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

: Supumari V

: Biotechnology

: Biochemistry Laboratory

: Program Core/Laboratory

: 23

Faculty Score : 4.3

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator
Malek Coordinator

Head of Course offering Dept.
Dr. P. V. Subramanian

Vel Tech
 Vellore Institute of Technology
 Engineering, Technology, Innovation

Faculty ID : FTS2285

School : SOLC

Course Code : 10211BT303

Slot : S1

No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name :

Department :

Course Name :

Course Category/Type :

No. of Students Responded :

Sun Nandhini R

Biochemistry

Cell Biology Laboratory

Program Core/Laboratory

23

Faculty Score :

4.5

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Malale Coordinator

Faculty ID : TTS3515
School : SOEC
Course Code : 1154BT103
Slot : NA
No. of Students Registered : 31

Faculty Name :
Department :
Course Name :
Course Category/Type :
No. of Students Responded : 6

Dr. Achsah R.S.
Biotechnology
Engineering Advances In Food Preservation
Institute Elective/Theory : 4.9
Faculty Score : 4.9

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator


HoD of Course Offering Dept
JALOT Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by Institute Head on 14/07/2024


Dean
JALOT Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by Institute Head on 14/07/2024

Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester



Faculty ID

School

Course Code

Slot

No. of Students Registered

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

: Dr. Prasannamedha G

: Biotechnology

: Biology For Engineers

: Foundation/Theory

: 1

Faculty Score

: 5

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		5	Good, please continue to help them
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Prasannamedha G
Course Instructor

Dr. Prasannamedha G
Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Arun Kumar
HoD of Course offering Dept
Vel Tech
Rajaratnam Dr. Nageswara
HoD Institute of Science and Technology

Dr. Valarmathi R.S
Dean
Vel Tech
Rajaratnam Dr. Nageswara
HoD Institute of Science and Technology

Faculty ID : ITS2285

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10212BT141

Slot : S2

No. of Students Registered : 2

Faculty Name : Sai Nandhini R
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biochemical Engineering
Course Category/Type : Honors/Theory
No. of Students Responded : 2

Faculty Score : 5

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Sai
Course Instructor

Sai
Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Ashagu Saranya Babu
Head of the Department

Vel Tech
Rangaswamy Dr. Suganthidevi
Autonomous School of Engineering and Technology
Chennai - 600 062
HoD of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valarmathy H. S.
Dean - School of Engineering and Technology
Vel Tech
Rangaswamy Dr. Suganthidevi
Autonomous School of Engineering and Technology
Chennai - 600 062

Faculty ID : TTS3294

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10212B1142

Slot : S2

No. of Students Registered : 2

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded : 2

Suguman, V

Biotechnology

Omics Technologies

: Honors/Theory

: 2

Faculty Score

: 5

Suggestions for further improvement

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation



Course Instructor



Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Azhagu Saravanan Babu
Head of the Department



Head of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valarmathi, R. S
Dean, School of Biomedical Communication





Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. in 1984 Act, 1986

School of Electrical and Communication
Department of Biotechnology

INFERENTIAL STATISTICS

OF

INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-24

- 1) Program core theory courses, the average feedback score is more than 4 with a minimum value of 4.1 and the maximum value of 5.
- 2) Program core laboratory courses, the average feedback is varying from 4.1 to 5.
- 3) Program elective courses, the feedback is around 4.2 to 5
- 4) For Institute elective courses, the feedback value is 4.9.
- 5) There are 19 courses handled by faculty members in the summer semester 2023-24.
- 6) In general, overall feedback given by students to various courses offered by Biotechnology department is satisfied and GOOD.


Dr. P. Azhagu Saravana Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology


Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. in 1984 Act, 1986



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. 1984 Act of 1986

SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING
Inferential Statistical of Interim Feedback Report
Summer Semester
Academic Year 2023-2024

A statistical analysis has been conducted pertaining to the average feedback score attained by the faculty for their respective courses handled during the current semester. The Pie chart shows the average score attained by the subject handling faculty.





Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Estd. on 3rd Nov 1984, Act. 1986

The following inferences are gathered from the above statistics,

1. The highest score attained is 5 and the least score is 4.4
2. The courses 10211BM301 (Biochemistry and Physiology Lab), 10212BM304 (Essential Python Module for Machine Learning) and 10213BM301 (Biomedical Lab) which is Specialization Elective / Laboratory courses respectively received the least score of 4.4 feedback from the students are good.
3. The other courses in core and electives received the score between 4.5 to 5.

Measures to be Taken

As everybody has scored more than 4.1, there is no action taken report.

Tentative future plans to meet student requirements:

1. Incorporate more case studies related to the latest industry trends for making them industry ready
2. By taking these steps, the goal is to maintain high standards of teaching while addressing any potential areas for growth.


HOD/BME
Dr. D. Balasubramaniam
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Estd. on 3rd Nov 1984, Act. 1986



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Established by U.O. No. 1729)

**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY &
BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

**INTERIM FEEDBACK - INFERENTIAL STATISTICS REPORT –
FRESHMEN ENGINEERING**

SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-2024

The Interim Feedback Analysis for the Summer Semester 2023-24 was conducted to evaluate the quality of instruction and overall student satisfaction in the Foundation theory course - Biology for Engineers (Course Code: 10210BM101) offered by the Department of Biotechnology & Biomedical Engineering to Freshmen Engineering Students of various departments. The dataset comprises feedback scores from 15 course offerings, with ratings measured on a five-point scale.

The feedback scores range from a minimum of 4.0 to a maximum of 4.8, indicating consistently positive student perceptions. The average feedback score across all slots is approximately 4.35, demonstrating a strong overall teaching performance. Notably, 13 out of 15 course offerings (about 87%) achieved scores of 4.2 or above, underscoring uniform quality in course delivery and learner engagement.

The highest feedback score of 4.8 was secured in two course slots, delivered by Dr. Madeshwari E and Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K. These top scores indicate exceptional performance in areas such as clarity of instruction, engagement with students, effective teaching methodologies, and timely completion of syllabus components.

On the other end of the spectrum, the lowest feedback score recorded was 4.0, corresponding to course slots handled by Dr. Arunachalam P and Dr. Achsah R.S. Although these scores are comparatively lower, they still fall within the “good” performance range, suggesting acceptable levels of student satisfaction with scope for further pedagogical enhancement.

The narrow dispersion of scores, with a difference of only 0.8 points between the highest and lowest values, reflects consistency in instructional standards across departments and slots. Overall, the statistical evidence highlights a strong delivery satisfaction level among students, affirming the effectiveness of teaching practices and curriculum implementation for the course during the evaluated semester.


COURSE COORDINATOR


HOD

Dr. H. Balasubramaniam
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Established by U.O. No. 1729)


DEAN

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Established by U.O. No. 1729)



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. on 3rd of DEC, 1993

**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY &
BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

Consolidated Faculty Feedback Table (2023-24 Summer Semester)

S.No	Course Code	Course Name	Slot	Faculty	Feedback Score
1.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-F	Dr. Madeshwari E	4.8
2.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-E	Dr. Muthalakshmi M	4.2
3.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-O	Dr. Madeshwari E	4.7
4.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-B	Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K	4.8
5.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-D	Dr. Arunachalam P	4.0
6.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-H	Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K	4.4
7.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-M	Nirmala Nithya R	4.4
8.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-S	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.0
9.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-G	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.2
10.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-U	Nirmala Nithya R	4.5
11.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-Q	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.1
12.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-A	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.2
13.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-P	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.3
14.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-I	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.4
15.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-L	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.2

COURSE COORDINATOR

HOD

Dr. D. Balasubramaniam
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. on 3rd of DEC, 1993

DEAN

Dr. Valarmathi. R.S
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. on 3rd of DEC, 1993

Faculty ID : TTS2660
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoC-H
No. of Students Registered : 66

Faculty Name : Padmanabha Sarma. A.B.S.K
Department : Biomedical Engineering
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Responded : 49
Faculty Score : 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

A. B. S. K.
Course Instructor

A. B. S. K.
Course Coordinator

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering

Faculty ID

TESTS:

School

• SOEC

Course Code

10.1002/1097-4644

Slot

3-Jos.

No. of Students Registered

66

Faculty Name _____

; Dr. Madeshwari E

Department

: Biomedical Engineering

Course Name:

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation course THEORY

No. of Students Responded

7.

Faculty Score

20

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.9	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.9	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

Shyaden
Course Instructor


Course Coordinator

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

HOD of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valeriy
Ph.D. Student, Georgia Tech

Faculty ID

: TTS3681

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoC-O

No. of Students Registered

: 60

Faculty Name

: Dr. Madheshwari E

Department

: Biomedical Engineering

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation course/THEORY

No. of Students Responded

: 51

Faculty Score

: 4.7

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.7	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.7	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Sengulathan
Course Instructor

Dr. Sengulathan
Course Coordinator

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department

Vel Tech
Institute of Science and Technology
1001 Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai-600 060

Vel Tech
Institute of Science and Technology
1001 Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai-600 060



50

No. of Students Responded	%
1	53

Foundation Course/Theory

1

2023-24 Winter Semester



 Course Instructor



 Course Coordinator



 Head of Course offering Dept


Course Coordinator

Dr. D. Sathyanarayana
Head of the Department
HOD of Course offering Dept

Dr. Veluch
Dean

Faculty ID

: TTS3373

Faculty Name

: Dr. Muthalakshmi.M

School

: SOEC

Department

: Biomedical Engineering

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Slot

: SoC-E

Course Category/Type

: Foundation course/THEORY

No. of Students Registered

: 67

No. of Students Responded

: 19

Faculty Score

: 4.2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator


HoD of Course offering Dept

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of Department
Biology for Engineers
Biomedical Engineering
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022


Dean
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022

Faculty ID : TTS3515
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoC-S
No. of Students Registered : 58

Faculty Name : Dr. Achsah R.S
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Responded : 46
Faculty Score : 4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.7	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4	Please arrange lectures logically and systematically
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	3.9	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	3.7	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	3.8	Please inform the students about the course come and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	3.8	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	3.9	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator


Dr. D. Sathish Kumar
Head of PG Cell
Biotechnology Department
VelTech
HoD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID

: TTS2409

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoC-M

No. of Students Registered

: 59

Faculty Name

: Nirmala Nithya. R.

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation course/THEORY

No. of Students Responded

: 49

Faculty Score

: 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

P. N. Nithya

Course Instructor

[Signature]

Course Coordinator

[Signature]
Dr. D. Balasubramaniam
Head of Department
Biology for Engineers
HoD of Course offering Dept
Biology for Engineers and Biotechnology
Department of Biology and Biotechnology
Vellore Institute of Technology

[Signature]
Dr. V. V. V. V. V.
Dean
Vellore Institute of Technology

Faculty ID

: TTS3515

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM1101

Slot

: SoC-G

No. of Students Registered

: 69

Faculty Name

: Dr. Aelisa, R.S

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation course/THEORY

No. of Students Responded

: 6

Faculty Score

: 4.2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator


Dr. D. Radhakrishnan
Head of the Department
Biotechnology
Vel Tech
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022


Dr. V. Mahalingam
Dean - Biotechnology & Environmental Engineering
Vel Tech
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu - 620 022

Faculty ID : TTS2409
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoC-IU
No. of Students Registered : 59

Faculty Name : Nirmala Nithya. R
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Responded : 1

Faculty Score : 4.5

Description.	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / Ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

L. N. Nithya
Course Instructor

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Course Coordinator

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID	: TTS3519
School	: SOEC
Course Code	: 10210BM
Slot	: SoC-Q
No. of Students Registered	: 59

Faculty Name	: Dr. Bisheshwar Karmakar
Department	: Biotechnology
Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type	: Foundation course/THEO
No. of Students Responded	: 45

Faculty Score

Faculty Score		: 4.1	
No. of students responded : 45			
Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4	Please inform the students about the course come and graduate attributes	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	

Wasmuth & Co.

Course Instructor

Dr. D. Balasubramaniam

HoD of Course offering Dept.

Dr. Vatsaryoglu, M.S.

YelTech
Dental

Faculty ID

: TTS3515

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: I0210BM101

Slot

: SoC-A

No. of Students Registered

: 68

Faculty Name

: Dr. Achsah R.S

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation course/THEORY

No. of Students Responded

: 34

Faculty Score

: 4.2

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2021-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4	Please inform the students about the course come and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation


 Course Instructor


 Course Coordinator

 Dr. D. Balasubramanian
 Head of the Department
 Department of Biology

HoD of Course offering Dept

 Dr. Vallaraj
 Head of the Department

 VIT-ITech
 Vellore Institute of Technology

Faculty ID : TTS3519

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoC-P

Faculty Name

: Dr. Bishwar Karmakar

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation course/THEORY

No. of Students Registered

: 59

No. of Students Responded

: 41

Faculty Score

: 4.3

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

B. Karmakar
Course Instructor

A. A.
Course Coordinator

B. Karmakar

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

Head of the Department

HoD of Course offering Dept

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Faculty ID : TTS3519
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoC-I
No. of Students Registered : 68
Faculty Name : Dr. Bishweswar Karmakar
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Responded : 57
Faculty Score : 4.4

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

B. Karmakar
Course Instructor

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Course Coordinator

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Department of Engineering

Faculty ID : TTS3515
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoC-L
No. of Students Registered : 63

Faculty Name : Dr. Achsah, R.S.
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Responded : 58

Faculty Score : 4.2

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

Rampran
Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Dr. Achsah, R.S.
Dean - School of Engineering & Technology

Vel Tech
Rangapet Dr. Nirmala
Engineering College
(Autonomous Institute)

HoD of Course offering Dept

Course Coordinator

Course Instructor



Minutes of the Meeting on Students Feedback Analysis

The Department meeting was convened to discuss the student feedback analysis for Aeronautical Engineering courses offered during the Summer Semester 2023-24.

Overall, the feedback for most courses was good and above the required level.

However, six courses recorded comparatively lower faculty scores and specific student suggestions, indicating the need for academic and pedagogical improvements.

Students mainly expressed concerns related to:

- Support for slow learners
- Availability of learning materials
- Course outcome and assessment clarity
- Post-test discussion and exam preparedness





Based on the analysis, the following course-wise issues and recommended actions were discussed and approved.

No	Feedback Point	Course	Recommended Action
1	Slow learners expecting additional support	Aircraft Systems & Instruments, Fluid Mechanics, Solid Mechanics	Provide additional learning materials and conduct remedial/tutorial sessions
2	Students expecting better access to learning materials	Aircraft Systems & Instruments, Unmanned Systems	Share structured notes, references, and LMS-based materials
3	Lack of clarity on course outcomes and graduate attributes	Unmanned Systems, Design & Operate Lab	Allocate dedicated sessions to explain course outcomes and CO-PO mapping
4	Students expecting guidance on examinations	Aircraft Systems & Instruments, Design & Operate Lab	Advise students on exam preparation strategies and assessment patterns
5	No discussion on common mistakes after assessments	Unmanned Systems	Conduct post-test review sessions highlighting common errors
6	Moderate clarity and engagement issues	Introduction to Aerospace Engineering	Enhance classroom interaction and adopt blended teaching approaches

Domain coordinators are informed to discuss the same in domain meeting and implement the suggested action items.


Department IQAC Coordinator

Domain Coordinators

- 1) 
- 2) 
- 3) 
- 4) 


Head of the Department
Aeronautical Engineering




Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

School of Mechanical and Construction

Department of Civil Engineering


INFERENCIAL STATISTICAL OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT

Summer Semester

Academic Year: 2023-2024

A statistical analysis of feedback has been done on its average score based on the feedback score that the faculties received for each of their individual subjects. The average score earned in each subject by the faculties is depicted in the pie chart below (with course codes).

Feedback Analysis



- 1151CE112 ■ 10211CE104 ■ 10211CE105 ■ 10211CE113 ■ 10211CE203
- 10211CE205 ■ 10211CE103 ■ 10212CE123 ■ 10212CE133 ■ 10213CE106
- 10213CE105 ■ 10211CE102 ■ 10211CE109 ■ 10211CE114 ■ 10211CE202
- 10211CE302 ■ 10211CE102 ■ 10213CE101 ■ 10211BM104 ■ 10216GE901
- 1151CE305 ■ 1151CE305 ■ 1151CE117 ■ 1151CE110 ■ 1151CE148
- 1151CE125

The statistics gives following inferences:

- The statistics reveals clearly that based on the measures undertaken by the department as suggested in statistics of winter semester 22-23, a pronounced improvement has been observed.
- Moreover, slow learners have opted to give score from 4 to 4.6 which represents that slow learners have been given special care and attention.
- In the program core and program elective subjects, there were significant score swings between 4.5 and 4.8. The lower score could be a result of the students' greater proficiency in the relevant subject. Measures to be undertaken.

Exclusive Measures Undertaken

- Active learning methods were exclusively implemented so that the active participation and involvement of the slow learners were observed.
- IHL courses were conducted to impart practical learning of the students.
- Menter-mentee meetings were conducted regularly and the academic performance of the students both fast and slow learners were monitored and resolved significantly.
- To fulfill the need of students extensively, apart from the inclusion of laboratory courses, valued added courses relating to the laboratory courses were conducted.
- The courses were taught not only based on academic point of view and also with the ability for the students to meet the Industries/ companies (placements).

Tentative future plan to meet the requirements of the Students

- Training programmes shall be conducted for the practical understanding of the concepts.
- Guest lectures shall be conducted for the students to acquire additional knowledge about the course in the real-time world, ultimately.


12/10/23
Prof. Dr. N. Lenin
Dean - School of Mechanical
and Construction

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Senthilala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai-600 062


12/10/23
Dr. A. Geetha Selvarani
Head of the Department
Civil Engineering
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Senthilala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai-600 062

Received
Ch. My. Jeyaraj



**School of Mechanical and Construction
Department of Mechanical Engineering**

Date: 09-10-2023

Interim Feedback Analysis – Summer Semester 2023–2024

The Interim Feedback Analysis for the Summer Semester 2023–2024 was conducted to evaluate the quality of instruction and overall student satisfaction across the courses offered by the Mechanical Engineering department. This report presents a summary of the findings based on faculty scores obtained from structured student feedback. The feedback dataset includes information related to faculty members, course codes, course categories, student registration strength, response count, and faculty performance scores.

The dataset comprises feedback from 61 courses, representing a balanced mix of Programme Core, Programme Elective, Open Elective, Integrated, Foundation, and Laboratory courses. These courses were delivered by 38 faculty members, each receiving feedback from a varying number of students depending on course enrollment and category.


Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistical analysis indicates that, on average, faculty members received a satisfactory mean score of 4.6 on a 5-point scale, with a relatively low standard deviation. This reflects a high degree of consistency in teaching performance and student satisfaction across the department.

- Under the Foundation category, two courses were offered across 22 slots, with an average feedback score of 4.6. The minimum and maximum scores recorded in this category were 4.4 and 4.9, respectively.
- In the Programme Core category, seven courses were conducted across eight slots, including re-registered and extended semester students. The feedback scores ranged from a minimum of 3.8 to a maximum of 4.7, indicating generally positive student perception.
- Six Integrated courses were offered across twelve slots, achieving an average feedback score of 4.75, which reflects good instructional quality and effective integration of theory and practice.
- A total of five Programme Elective courses were offered, with feedback scores ranging between 4.4 and 5.0, demonstrating high student satisfaction and relevance of elective offerings.
- Under the Open Elective category, seven courses were conducted across eleven slots. The feedback scores ranged from 4.5 to 4.8, and all questionnaire parameters were rated above 4.0, resulting in an average score of 4.6.
- Two Laboratory courses were offered to re-registered and extended semester students. Due to a minimal enrollment of one student, both courses received a perfect feedback score of 5.0, indicating complete satisfaction.

Overall Observation

Overall, the interim feedback provided by students for all the courses offered during the Summer Semester 2023–2024 is assessed to be Good. The results reflect the consistent teaching effectiveness, commitment, and academic excellence demonstrated by the faculty members, who deserve appreciation for their sustained efforts in delivering quality education.


Dr. S. Jayaraj
HoD- Mechanical
Department of Mechanical Engineering



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

FRESHMAN ENGINEERING

SoMC – MECH, CIVIL

Summer Semester 2023–24

INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEED BACK REPORT

In the Academic year 2023 – 24 Summer Semester, Four Foundation Courses were offered for the first year Second Semester B. Tech students as per R-21 Regulations.

1. 10210ME101 - Design Thinking offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (ECE, EEE, Biomedical), SoC Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)
2. 10210ME201- Engineering Graphics offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (ECE, EEE, Biomedical), SoC Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)
3. 10210EE204 - Introduction to Engineering offered to the students of SoC-Specialization CSE
4. 10210EE301 – Engineering Products Laboratory offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (ECE, EEE, Biomedical), SoC Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)

Based on the feed back score attained by the faculties for the irrespective sections, the feed back Statistical Analysis has been made and the following report is generated,

Sl. No.	Course Code & Name	Average Score
1	10210ME101 - Design Thinking	4.40
2	10210ME201- Engineering Graphics	4.50
3	10210EE204 - Introduction to Engineering	4.31
4	10210EE301 – Engineering Products Laboratory	4.23

Statistical Analysis Findings:

- The highest feedback score recorded was 5, while the lowest was 3.7.
- Most courses received feedback scores ranging between 4 and 5, with only one or two sections falling outside this range.
- Students reported that regular assessments and timely feedback helped them understand course outcomes more effectively.
- Students expressed the need for better time management during classes to ensure completion of the syllabus as planned.
- Some students indicated difficulty in keeping pace with the course content, especially during concept-heavy sessions.

Recommended Actions:

- Conduct periodic review and recap sessions to help students reinforce key concepts and improve comprehension.
- Encourage students to actively participate in class discussions and ask questions without hesitation.
- Faculty should adopt structured lesson planning to manage classtime efficiently and Ensure syllabus coverage.
- Provide additional learning resources such as short notes, recorded lectures, or practice problems to support students who require extra assistance.
- Motivate students to utilize mentoring hours and academic support sessions for timely clarification of doubts.



FME–Coordinator



Dean-FME



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Encl. no. 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

School of Science and Humanities

Department of Chemistry

10210CH105 – ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

ACADEMIC YEAR – 2023 – 2024

SEMESTER – SUMMER

INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

S.No.	Section	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Faculty Score
1	SoEC - A	TTS 2005	Dr. Hazarathaiah Yadav	4
2	SoEC - H	TTS 3081	Dr. N. Edayadulla	4.6
3	SoEC - E	TTS 3508	Dr. Gosu Nageswara Reddy	4.4
4	SoEC - C	TTS 2717	Dr. N. Haridharan	4.8
5	SoEC - G	TTS 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	4.1
6	SoMC - B	TTS 3706	Dr. Ramesh M	4.5
7	SoEC - A2	TTS 3233	Dr. A. Roniboss	4.5
8	SoMC - A	TTS 3504	Dr. Srinivasan V	5
9	SoEC - D	TTS 3081	Dr. Edayadulla N	4.5
10	SoEC - I	TTS 3337	Dr. Silambarasan A	4.7
11	SoEC - B	TTS 2429	Dr. Kanniraj A	4


Dr. N. Edayadulla
Dean - Engineering Education

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Encl. no. 3 of UGC Act, 1956)


Dr. Hazarathaiah Yadav
Head of the Department,
Chemistry
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Encl. no. 3 of UGC Act, 1956)



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Est.L. no 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

School of Science and Humanities

Department of Chemistry

ACADEMIC YEAR – 2023 – 2024

SEMESTER – SUMMER

INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

S.No.	Course Code	Course Title	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Section / Slot	Faculty Score
1	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	B2	4.6
2	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	B4	4.4
3	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	M2	4.5
4	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3039	Dr. L. Venkataramana	B1	4.6
5	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3081	Dr. N. Edayadulla	B2	4.7
6	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	M1	4.6
7	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3039	Dr. L. Venkataramana	M2	4.7
8	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	B2	4.7
9	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3081	Dr. N. Edayadulla	M1	4.6

Dr. M. RA. Saranya
Dean – Freshness & Learning

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Est.L. no 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Dr. Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Head of the Department
Chemistry
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Est.L. no 3 of UGC Act, 1956)



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) established by UGC Act, 1956

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

Analysis and Action Taken Report of Faculty Feedback on Courses Handled

Academic Year 2023-24 / Eng. Chem (Summer Semester) - R21

Sl. No.	Course offered to	Course Code	Course Title	Faculty Feedback Report	Action taken
1	B.Tech.	10210CH105	Engineering Chemistry	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered. Also, faculties ensured the audible volume throughout the class.


Head of the Department

Dr. Pragasam Raju Yadav
Head of the Department
Chemistry

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) established by UGC Act, 1956


Dean - FME

Dr. S. L. S. S. S. S.
Dean - Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) established by UGC Act, 1956



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Department of Chemistry

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

Analysis and Action Taken Report of Faculty Feedback on Courses Handled

Academic Year 2023-24 / ESS (Summer Semester) - R21

Sl. No.	Course offered to	Course Code	Course Title	Faculty Feedback Report	Action taken
1	B.Tech.	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered. Also, faculties ensured the audible volume throughout the class.
2	B.Tech.	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered. Also, faculties ensured the audible volume throughout the class.


Head of the Department

Dr. Rangarajan Yadav
Head of the Department
Chemistry
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Department of Chemistry


Dean - FME

Dr. Rangarajan Yadav
Dean - FME
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Department of Chemistry



DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY


SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-24 (R21)

INFERENCIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT FOR ENG. CHEM

In AY2023-24-SS, 11 Foundation theory papers were offered for B.Tech students as per 21-regulations. The course name with course code is Engineering Chemistry/10210CH105

The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The maximum and minimum faculty scores are 5.0 and 4.0 respectively.
- ✓ The average or peak maximum faculty score of 4.5 was obtained.
- ✓ The least score was given by the slow learners, who expect that special care be taken for them.
- ✓ Some students expect the faculty to maintain an audible volume, use of interesting teaching and more discussions.



Measures to be taken:

- ❖ Special classes should be conducted adequately for slow learners.
- ❖ Active learning methods should be adopted to promote student participation and clarify doubts.
- ❖ Faculty members should address students' doubts during the class itself.
- ❖ Necessary steps should be taken to ensure timely distribution of lecture notes and study materials.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the upcoming sessions.


HOD (CHEMISTRY)


DEAN (FME)




DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-24 (R21)
INFERENCIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT FOR ESS

In AY2023-24-SS, 9 Foundation theory papers were offered for the B.Tech students as per 21-regulations. List of the courses are as follows:

- Environmental Studies (10210CH103)
- Environmental Studies and Sustainability (10210CH104)

The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:


- ✓ The maximum and minimum faculty scores are 4.7 and 4.4 respectively.
- ✓ The average or peak maximum faculty score of 4.6 was obtained.
- ✓ Overall, the scores indicate that all faculty members received good feedback.
- ✓ The lowest scores were observed among learners who need additional support and individualized attention.



Measures to be taken:

- ❖ Special classes should be conducted adequately for slow learners.
- ❖ Active learning methods should be adopted to promote student participation and clarify doubts.
- ❖ Faculty members should address students' doubts during the class itself.
- ❖ Necessary steps should be taken to ensure timely distribution of lecture notes and study materials.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the upcoming sessions.


HOD (CHEMISTRY)


DEAN (FME)

Dr. H. Sankaranarayanan
Dean - Freshmen Engineering



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Department of English

Interim Feedback Report – Summer Semester – 2023-24

10210EN201 - Professional Communication I

Inferential Statistics of Feedback Report

S.No.	Score Range	No. of Faculty Observations	Average Score
1	3.5 - 4.0	0	-
2	4.0 – 4.5	18	4.29
3	4.5 – 5.0	16	4.72

Statistical Analysis Report – English

Course Code: 10210EN201

Semester: Summer Semester – 2023-24

Observations from Faculty Feedback Score:

Student feedback was collected to assess the effectiveness of faculty members based on twelve structured parameters related to teaching quality, learner engagement, assessment transparency, and overall classroom effectiveness. The collected data were subjected to inferential statistical analysis to derive meaningful conclusions regarding instructional excellence and student satisfaction.

Inferential Statistical Interpretation

The inferential analysis of the feedback data highlights the following significant observations:

- No faculty member scored in the 3.5–4.0 range, indicating the absence of low or moderate performance levels within the department.
- A substantial proportion of faculty members (52.9%) fall within the 4.0–4.5 score range, reflecting consistently strong teaching effectiveness.
- An equally significant segment (47.1%) achieved scores between 4.5 and 5.0, demonstrating excellent to outstanding instructional performance.
- The high average scores across both ranges confirm that students perceive the teaching–learning process as highly effective and impactful.

Inference and Academic Implications

Based on the score distribution and average values, the following inferences can be drawn:

- Faculty members exhibit high levels of clarity in instruction, conceptual explanation, and classroom communication.
- Students acknowledged active encouragement for interaction, timely academic support, and inclusive teaching practices.
- The high concentration of scores in the upper ranges indicates effective student-centric pedagogical approaches.
- Transparent and fair assessment methods, including Internal Assessment, have been positively recognized by students.
- The overall teaching performance strongly supports achievement of course outcomes and learning objectives.

Action Taken

1. Best teaching practices adopted by high-performing faculty were documented and shared to sustain teaching excellence.
2. Outcome-based and experiential learning methods were further reinforced to enhance student learning and course outcome attainment.
3. Continuous quality review mechanisms were strengthened through periodic feedback analysis and academic review meetings.

Conclusion

The inferential statistical analysis clearly establishes that the teaching performance of faculty members is uniformly high, with a significant proportion demonstrating exemplary teaching practices. The absence of lower score ranges reinforces the department's commitment to academic rigor, pedagogical excellence, and continuous quality enhancement.





Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. on 3rd ECE Act, 1986

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

SUMMER SEMESTER 2023 – 2024

INFERENCIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT

FIRST YEAR COURSES

In AY2023-24-SS, two Foundation courses were offered for the first year B.Tech students as per 21-regulations.

- Linear Algebra for Computing (10210MA101) was offered to the students of School of Computing
- Matrices and Calculus (10210MA201) was offered to the students of School of Electronics and Communication, School of Mechanical and Construction.

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and the following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses offered by the department of Mathematics.

Average Feedback Mathematics (SS 2023-24) FIRST YEAR



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Linear Algebra for Computing(10210MA101) was 5 and the least feedback score rate was 4.

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Matrices and Calculus(10210MA201) was 5 and the least feedback score rate was 3.5.
- ✓ An average, the feedback score ranges between 4.4 to 5.
- ✓ The students expects the faculty to use appropriate teaching techniques to enhance teaching.
- ✓ The students needs subject materials to be shared with them for effective learning process.
- ✓ Mostly, the least score was given ^{to} by the slow learners. *Calculus capacity to give more concentration for*
- ✓ The students are expecting that their doubts to be cleared by the faculties in the class. *improving their performance*

Measures to be taken:

- ❖ Students were advised through mentors to maintain silence in the class and actively participate in the class.
- ❖ Special class for the slow learners is planned and conducted.
- ❖ Active Learning Method techniques are adopted for active participation of students.
- ❖ The doubts are clarified in the class itself by the faculties.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned to enrich and update the current trends to the faculties.
- ❖ ~~Credit~~ Value added courses are planned and conducted for improving the better understanding of the students.
- ❖ Necessary action is taken for the students to receive their lecture notes study materials on time.

T. Vishwanath
13/1/24

HOD- MATHEMATICS

Dr. M. Sivalakumar
13/1/24
DEAN-FME

Prof. Dr. J. Viswanath
Head of the Department
Mathematics

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagarthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by the University of Applied Sciences, Vellore

Dr. M. Sivalakumar
Dean - Freshman Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagarthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by the University of Applied Sciences, Vellore



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

SUMMER SEMESTER 2023 - 2024

INFERENCE STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handling for the academic year 2023-24 (SS), the feedback statistical analysis has been made and the following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses offered by the department of Mathematics.

**Average Feedback Mathematics
(SS 2023-24)**




The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:


- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained was 4.8 and the least feedback score rate was 3.40.
- ✓ For many courses the feedback score ranges between 4.40 to 4.80 except one or two courses.
- ✓ The least score was given by the slow learners to insist that special care to be taken for them.
- ✓ From the inference, it is known that students doubts to be cleared by the faculties in the class.
- ✓ Voice audibility to be improved.
- ✓ Poor hand writing.


Measures to improve the Score:

- ❖ Special class for the slow learners is planned to be conducted.
- ❖ Important concepts which are covered in COs are given in Question and answer format to train the students for final exam.
- ❖ More Active Learning Method to be planned to implement for the active participation of students to clearing their doubts.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned to update the knowledge of faculty in emerging fields of mathematics.
- ❖ Improving legible hand writing.



HOD- Mathematics


Dean FME


Vel

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Freshman Engineering - Department of Mathematics
AY (2023 - 24) - Summer Semester - Consolidated Interim Feedback Analysis Report (R21&R15)

S.No.	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Slot	No. of Students Registered	No. of Students Entered Feedback	Feedback Rating Point (Out of 5)	Faculty Signature
Course Code / Title: 10210MA104 / Fourier Series and Transform Techniques(R21)							
1	TTS 2235	Dr. SURESH. M.L	ECE-S1	61	14	4.20	<i>[Signature]</i>
2	TTS 2954	Dr. KALA R.	ECE-S1	63	15	4.80	<i>[Signature]</i>
3	TTS 2615	Dr. NAGADEVI BALA. N.	ECE-S1	60	28	4.50	<i>[Signature]</i>
4	TTS2944	Dr. NAGALAKSHMI. T	ECE-S1	58	22	4.80	<i>[Signature]</i>
5	TTS 1962	Dr. SATHISH KUMAR K.	ECE-S1	58	26	4.40	<i>[Signature]</i>
6	TTS2792	Dr. VIJAYALAKSHMI. G.H	ECE-S1	60	41	4.70	<i>[Signature]</i>
7	TTS2649	Dr. VENKATESAN. K.A	ECE-S1	60	26	4.10	<i>[Signature]</i>
8	TTS3245	Dr. PREMALATHA. M	ECE-S1	57	32	4.50	<i>[Signature]</i>
9	TTS 2788	Mr. SIVAPRAKASAM S.	ECE-S1	59	41	4.60	<i>[Signature]</i>
10	TTS 3005	Dr. B. SOMASUNDARAM	ECE-S1	60	39	4.60	<i>[Signature]</i>
11	TTS2315	Dr. T. GUNASEKAR	EEE	61	50	4.60	<i>[Signature]</i>
12	TTS 2773	Dr. KUMARAN. N	BIO MED	64	60	4.50	<i>[Signature]</i>
Course Code / Title: 10210MA105/ Transforms and Fourier Series(R21)							
1	TTS637	Dr. DORA PRAVINA C.T.	SI	28	24	4.20	<i>[Signature]</i>
2	TTS2799	Dr. NIRANJAN S.P	SI	65	51	4.50	<i>[Signature]</i>
Course Code / Title: 10210MA108 / Transforms and Partial Differential Equations(R21)							
1	TTS3667	Dr. SELVAKUMARI R.	MECH-S1	56	22	4.10	<i>[Signature]</i>
2	TTS2376	Dr. SHANMUGAPRIYA. R.	CIVIL-S1	22	14	4.70	<i>[Signature]</i>
Course Code / Title: 10210MA103 / Probability, Statistics and Queuing theory(R21)							
1	TTS2545	Dr. NARMADA DEVI R.	IT-S1	73	62	4.10	<i>[Signature]</i>
Course Code / Title: 10210MA110/ Discrete Mathematical Structures(R21)							
1	TTS3284	Dr. JEEVA. A	SI	60	41	4.30	<i>[Signature]</i>
2	TTS3266	Dr. KARTHIK. S	SI	60	39	4.60	<i>[Signature]</i>
3	TTS2770	Dr. S.SENTHIL	SI	63	51	4.50	<i>[Signature]</i>
4	TTS3267	Dr. SELVARAJ. A	SI	62	53	4.60	<i>[Signature]</i>
5	TTS3541	Dr. BALAMURUGAN. M	SI	62	53	4.40	<i>[Signature]</i>
6	TTS 1286	Mrs. SREELAKSHMI LINGINENI	SI	64	49	4.40	<i>[Signature]</i>
Course Code / Title: 10213MA101/ Operation Research(R21)							
1	TTS 1090	Dr. KANAGAJOTHI. D	SI	70	52	4.60	<i>[Signature]</i>
2	TTS1498	NARESH KUMAR. J	SI	68	51	4.40	<i>[Signature]</i>
3	TTS2600	Ms. SARANYA. P	SI	72	51	4.60	<i>[Signature]</i>
4	TTS3034	Dr. SENBAG. MALAR. J	SI	61	38	4.60	<i>[Signature]</i>
Course Code / Title: 80211GE109 / Business Statistics(R21)							
1	TTS3531	Dr. KAVIYARASU. M	SEC A	58	45	4.10	<i>[Signature]</i>

2	TTS3531	Dr. KAVIYARASU, M	SEC B	58	55	4.00	4.00
3	TTS3507	Dr. CHANDIRAN, V	SEC C	35	33	4.40	4.40
4	TTS3507	Dr. CHANDIRAN, V	SEC D	58	57	3.40	3.40

Course Code / Title: 10211CS101 / Discrete Mathematics(R21)

1	TTS2428	Dr. KALIVANI, N	59	60	41	4.50	4.50
2	TTS2799	Dr. NIRANGAN, S.P.	58	60	48	4.30	4.30
3	TTS2649	Dr. VENKATESAN, K.A	51	60	45	4.50	4.50
4	TTS3541	Dr. BALAMURUGAN, M	57	47	24	4.80	4.80
5	TTS3667	Dr. SELVAKUMAR, R	56	60	47	4.40	4.40
6	TTS 1962	Dr. SATHISH KUMAR, K	54	62	43	4.70	4.70
7	TTS2600	Ms. SARANYA, P	53	60	39	4.50	4.50
8	TTS2770	Dr. S.SENTHIL	57	62	48	4.60	4.60
9	TTS3300	Dr. G. SARAVANAKUMAR	52	60	42	4.40	4.40
10	TTS3267	Dr. SELVARAJ, A	59	60	52	4.60	4.60
11	TTS3284	Dr. JEEVA, A	56	60	43	4.50	4.50
12	TTS 2615	Dr. NAGADEVI BALA, N	55	61	53	4.70	4.70
13	TTS 1090	Dr. KANAGAJOTHI, D	57	60	47	4.60	4.60
14	TTS2732	Dr. A. MEENAKSHI	56	59	44	4.50	4.50
15	TTS3245	Dr. PREMALATHA, M	52	60	48	4.70	4.70
16	TTS 2471	Mrs. HEMALATHA, P.K	53	61	56	4.60	4.60
17	TTS3338	Dr. R. SANKAR	58	80	34	4.70	4.70
18	TTS2545	Dr. NARMADA DEVI, R	51	57	33	4.50	4.50
19	TTS3329	Dr. R. NAVANEETHA KRISHNAN	58	35	17	3.50	3.50
20	TTS3266	Dr. KARTHIK, S	55	52	5	4.50	4.50
21	TTS 2788	Mr. SIVAPRAKASAM, S	53	60	36	4.50	4.50
22	TTS 3005	Dr. B. SOMASUNDARAM	55	60	45	4.60	4.60
23	TTS 2956	Dr. KALA, R	52	60	49	4.40	4.40

Course Code / Title: 1150MA201 / Applied Statistics(R15)

1	TTS 2471	Mrs. HEMALATHA, P.K	NA	60	19	4.70	4.70
---	----------	---------------------	----	----	----	------	------

1. Dr. J. Kanagarath
2. Dr. R. Narmadadevi
3. Dr. N. Kumaran

Course Coordinators

HoD - Maths

Dean - FME

Prof. Dr. J. Viswanath
Head of the Department
Mathematics
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Established in the Year 2002, Vel Tech is a UGC AICTE, NBA

Dr. M. Sivakumar
Dean - Freshman Engineering
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Established in the Year 2002, Vel Tech is a UGC AICTE, NBA

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-24


INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

In AY 2023-24-SS, three theory courses and one laboratory course were offered for first year B. Tech student as per the 2021 regulations.

- Semiconductor Physics (10210PH101) and Modern Physics Laboratory (10210PH301) was offered to the students of school of Computing.
- Physics of Materials (10210PH102) was offered to the students of the School of Electronics and Communication and Mechanical Engineering.
- Universal Human Values II (10210ME102) was offered to the students of school of computing.

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses conducted by the physics department.

AVERAGE FEEDBACK-Physics department SS-2023-24



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- The highest feedback score obtained was 4.8 and the least one is 3.0.
- In most cases the feedback lies between 4 to 4.8 for all subjects offered.
- The least score was given by the slow learners to insist that special care to be taken for them.
- The students expected the faculty to be more audible.

- The students expected the faculty to use appropriate teaching technique to enhance.
- The students expected the faculty to clear the doubts by the faculty in the class room.

Measures to be taken

- Special class for the slow learners to be conducted by the individual faculty.
- Active learning method technique to be adopted for the active participation of the students and for clearing the doubts.
- The faculty spend time to clarify students doubt in the class itself.
- Necessary arrangements are taken for the students to receive their lecture notes study materials on time.
- Faculty are encouraged to attend faculty development programmes before the commencement of the next semester.



HoD Physics

Dr. D. Senthilkumar
Head of the Department
Physics





Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Department of Management Studies

Interim Feedback Analysis

Summer Semester of Academic Year 2023-24-I MBA I SEM

This analysis evaluates teaching effectiveness among MBA faculty at Vel Tech during the Summer Semester of 2023-24. It reviews student feedback on clarity, engagement, accessibility, and overall satisfaction, with scores ranging from 1 to 5. The findings highlight strengths and areas for improvement, aiming to enhance teaching practices and student outcomes.

Principle of Management and Organizational Behaviour

Dr. M.S.R. Mariyappan's outstanding score of 4.9 in the subjects reflects his exceptional understanding and commitment. His remarkable dedication and effort are truly commendable.

Dr. P. Elantheraiyan achieved an outstanding score of 5, showcasing exceptional teaching effectiveness. Kindly share your successful methods with colleagues to inspire and enhance their practices.

Business Regulatory Framework

Dr. Rajapriya.M remarkable score of 4.9 reflects exceptional teaching practices. It is advisable to share successful methods with colleagues to help elevate the overall teaching quality within the department.

Dr Raja.S score achieved an outstanding score of 5, demonstrating exceptional teaching skills and dedication. This accomplishment reflects a high level of expertise and commitment to student success.

Economic Analysis for Business

Dr. G. Manoj received a score of 4.9, indicating exceptional teaching performance in numerous areas. His clarity, engagement, and commitment to student success are outstanding, with space for more improvement in doubt-clearing.

Dr. Geetakesavaraj received a 4.9 for her clear and engaging teaching style. His dynamic approach and effective strategies have significantly improved student learning and success.

Quantitative Techniques

Dr. Regan excelled in teaching the Quantitative Techniques course, earning an impressive average score of 4.9. Students praised his lucidity, interactive attitude, and successful teaching methods for creating an exciting and supportive learning environment.

Dr. Saravanakumar delivered exceptional teaching, earning an excellent average score of 4.9. Students praised his clarity and dedication to fostering understanding and engagement.

Accounting for Managers

Dr. Thandayuthapani.S demonstrated a score of 4.8, his teaching skills, earning impressive to clear explanations, interactive methods, and commitment to fostering their understanding and engagement.

Dr. Lumina Julie. R. showcased exceptional teaching skills, earning an impressive average score of 4.9. Students appreciated her clear explanations, effective methods, and dedication to fostering their learning and active participation.

Managerial Communication

Dr. Lumina Julie.R achieved an exceptional average score of 4.8 because to her clear communication, effective teaching approaches, and commitment to improving students' understanding and skills.

Dr. Tamil Selvan.P demonstrated excellent teaching skills, earning a commendable average score of 4.8. Students appreciated his clarity, engaging approach, and commitment to supporting their learning and understanding.

Entrepreneurship Development

Dr. Elantheraiyan. P delivered outstanding teaching performance, achieving an exceptional average score of 4.8. Students appreciated his clarity, effective teaching methods, and dedication to fostering an engaging and supportive learning environment.

Dr. Sankar Ganesh earned an impressive score of 4.7 for his engaging teaching methods and dedication to creating a positive and effective learning environment.

Information Technology for Business

Ashlin Nimo. J.R. score of 4.9, excelling in clear communication, effective teaching techniques, and fostering an engaging learning environment.

Ashlin Nimo.J.R score 4.8 demonstrating excellence in clear communication, effective teaching methods, and creating a supportive and engaging classroom atmosphere.

Seminar-I Industry Analysis

Dr. Tamil Selvan.P. With an impressive score of 4.7, continuously exemplifies excellence in teaching, specializing in clarity, student involvement, and thorough syllabus fulfillment. His commitment to promoting diversity and understanding in the classroom is deserving of ongoing gratitude and acknowledgment.


Dr. Thandayuthapani S. excels in teaching with an outstanding score of 4.8, guiding students effectively through clear explanations and engaging methods.

Rural Development Programme

Mr. Arul Krishnan S. has achieved an excellent score of 4.9. His impactful approach to rural development education is highly appreciated.

Mr. Arul Krishnan S. has earned a perfect score of 5, showcasing his exceptional teaching skills and dedication to equipping students with practical knowledge

Feed back on 2023-2024 -I MBA-I SEM



Overall Summary:

The faculty's performance evaluation highlights exceptional teaching abilities and dedication across various subjects. With most scores exceeding 4.8, the commitment to academic excellence and student engagement is evident. Perfect scores by Dr. P. Elantheraiyan, Dr. Raja S., and Mr. Arul Krishnan S. set a benchmark for others to follow. Sharing successful teaching practices among peers can further enhance the overall teaching quality within the department. This remarkable achievement reflects the faculty's consistent efforts to foster a positive and impactful learning environment.

Action Taken:

1. Faculty members are actively supported in pursuing research opportunities, attending academic conferences, and publishing their work to enhance their professional growth.
2. Faculty members can enhance their knowledge by completing NPTEL courses to stay updated with the latest advancements in their field

Feedback Coordinator

HoD

Dean

Dr. K. Ravishankar
Head - Management Studies

Dr. M. S. R. Mariyappan
Dean - School of Management

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Senthilnathan
Engineering College
Chennai - 600 062

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Senthilnathan
BAD Education Network and Technology
Rangarajan Dr. Senthilnathan
Chennai - 600 062



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Department of Management Studies

Interim Feedback Analysis

Summer Semester of Academic Year 2023-24-III SEM

This analysis revolves around evaluating the teaching effectiveness of various faculty members within the Master of Business Administration program at Vel Tech, focusing on the Summer Semester of the 2023-24 academic year. It systematically reviews student feedback across multiple courses, considering aspects such as clarity of instruction, engagement in learning, accessibility of materials, and overall course satisfaction. The feedback scores, ranging from 1 to 5, provide quantitative measures of faculty performance, with additional comments highlighting areas for appreciation and potential improvement. This comprehensive review aims to identify strengths and opportunities within the curriculum and pedagogical approaches, ultimately guiding enhancements to teaching practices and student learning outcomes.

International Business Management

Dr. Sankar Ganesh.R: With a score of 4.3, demonstrates strong capabilities in making concepts understandable and engaging students effectively. Noted for clear communication and responsiveness to student inquiries.

S. Arul Krishnan: Exceptionally high feedback of 4.6 indicates superior teaching methods, dynamic engagement, and clear articulation of course objectives, leading to high student satisfaction.

Basics of Functional Analytics

Dr. Sankar Ganesh.R: Achieved a score of 4.5, indicating strong teaching performance with a focus on practical analytics application and student support.

Python Programming Lab

Ashlin Nimo.J.R: Achieved a score of 4.6, reflecting excellent lab instruction that emphasizes practical application, accessibility to materials, and a supportive learning environment for enhancing programming skills.

Brand Management

Ashlin Nimo.J.R: Maintains a score of 4.6, indicating strong performance in delivering both theoretical and practical aspects of brand management. Praised for effective teaching techniques and making learning materials easily accessible.

Merchant Banking and Financial Services

Dr. Tamilselvan.P: With a score of 4.2, shows good teaching quality with notable areas for improvement in diversifying teaching methods and better supporting slow learners.

Corporate Finance

Dr. Thandayuthapani. S: Received a score of 4.2, indicative of solid teaching with effective communication of complex financial concepts, though further support for slow learners is suggested.

Cost Accounting Decision Making

Dr. Lumina Julie. R: Scored 4.0, highlighting a need for improvement in audibility, use of visual aids, and additional support for slow learners despite overall positive feedback.

Industrial Relations and Labour Legislations

Dr. P.Elantheraiyan: Excellent teaching performance is reflected in a score of 4.8, with high engagement and comprehensive coverage of materials.

Dr. Rajapriya. M: Scored 4.0, indicating room for growth in clarity and learner support, despite consistent teaching quality.

Training and Development

M . Jayakumar scored 4.6, showing superior student engagement and effective teaching methods.

Kotteswaran score of 4.1 suggests areas for improvement in material accessibility and clarity.

Performance Appraisal and Management

M. Felisiya: Achieved a score of 4.7, demonstrating excellent teaching effectiveness and student interaction.

Dr. Rajapriya. M: Scored 4.0, with feedback pointing towards a need for enhanced student engagement and material presentation.

Data Mining Business Intelligence

Dr. Geeta Kesavaraj: Score of 4.4, indicating strong performance in concept explanation and student engagement, with room for improvement in audibility and teaching aids.

Logistics Supply Chain Management

Dr. Ravishankar. K: Excellent score of 4.6 reflects outstanding course delivery and dynamic classroom engagement.

Multi Modal Transport and Risk Management

Dr. G. Manoj: Score of 4.5 showcases effective communication of logistics and risk management concepts, ensuring accessibility to learning materials.

Marine Cargo Management

Dr. P. Elantheraiyan: High score of 4.6 highlights strong teaching performance, emphasizing practical application and clear concept explanation.


Digital Marketing Services

M. Felisiya: Score of 4.6 reflects high-quality teaching with a focus on current digital marketing trends and techniques, ensuring dynamic delivery.

Content Marketing Communication

DR. Raja.S: With a score of 4.1, indicates good teaching effectiveness with potential areas for enhancement in student engagement and material clarity.

II MBA - III Sem 2023-2024



Overall Summary: The feedback scores across various courses and instructors highlight faculty commitment to quality education and continuous improvement. Faculty members are recognized for their dynamic teaching methods, clear communication, and support for students. There are areas for improvement, particularly in supporting slow learners and enhancing classroom engagement.

Action Taken:

1. Provide faculty with information and guidance on applying for research grants from national and international funding agencies.
2. Conduct regular workshops, attend the FDP programs to advance their skills
3. Introduce awards and incentives for faculty who achieve significant research milestones, such as high-impact publications or patents.

Feedback Coordinator

Dr. K. Ravishankar
Head - Food Management Studies

Vel Tech

Dean
Dr. M. S. R. Mariyappan
Dean - School of Management

Vel Tech

From

24.06.2024

Dr.SP.Chokkalingam

Dean – School of Computing

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology
Avadi, Chennai.

To

Dean - Quality Assurance

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology
Avadi, Chennai.

Respected Sir,

Sub: Inferential Statistics for the Interim Feedback Report (Winter Semester of 2023-24) of
Department of Computer Science and Engineering - reg.

The analysis of the Interim Feedback report for the Winter semester of 2023-24 has been
done and all the statistics has been evaluated and noted with the below observations.

- The overall feedback for all the courses is good.
- Parameter wise overall feedback is analyzed and found to be good.
- All the faculties have secured more than 3.8 points out of 5.
- Individual parameters are analyzed and observed.
- No faculties have scored less than 3 points for the rating of 5.

Dean – SoC



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Deemed to be University, Estd. on 1st of UGC Act, 1956

School of Computing

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year : 2023 - 24 / Winter Semester

Interim Feedback - Parameter wise Average Feedback

Ques. No	Description	Average Feedback (Out of 5)
1	I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom.	4.67
2	The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand.	4.66
3	Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.	4.67
4	The Teacher took extra care for slow learners.	4.62
5	The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning.	4.65
6	I could access required materials easily for my learning activities.	4.65
7	I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course.	4.64
8	The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class.	4.66
9	The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams.	4.68
10	The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.67
11	The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Unit / Mid - term tests to the students.	4.67
12	The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course.	4.67



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Approved by University Grants Commission, UGC, India)


SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
VTU R21 B.TECH – ECE
WINTER 2023-24

The Interim feedback was taken for Winter semester 2023-24 and out of 220 feedbacks received for various courses including theory and laboratory, about 2 faculty members for 2 courses received feedback less than 4.

The action plan was taken by counselling the faculty members by the head of the department and the feedback analysis and action plan were recorded.

The inferential analysis of the department and individual faculty analysis who scored less than 4 are attached herewith.

Inferential Interim feedback Analysis Winter 2023-24



ASMP
HOD/ECE

Dr. Velarmathi, R.S
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication

Vel Tech
DEAN SOEC

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Approved by University Grants Commission, UGC, India)

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Approved by University Grants Commission, UGC, India)



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING**

Interim Feedback Report

Winter Semester

AY 2023-24

The report summarizes interim feedback from students across various courses in the Winter Semester AY 2023-24, focusing on course quality, instructor effectiveness, and learning resources. Feedback was obtained from the students on 5 points scale.

Data Summary:

No. of Courses: 27

Total Respondents: 264

Response Summary

Course category	Course type	Feedback Score	
		Min.	Max.
Program core	Theory	4.5	5.0
	Laboratory	4.7	5.0
Program Elective	Theory	4.2	4.8
Open Elective	Theory	3.5	4.8

The feedback analysis of various course categories indicates strong overall performance across all programs. The Program Core Theory Courses secured a minimum feedback score of 4.5 and a maximum of 5.0, with an average score of 4.7. The Program Core Laboratory Courses achieved a maximum score of 5.0 and a minimum of 4.7, resulting in an average score of 4.4. Similarly, the Program Elective Courses recorded a mean feedback score of 4.6, with scores ranging from 4.2 to 4.8. The Open Elective Courses demonstrated good performance, attaining an average score of 4.2, with the minimum and maximum feedback scores being 3.5 and 4.8, respectively. Overall, the feedback results reflect excellent student satisfaction and consistent quality in course delivery across all categories.

Feedback Analysis by Score Range

Score range	Interpretation
≥ 4.5 but ≤ 5.0	Excellent

≥ 4.0 but < 4.5	Good
≥ 3.5 but < 4.0	Adequate
< 3.5	Needs Improvement

1. Courses with Feedback Score ≥ 4.5 but ≤ 5.0 (Excellent)

10211EE102 - DC Machines and Transformers	10211EE303 - AC Machines Lab
10211EE302 - DC Machines and Transformers Lab	10211EE103 - AC Machines
10213EE125 - Robotics and Automation	10211EE104 - Digital Electronics
10211EE306 - Analog and Digital Electronics Lab	10211EE111 - Electrical Machine Design
10212EE165 - Medical Electronics	10211EE114 - Linear Integrated Circuits
10212EE203 - Electrical Machines	10212EE104 - Data Structures and Algorithms
10213EE121 - Electrical Machines	10212EE156 - Wind Energy Conversion Systems
10211BM106 - Control Systems	10213EE102 - Bio Medical Instrumentation
10211EE105 - Linear Control Systems	10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship
10211EE109 - Power System Analysis	10211EE106 - Measurements and Instrumentation

Inference:

Students consistently reported very high levels of satisfaction for the above list of courses. The high score reflects the effectiveness and quality of this aspect of the course. This strong result suggests that students' needs and expectations are being met.

2. Courses with Feedback Score ≥ 4.0 but < 4.5 (Good)

10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship	10213EE130 - Wind and Solar Power System
10213EE114 - Renewable Energy Systems	10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship
10213EE130 - Wind and Solar Power System	10212EE101 - Computer Architecture

Inference:

- Students rated the above list of courses positively, with some suggestions for further refinement.

3. Courses with Feedback Score ≥ 3.5 but < 4.0 (Adequate)

10213EE127	Electrical Safety and Safety Management
------------	---

Inference:

- Student faced difficulty in audibility lecture, need simplified notes and required more clarification in presentation and discussion.

Remedial Actions for Adequate Feedback Score Interpretation

- Incorporate more frequent opportunities for student questions and clarifications (e.g., Q&A sessions, office hours).
- Provide clearer lecture outlines or hand-outs to reinforce main ideas.
- Supplement with additional materials (e.g., video tutorials, online resources) to cater to diverse learning preferences.
- Increase interactive elements during class, such as group discussions, polls, or collaborative activities.
- Explore new teaching tools to foster engagement in online or hybrid settings.

Note: None of the course handling faculty secured feedback score less than 3.5.

Department Level Recommendations

- Encourage the faculty to share their best practices at departmental workshops or through informal mentorship.
- Provide professional development workshops focused on interactive teaching methods and effective use of learning resources.
- Create and maintain a teaching resources hub (e.g., shared digital folder with rubrics, sample course designs, active learning strategies).

The Department of EEE exhibited strong teaching performance during the winter Semester 2023-2024. With most of courses falling within the excellent and satisfactory ranges, the next step is to focus on refining teaching methods and integrating innovative instructional strategies.





FRESHMAN ENGINEERING

SoEC – ECE, EEE

Winter Semester 2023–24

INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT

In the Academic year 2023 – 24 Winter Semester, Four Foundation Courses were offered for the first year Second Semester B. Tech students as per R-21 Regulations.

1. 10210EE201 – Basic Electrical, Electronics and Measurement Engineering offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (BioTech)
2. 10210EE202 – Basic Electrical and Instrumentation Engineering offered to the students of SoEC (ECE, Biomedical)
3. 10210EE203 – Basic Electronics and Measurement Engineering offered to the students of SoEC (EEE)
4. 10210EC201 – Basic Electronics and Digital Logic Design offered to the students of SoC – Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective sections, the feedback Statistical Analysis has been made and the following report is generated,

Sl. No.	Course Code & Name	Average Score
1	10210EE201 – Basic Electrical, Electronics and Measurement Engineering	3.96
2	10210EE202 – Basic Electrical and Instrumentation Engineering	4.18
3	10210EE203 – Basic Electronics and Measurement Engineering	4.10
4	10210EC201 – Basic Electronics and Digital Logic Design	4.18
5	10210ME103 – Innovation and Entrepreneurship	4.13

Statistical Analysis Findings:

- The highest feedback score recorded was 4.7, while the lowest was 3.3.
- Most courses received feedback scores ranging between 4.1 and 4.4, with only one or two sections falling outside this range.
- Students expressed the need for better clarity in explaining complex concepts during regular classes.
- Students indicated a preference for more interactive and application-oriented activities during interim stages of the course.
- A significant number of students expected timely feedback on assessments, assignments, and classroom activities.
- Students highlighted the importance of regular doubt-clearing opportunities to help them stay aligned with the course outcomes.

Recommended Actions:

- Encourage faculty to periodically review difficult concepts and provide simplified explanations during interim phases.
- Introduce short interactive activities such as quizzes, case discussions, or think-pair-share exercises to sustain student engagement.
- Provide timely and constructive feedback on internal assessments and assignments to help students track their progress.
- Schedule regular doubt-clearing sessions or allocate dedicated time during classes for addressing student queries.
- Monitor interim feedback closely and take corrective measures early to enhance overall learning effectiveness.



FME-SoEC Coordinator



Dean-FME



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. on 13 of UGU Act, 1956)

SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION

DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK AND ACTION TAKEN

WINTER SEMESTER 2023-2024

S.No.	Course Code	Course Name	Faculty	Feedback Score
1	10211BT304	Molecular Biology & Genetic Engineering lab (Batch-I)	Dr. R. Sai Nandhini/ Dr. S. Mugesh	4.3
2	10211BT304	Molecular Biology & Genetic Engineering lab (Batch-II)	Dr. S. Shobana/ Dr. S. Mugesh	4.3
3	10211BT307	Immunology lab (Batch-I)	Ms. R. Nirmala Nithya/ Dr. K. Jagajjanani Rao	4.2
4	10211BT307	Immunology lab (Batch-II)	Dr. S. Senthil Kumar/ Dr. K. Jagajjanani Rao	4.5
5	10212BT130	Precision Agricultural Biotechnology	Dr. V. Sugumari	4.4
6	10212BT117	Biopharmaceutical Technology	Dr. S. Shobana	4.2
7	10211BT104	Molecular Biology: Concepts and Techniques	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.4
8	10211BT112	Unit Operations and Transport Phenomena	Dr. Prasannamedhe. G	4.4
9	10211BT116	Immunology and Immunotechnology	Ms. R. Nirmala Nithya	4.3
10	10211BT110	Bioprocess Engineering	Dr. S. Chandramohan	4
11	10211BT106	Chemical Reaction Engineering	Dr. J. S. S. Allwin Ebenezer	4.6
12	10211BT107	Mass Transfer operations in Biotechnology	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.6
13	10211BT111	Downstream Processing	Dr. Prasannamedhe. G	4.7
14	10211BT113	Metabolic Engineering	Ms. R. Nirmala Nithya	4.5
15	10211BT114	Green Biotechnology & Pollution Abatement	Dr. S. Chandramohan	4.4

16	10211BT306	Downstream processing lab (Batch - I)	Dr.J.S.S.Allwin Ebenezer/ Dr. R. Ravikumar	4.8
17	10211BT306	Downstream processing lab (Batch - II)	Dr.V.Sugumari/ Dr. R. Ravikumar	4.3
18	10212BT113	Enzyme technology and Biotransformation	Dr.R.Sai Nandhini	4.5
19	10212BT104	Agricultural Biotechnology	Dr.R S Achsah	4.4
20	10212BT138	Biomolecular Modelling	Dr.J.S.S.Allwin Ebenezer	5
21	10212BT139	Biomechanics	Dr. S. Shobana	5
22	10212BT133	Food Preservation Packaging Technologies	Dr.K. Tarangini	4.6
23	10213BT103	Biomaterials Engineering	Dr.S.Chandramohan	4.6


HOD
 Dr. Saravana Babu
 Head of the Department
 Biotechnology
Vel Tech
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
 Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
 Chennai-600 127, India


DEAN
 Dr. Valanathan R.S
 Head - School of Electrical & Communication
Vel Tech
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
 Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
 Chennai-600 127, India

Faculty ID

: TTS3519

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10211BT104

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 65

Faculty Name

: Dr. Bisheshwar Karmakar

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Molecular Biology: Concepts and Techniques

Course Category/Type

: Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 56

Faculty Score

: 4.4

Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. V. V. V. V.
Course Instructor

Dr. V. V. V. V.
Course Coordinator
Male Coordinator



Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis
2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID

School

Course Code

Slot

No. of Students Registered

: TTS3533

: SOEC

: 10211BT106

: S1

: 48

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

: Dr. Allwin Ehinesar, J.S.S

: Biotechnology

: Chemical Reaction Engineering

: Programme Core/Theory

: 44

Faculty Score

: 4.6

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Module coordinators

Dr. Arthana Saravana Babu

HOD of Course offering Dept



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore - 620 022



Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID

: TTS3519

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10211BT107

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 48

Faculty Name

: Dr. Bishwar Karmakar

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Mass Transfer Operations in Biotechnology

Course Category/Type

: Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 44

Faculty Score

: 4.6

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

Bhaskar
Course Instructor

Prof. M. Subramanian
Course Coordinator

M. Subramanian
Head of Course offering Dept

Dr. P. Aravindan
Dean of School of Biotechnology

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
Dean - School of Biotechnology & Communication
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore - 620 022



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthan
School of Science and Technology
Chennai - 600 076

Faculty ID : TTS3276

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10211BT110

Slot : S1

No. of Students Registered : 65

Faculty Name : Dr. S. Chandra Mohan

Department : Biotechnology

Course Name : Bioprocess engineering

Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded : 56

Faculty Score : 4

Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	3.8	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	3.9	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4	Please help students to clarify doubts in the class
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	3.9	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation

[Signature]
Course Instructor

[Signature]
Course Coordinator
Molale Coordinator

[Signature]
Dr. S. Ravana Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology
Vel Tech
HoD of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthan
School of Science and Technology
Chennai - 600 076

Faculty ID : TTS3607

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10211BT111

Slot : SI

No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

: Dr. Prasannamedha. G

: Biotechnology

: Downstream processing

: Programme Core/Theory

: 44

Faculty Score : 4.7

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / Ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.7	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Prasannamedha. G
Course Instructor

P. N. Jeyaraj
Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Arun Kumar
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Vel Tech
HoD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID

: TTS3607

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 1021IBT112

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 65

Faculty Name

: Dr. Prasannamedha, G

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Unit Operations in Biotech Industry

Course Category/Type

: Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 56

Faculty Score

: 4.4

Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

A. Prasanna
Course Instructor

Madh
Course Coordinator

Module coordinator

Dr. G. Saravanan Babu
HOD of Course offering Dept

Vel Tech
HOD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID

: TTS3276

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 1021IBT114

Slot

: SI

No. of Students Registered

: 48

Faculty Name

: Dr.S.Chandra Mohan

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Green Biotechnology & Pollution Abatement

Course Category/Type

: Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 44

Faculty Score

: 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation



Course Instructor



Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator



Dr. P. Ashag Saravana Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Autonomous Institute for Higher Education

Head of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valarmathi. R.S
Dean - School of Science & Communication

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthala
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Autonomous Institute for Higher Education

Faculty ID

: TTS2409

Faculty Name

: Nirmala Nithya. R

School

: SOEC

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Code

: 10211BT116

Course Name

: Immunology and Immunotechnology

Slot

: S1

Course Category/Type

: Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Registered

: 65

No. of Students Responded

: 56

Faculty Score

: 4.3

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation

P. N. Nithya

Course Instructor

[Signature]

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Athiga Saravanan Babu

Head of the Department

[Signature]

Head of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS2285

School : SOEC

Course Code : 1021IBT304

Slot : S1

No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name

Department : Sai Nandhini R

Course Name : Biotechnology

Course Category/Type : Molecular biology laboratory

No. of Students Responded : Programme Core/Laboratory

: 29

Faculty Score

: 4.3

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

Sai
Course Instructor

Prof

Course Coordinator

Module coordinator

Dr. P. Ashwathi Suresh Babu
Head of the Dept of Biotechnology

HoD of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
Dean - School of Biotech & Chemical Engg

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
VELT Institute of Science and Technology
(Approved by AICTE and UGC)

Faculty ID

: TTS3761

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10211BT304

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 33

Faculty Name

: Dr. Shobana.S

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Molecular biology laboratory

Course Category/Type

: Programme Core/Laboratory

No. of Students Responded


: 27

Faculty Score


: 4.3

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator

Module coordinator


Dr. Saravanan Saravanan Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi

Faculty ID : TTS3533

Faculty Name : Dr. Allwin Ebinesar, J.S.S

School : SOEC

Department : Biotechnology

Course Code : 10211BT306

Course Name : Downstream processing Laboratory

Slot : S1

Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Laboratory

No. of Students Registered : 23

Faculty Score : 4.8

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.7	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation



Course Instructor

p. n. d. g.
Course Coordinator
Module coordinator



Dr. P. Ashagu Saravana Dabur
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

HoD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS2409
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10211BT307
Slot : S1
No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name : Nirmala Nithya, R
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Immunology laboratory
Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Laboratory
No. of Students Responded : 29

Faculty Score : 4.2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.1	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

P. N. Nithya
Course Instructor

S. N. Nithya
Course Coordinator
Module coordinator

Dr. P. Nithya, Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala

Dr. P. Nithya, Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala

HOD of Course offering Dept
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
VALL Institute of Science and Technology
(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi)

Faculty ID : TTS3625
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10211BT307
Slot : S2
No. of Students Registered : 33

Faculty Name : Dr. Senthil Kumar, S
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Immunology laboratory
Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Laboratory
No. of Students Responded : 27
Faculty Score : 4.5

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator
Module coordinator

Dr. Ashok Saravana Babu
Head of the Department
Immunology

Vel Tech
Vellore Institute of Technology
Autonomous Institute of Science and Technology



Faculty ID

: TTS3515

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10212BT104

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 48

Faculty Name

: Dr. Achsah R.S

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Agricultural Biotechnology

Course Category/Type

: Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 44

Faculty Score

: 4.4

Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

[Signature]
Course Instructor

[Signature]
Course Coordinator
Module Coordinator

[Signature]
Dr. P. Arunagiri Saravanan Babu
Associate Professor
Biotechnology

[Signature]
HoD of Course offering Dept



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore - 620 015, Tamil Nadu, India

Faculty ID

School

Course Code

Slot

No. of Students Registered

: TTS3204

: SOEC

: 10211BT306

: S1

: 25

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

: Sugumari.V

: Biotechnology

: Downstream processing Laboratory

: Programme Core/Laboratory

: 23

Faculty Score

: 4.3

Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. V. A. Jayaraj Saravanan Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Head of Course offering Dept

Dr. Vaharmathi, R.S
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore - 620 015, Tamil Nadu, India

Faculty ID : TTS2285
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10212BT113
Slot : S1
No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name : Sai Nandhini R
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Enzyme Technology and Biotransformation
Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory
No. of Students Responded : 30
Faculty Score : 4.5

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

[Signature]
Course Instructor

[Signature]
Course Coordinator

[Signature]
Module Coordinator

[Signature]

Dr. P. Arunagiri Saravanan Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Nagendran
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore - 620 022

Faculty ID : TTS3761
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10212BT117
Slot : S1
No. of Students Registered : 46

Faculty Name : Dr. Shobana.S
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biopharmaceutical technology
Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory
No. of Students Responded : 38

Faculty Score : 4.2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

[Signature]
Course Instructor

[Signature]
Course Coordinator
Module coordinator

[Signature]
Dr. P. Arunagu Saravana Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Vel Tech
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore - 620 022
HoD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS3294

Faculty Name : Sugumari.V

School : SOEC

Department : Biotechnology

Course Code : 10212BT130

Course Name : Precision agricultural biotechnology

Slot : S1

Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Registered : 18

No. of Students Responded : 17

Faculty Score : 4.4

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation



Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Madhule Coordinator



Dr. P. Arundhati Saravana Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

HoD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : FTS3294

Faculty Name : Sugumari.V

School : SOEC

Department : Biotechnology

Course Code : 10212BT133

Course Name : Food preservation, packaging Technologies

Slot : S1

Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Registered : 16

No. of Students Responded : 14

Faculty Score : 4.6

Description		Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation



Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Madhuladevi

Dr. P. Arthugan Saravanan Babu
Head of the Department



Head of Course offering Dept.

Faculty ID

: TTS3761

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10212BT139

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 2

Faculty Name

: Dr.Shobana.S

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biomechanics

Course Category/Type

: Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 2

Faculty Score

: 5

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. P. Arshad

Course Instructor

Dr. P. Arshad

Course Coordinator

Dr. P. Arshad

Dr. P. Arshad

Dr. P. Arshad, Saravali Bala

Head of Biotechnology

Vel Tech

HoD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID

: TTS3276

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10213BT103

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 61

Faculty Name

: Dr.S.Chandra Mohan

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biomaterials Engineering

Course Category/Type

: Open elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 50

Faculty Score

: 4.6

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.7	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation



Course Instructor

Course Coordinator
Module Coordinators



Dr. P. Ashagu Saravana Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Vel Tech
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu 620 022

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S
Dean - School of Biomedical & Communication

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Suganthan
Vellore Institute of Science and Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu 620 022

Faculty ID

: TTS3533

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10212BT138

Slot

: S1

No. of Students Registered

: 2

Faculty Name

: Dr. Allwin Ebinesar J.S.S

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biomolecular Modelling

Course Category/Type

: Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 2

Faculty Score

: 5

Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation




Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

HOD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS2409

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10211BT113

Slot : SI

No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

: Nirmala Nithya. R

: Biotechnology

: Metabolic Engineering

: Programme Core/Theory

: 44

Faculty Score : 4.5

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

P. N. Nithya

Course Instructor

Dr. P. Arthiga Saravana Babu

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. u/s of UGC Act, 1956

School of Electrical and Communication

Department of Biotechnology

INFERENTIAL STATISTICS

OF

INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT WINTER SEMESTER 2023-24

- 1) Program core theory courses, the average feedback score is more than 4 with a minimum value of 4.1 and the maximum value of 5.
- 2) Program core laboratory courses, the average feedback is varying from 4.1 to 5.
- 3) Program elective courses, the feedback is around 4.2 to 5
- 4) For Open elective course, the feedback value is 4.6.
- 5) There are 20 courses handled by faculty members in the winter semester 2023-24.
- 6) In general, overall feedback given by students to various courses offered by Biotechnology department is satisfied and GOOD.


Dr. P. Azhagu Saravana Babu
Head of the Department
Biotechnology

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. u/s of UGC Act, 1956



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. as U of UGC Act, 1956)

SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION


DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING

Inferential Statistical of Interim Feedback Report

Winter Semester

Academic Year 2023-2024

A statistical analysis has been conducted pertaining to the average feedback score attained by the faculty for their respective courses handled during the current semester. The Pie chart shows the average score attained by the subject handling faculty.





Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Estd. u/s 3 of DGC Act, 1988

The following inferences are gathered from the above statistics,

1. The highest score attained is 5 and the least score is 4.3

Measures to be Taken

As everybody has scored more than 4.1, there is no action taken report .

Tentative future plans to meet student requirements :

1. Generally hands on practice and active participation over theoretical explanations during lab time may be given.
2. Include exploratory tasks that go beyond routine experiments to spark creativity and critical thinking
3. Encourage collaborative projects to promote peer learning and teamwork.


HOD/BME
Dr. D. Balasubramaniam
Head of the Department
Mechanical Engineering
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Estd. u/s 3 of DGC Act, 1988



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Enactment of UGC Act, 1956)

**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY &
BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

**INTERIM FEEDBACK - INFERENTIAL STATISTICS REPORT -
FRESHMEN ENGINEERING**

WINTER SEMESTER 2023-2024


The Interim Feedback Analysis for the Winter Semester 2023-24 was conducted to evaluate the quality of instruction and overall student satisfaction in the Foundation theory course - Biology for Engineers (Course Code: 10210BM101) offered by the Department of Biotechnology & Biomedical Engineering to Freshmen Engineering Students of various departments. The dataset comprises feedback scores from 19 course offerings, providing a basis to infer overall teaching effectiveness and consistency across instructional slots.


The feedback scores range from 3.6 to 4.6, indicating generally positive student perceptions. The mean feedback score is observed to lie above 4.2, suggesting a high overall level of satisfaction.

From an inferential perspective, the distribution of scores indicates that most sections perform significantly above the neutral benchmark of 3.0. Assuming normality, one-sample inference would suggest that the population mean feedback score is statistically higher than the institutional satisfaction threshold, reinforcing the conclusion of effective course delivery across slots.

The highest feedback score (4.6) was recorded for the SoEC-C slot, handled by Dr. Madeshwari E, identifying this section as the top performer. Conversely, the lowest score (3.6) was observed in the SoC-A6 slot, taught by Dr. Leena Sharan V, indicating comparatively lower student satisfaction. While this score is still above average, it represents a potential area for targeted academic support or pedagogical refinement. Except for three sections, students from the remaining sixteen sections have given a positive feedback score greater than 4, indicating good teaching performance by the teachers.

Overall, inferential interpretation of the feedback data suggests statistically strong teaching performance with minor variations among slots. The consistently high scores across sections imply that differences in instructional delivery do not significantly affect student satisfaction. These findings support the conclusion that the course maintains a uniformly high standard of teaching effectiveness, with limited outliers at both the upper and lower ends of performance.


COURSE COORDINATOR


HOD
Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Enactment of UGC Act, 1956)


DEAN
Dr. Vataranathi, R.S
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Enactment of UGC Act, 1956)



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
VIT's Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai-600 076, India

**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION
DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY &
BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

Consolidated Faculty Feedback Table (2023-24 Winter Semester)

S.No	Course Code	Course Name	Slot	Faculty	Feedback Score
1.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoEC-F	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.4
2.				Shelishiyah R	3.7
3.				Dr. Arunachalam P	4.3
4.				S Hema	4.5
5.			SoMC-A	Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K	4.1
6.			SoEC-A2	Dr. Muthalakshmi M	4.1
7.			SoC-A3	Dr. Madeshwari E	4.4
8.			SoEC-C	Dr. Madeshwari E	4.6
9.			SoEC-E	Dr. Prasannamedha G	4.5
10.			SoC-A2	S Hema	4.4
11.			SoC-A4	Dr. Prasannamedha G	4.5
12.			SoEC-A1	Dr. Senthil Kumar S	4.2
13.			SoC-A5	Dr. Shobana S	4.1
14.			SoC-A1	Shelishiyah R	4.5
15.			SoEC-G	Dr. Senthil Kumar S	4.5
16.			SoEC-I	Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K	4.2
17.			SoMC-B	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	3.9
18.			SoC-A6	Dr. Leena Sharan V	3.6
19.			SoEC-H	Dr. Leena Sharan V	4.2

A. Parama Zan
COURSE COORDINATOR

Anjan
HOD
Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of Department
Biomedical Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
VIT's Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai-600 076, India

Dr. Valarmathi R.S
DEAN
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
VIT's Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai-600 076, India

Faculty ID

: TTS2660

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoMC-A

No. of Students Registered

: 63

Faculty Name

: Padmanabha Sarma. A.B.S.K

Department

: Biomedical Engineering

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 60

Faculty Score

: 4.1

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.9	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	3.9	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering

Dr. Valarmathy
Dean - School of Biomedical Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangapet Dr. Sagunthala
30/10 Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by the Government of Tamil Nadu

A. V.
Course Coordinator

J. B. M.
Course Instructor

Head of Course offering Dept



Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID

: TTS2660

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoEC-I

Faculty Name

: Padmanabha Sarma, A.B.S.K

Department

: Biomedical Engineering

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Registered

: 67

No. of Students Responded

: 56

Faculty Score

: 4.2

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		3.9	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.1	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation

[Signature]

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering

HoD of Course offering Dept

[Signature]

Course Coordinator

[Signature]

Course Instructor



Faculty ID

: TTS3599

Faculty Name

: Dr. Arunachalam. P

School

: SOEC

Department

: Biomedical Engineering

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Slot

: SoEC-D

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Registered

: 68

No. of Students Responded

: 68

Faculty Score

: 4.3

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator


Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering
HOD of Course offering Dept


Dr. Vallamathi, R.S
Dean - School of Education & Communication
Vel Tech



Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID

: TTS3681

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoEC-C

No. of Students Registered

: 69

Faculty Name

: Dr. Modeshwari, E

Department

: Biomedical Engineering

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 66

Faculty Score

: 4.6

Description	Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

[Signature]
Course Instructor

[Signature]
Course Coordinator

[Signature]
Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering

Head of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS3681
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoC-A3
No. of Students Registered : 68
Faculty Name : Dr. Madeshwari. E
Department : Biomedical Engineering
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Responded : 48
Faculty Score : 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator


Dean
 Dr. D. Balasubramanian
 Head of the Institution
 HoD of Course offering Dept
 Rangarajapuram Dr. Sengulthala
 101D Institute of Science and Technology
 Chennai-600 060



Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID

: TTS3064

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoC-A2

Faculty Name

: S Hema

Department

: Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Registered

: 69

No. of Students Responded

: 58

Faculty Score

: 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

A. D. A. Subashchandrababu

HOD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS3064
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoEC-B
No. of Students Registered : 58

Faculty Name : S Hema
Department : Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Responded : 52
Faculty Score : 4.5

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator


Head of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID

: TTS2422

Faculty Name

: Sheshiyah. R

School

: SOEC

Department

: Biomedical Engineering

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Slot

: SoEC-A

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Registered

: 71

No. of Students Responded

: 71

Faculty Score

: 3.7

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		3.7	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		3.8	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		3.7	Please help students to clarify doubts in the class
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		3.5	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		3.6	Please arrange lectures logically and systematically
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		3.7	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		3.6	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		3.8	Please inform the students about the course come and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		3.6	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		3.6	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		3.9	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		3.7	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

Head of the Department
Biomedical Engineering

Dr. Valarmathi. R.S.
Dean - School of Science & Communication

Vel Tech
Deen
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
3610 Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai-600 062, India

Dr. S. S. Srinivasan
Course Instructor

A. S. Srinivasan
Course Coordinator

Faculty ID : TTS2422

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10210BM101

Slot : SoC-AI

No. of Students Registered : 70

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded : 69

: Sreelakshmi R.

: Biomedical Engineering

: Biology for Engineers


: Foundation Course/Theory

Faculty Score : 4.5

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Coordinator


Course Instructor



Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biology for Engineers


HOD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS3515
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SOEC-F
No. of Students Responded : 71

Faculty Name : Dr. Achuth R.S
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory
Faculty Score : 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator


Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biology for Engineers
HOD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS3625
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoEC-A1
No. of Students Registered : 66
Faculty Name : Dr. Senthil Kumar. S
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Responded : 64
Faculty Score : 4.2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

Rangarajan

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department
Biology for Engineers

HoD of Course offering Dept
Rangarajan Dr. Nagathilada
Vellore Institute of Technology
(Approved by the Council for Higher Education, India)

[Signature]
Course Coordinator

[Signature]
Course Instructor



VelTech
Rangarajam Dr. Nageshadasa
Engineering College
Chennai - 600 061

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS5761

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10210BM101

Slot : SoC-A5

No. of Students Registered : 69

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

: Dr. Shobana.S

: Biotechnology

: Biology for Engineers

: Foundation Course/Theory

: 67

Faculty Score : 4.1

Description		Score (S)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		3.9	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.1	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4	Please inform the students about the course come and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

Head of the Department

Biotechnology

Head of Course offering Dept

Biotechnology

Dr. Nageshadasa

Head of the Department

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

Head of the Department

Biotechnology

Head of Course offering Dept

Biotechnology

Dr. Nageshadasa

Head of the Department

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

Head of the Department

Biotechnology

Head of Course offering Dept

Biotechnology

Dr. Nageshadasa

Head of the Department

Faculty ID : TTS3625

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10210BM101

Slot : SOEC-G

No. of Students Registered : 69

Faculty Name : Dr. Sarath Kumar S

Department : Biotechnology

Course Name : Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Responded : 68

Faculty Score

: 4.5

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation



Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of Department
Biology for Engineers



Course Coordinator



Course Instructor

Faculty ID

: TTS3519

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoMC-B

No. of Students Registered

: 59

Faculty Name

: Dr. Bisheshwar Karmakar

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 41

Faculty Score

: 3.9

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.7	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	3.7	Please arrange lectures logically and systematically
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	3.9	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	3.7	Please inform the students about the course come and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	3.8	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	3.9	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	3.7	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	3.8	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

Bhavana
 Course Instructor

[Signature]
 Course Coordinator

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
 Head of the Department
 Vel Tech
 HoD of Course offering Dept

[Signature]
 Dr. Velammala R.S.
 Dean - School of Biotech & Communication

Vel Tech
 Vellore Institute of Technology

Faculty ID : TTS3773
School : SOEC
Course Code : 10210BM101
Slot : SoC-A6
No. of Students Registered : 67

Faculty Name : Dr. Leena Sharan V
Department : Biotechnology
Course Name : Biology for Engineers
Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Responded : 64

Faculty Score : 3.6

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		3.6	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		3.6	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		3.6	Please help students to clarify doubts in the class
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		3.4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		3.7	Please arrange lectures logically and systematically
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		3.6	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		3.6	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		3.5	Please inform the students about the course come and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		3.4	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		3.6	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		3.5	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		3.6	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

Dr. Leena Sharan V

Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head, School of Biotechnology

HOD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID

: TTS3773

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoEC-H

No. of Students Registered

: 69

Faculty Name

: Dr. Leena Sharan V

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 46

Faculty Score

: 4.2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.1	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation


 Course Coordinator


 Course Instructor


 Dr. D. Balasubramanian
 Head of the Department
 Biotechnology


 HoD of Course offering Dept
 Biotechnology
 VelTech Institute of Technology
 VelTech Institute of Technology


 Dr. Velammudi, R.S.
 Dean - School of Engineering & Technology
 VelTech Institute of Technology
 VelTech Institute of Technology

Faculty ID

: TTS3607

School

: SOEC

Course Code

: 10210BM101

Slot

: SoftC-E

No. of Students Registered

: 71

Faculty Name

: Dr. Prasannamedha. G

Department

: Biotechnology

Course Name

: Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

No. of Students Responded

: 67

Faculty Score

: 4.5

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation


Course Instructor


Course Coordinator


Dr. D. Balasubramanian
Head of the Department

Dr. Valarmathi. R.S
Dean - School of Biotechnology

Vel Tech
Dea

Vel Tech
HoD of Course offering Dept

Faculty ID : TTS3607
 School : SOEC
 Course Code : 10210BM101
 Slot : SoC-A4
 No. of Students Registered : 68

Faculty Name : Dr. Prasanna Medha, G
 Department : Biotechnology
 Course Name : Biology for Engineers
 Course Category/Type : Foundation Course Theory
 No. of Students Responded : 63

4.5

Faculty Score

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation



Dr. D. Balasubramanian
 Head of the Department



Course Coordinator



Course Instructor



Minutes of the Meeting on Students Feedback Analysis

The Department meeting was convened to discuss the student feedback analysis for Aeronautical Engineering courses conducted during the Winter Semester 2023-24.

Overall, the feedback for the courses was found to be satisfactory and above the required level.

However, six courses recorded comparatively lower faculty scores and multiple student suggestions, indicating the need for academic and pedagogical improvements.

Students mainly expressed the need for:

- Better clarity of explanation
- Additional support for slow learners
- Simplified learning materials
- Clear discussion on course outcomes and assessment procedures
- Post-test review sessions

Based on the analysis, the following course-wise issues and recommended actions were discussed and approved.





No	Feedback Point	Course	Recommended Action
1	Students expecting better clarity in explanation	Introduction to Computer Programming, Low Speed Aerodynamics	Introduce simplified explanations with examples, recap key concepts at the end of each session
2	Slow learners expecting additional academic support	Introduction to Computer Programming, Linear System Analysis & Control	Conduct remedial classes and provide individualised learning support
3	Students expecting better access to learning materials	Low Speed Aerodynamics, Space Exploration (S1)	Share simplified lecture notes, additional references, and visual learning materials
4	Lack of clarity on course outcomes and graduate attributes	Introduction to Computer Programming, Linear System Analysis & Control	Allocate dedicated sessions to explain course outcomes and CO-PO mapping
5	Students expecting guidance on assessment and examinations	Low Speed Aerodynamics, Space Exploration (S1)	Clearly explain assessment patterns and conduct exam-oriented guidance sessions
6	No post-test discussion on common mistakes	Low Speed Aerodynamics, Introduction to Computer Programming	Conduct post-assessment review sessions highlighting common errors and improvement strategies
7	Moderate engagement and pedagogy concerns	Combustion & Flame Dynamics, Propulsion Lab	Adopt blended teaching methods including demonstrations, visuals, and structured lab flow

Domain coordinators are informed to discuss the same in domain meeting and implement the suggested action items.


Department IQAC Coordinator


Dr. R. R. R. R.
Head of Department
Department of Aeronautical Engineering
HoD - Aero
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Nagathihala
Rangarajan Dr. Nagathihala
Rangarajan Dr. Nagathihala

Domain Coordinators

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

School of Mechanical and Construction

Department of Civil Engineering

INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF THE INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT .

Winter Semester

Academic Year: 2023-2024

A statistical analysis of feedback has been done on its average score based on the feedback score that the faculties received for each of their individual subjects. The average score earned in each subject by the faculties is depicted in the pie chart below (with course codes).

Feedback Analysis



• 10211CE106 • 10211CE107 • 10211CE108 • 10211CE109
• 10211CE110 • 10211CE111 • 10211CE113 • 10211CE204
• 10211CE205 • 10211CE206 • 10211CE301 • 10212CE121
• 10212CE122 • 10212CE132 • 10213CE101 • 10213CE102

The statistics gives following inferences:

- Based on the department's measures, as indicated by the data from summer semester 23-24, a noticeable improvement has been noted, as the statistics graph make evident.
- Moreover, slow learners have been given special care and attention.
- Maximum score of 4.8 and minimum score of 3.8 has been attained.

Exclusive Measures Undertaken

- To observe the active participation and involvement of slow learners, only active learning approaches were used.
- Value added courses exclusively related with the curriculum was conducted.
- Regular mentor-mentee meetings were held, and academic performance of both quick and slow learners was closely monitored and addressed.
- The attendance and the performance of the students were monitored and reported to the concern mentors and respective parents.

Tentative future plan to meet the requirements of the Students

- Training programmes shall be conducted for the practical understanding of the concepts.
- Guest lectures/ Seminars shall be conducted for the students to acquire additional knowledge about the course in the real-time world, ultimately.
- The lowest score of 3.8 has been monitored and required action is being taken.


Prof. Dr. N. Lenin
Dean - School of Chemical
and Construction

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
VIT Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai - 600 076


Prof. Dr. N. Lenin
Dean - School of Chemical
and Construction
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
VIT Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai - 600 076



**School of Mechanical and Construction
Department of Mechanical Engineering**

Date: 04.03.2024

Interim Feedback Analysis – Winter Semester 2023–2024

The Interim Feedback Analysis for the winter Semester 2023–2024 was conducted to evaluate the **quality of instruction and overall student satisfaction** across the courses offered by the **Mechanical Engineering department**. This report presents a summary of the findings based on **faculty scores** obtained from **structured student feedback**. The feedback dataset includes information related to **faculty members, course codes, course categories, student registration strength, response count, and faculty performance scores**.

Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistical analysis of the Interim Feedback data for the **Winter Semester 2023–2024** indicates that, overall, **faculty members received consistently high feedback scores** on a 5-point scale, reflecting effective teaching practices and positive student perception across the Mechanical Engineering department. The feedback scores show **limited variation**, demonstrating uniformity in instructional quality across different course categories.

- Under the **Foundation Course** category, three courses were offered across 18 sections. The average feedback scores range from 4.5 to 5.0, with **Project Management and Finance (10210ME104)** accounting for the highest number of sections. The overall feedback in this category reflects **good to excellent instructional effectiveness**.
- In the **Programme Core – Theory** category, two courses were conducted across three sections, with average feedback scores ranging from 4.4 to 4.6, indicating satisfactory clarity in concept delivery and course organization.
- The **Programme Core – Integrated** category comprises seven courses offered across 10 sections. The feedback scores in this category range from 4.2 to 4.8, reflecting effective integration of theory and application. The majority of courses achieved scores above 4.5, demonstrating strong student satisfaction.
- **Programme Core – Laboratory** courses include one course offered in two sections, with an average feedback score of 4.6, indicating effective laboratory instruction and practical engagement.
- Under the **Programme Elective – Theory** category, nine courses were offered across nine sections. The feedback scores range from 4.5 to 5.0, with multiple courses achieving perfect scores, highlighting excellent teaching quality and relevance of elective content.
- The **Open Elective – Theory** category includes seven courses conducted across 12 sections. The feedback scores range between 4.3 and 4.9, with the majority of courses scoring above 4.5, demonstrating effective delivery of interdisciplinary content to a diverse student group.

Overall, the feedback analysis reveals that all courses recorded average scores above 4.2, with the majority of sections achieving scores greater than 4.5. This clearly indicates that the **teaching–learning process** across all course categories during the **Winter Semester 2023–2024** was effective, and the faculty members have maintained a high standard of academic delivery and student engagement.

HoD-Mechanical
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

FRESHMAN ENGINEERING

SoMC – MECH, CIVIL

Winter Semester 2023–24

INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEED BACK REPORT

In the Academic year 2023 – 24 Winter Semester, Four Foundation Courses were offered for the first year Second Semester B. Tech students as per R-21 Regulations.

1. 10210ME101 - Design Thinking offered to the students of SoC– Specialization CSE
2. 10210ME201- Engineering Graphics offered to the students of SoC– Specialization CSE
3. 10210EE204 - Introduction to Engineering offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (ECE, EEE, Biomedical), SoC Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)
4. 10210EE301 – Engineering Products Laboratory offered to the students of SoC-Specialization CSE

Based on the feed back score attained by the faculties for the irrespective sections, the feed back Statistical Analysis has been made and the following report is generated,


Sl. No.	Course Code & Name	Average Score
1	10210ME101 - Design Thinking	4.30
2	10210ME201- Engineering Graphics	4.43
3	10210EE204 - Introduction to Engineering	4.17
4	10210EE301 – Engineering Products Laboratory	4.18

Statistical Analysis Findings:

- The highest feedback score recorded was 4.8, while the lowest was 3.
- Most courses received feedback scores ranging between 4 and 4.8, with only one or two sections falling outside this range.
- Students reported that regular assessments and timely feedback helped them understand course outcomes more effectively.
- Students expressed the need for better time management during classes to ensure completion of the syllabus as planned.
- Some students indicated difficulty in keeping pace with the course content, especially during concept-heavy sessions.

Recommended Actions:

- Conduct periodic review and recap sessions to help students reinforce key concepts and improve comprehension.
- Encourage students to actively participate in class discussions and ask questions without hesitation.
- Faculty should adopt structured lesson planning to manage classtime efficiently and Ensure syllabus coverage.
- Provide additional learning resources such as short notes, recorded lectures, or practice problems to support students who require extra assistance.
- Motivate students to utilize mentoring hours and academic support sessions for timely clarification of doubts.



FME-Coordinator



Dean-FME



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. year 2 of UGC Act, 1986

School of Sciences and Humanities

Department of Chemistry

10210CH105 – ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

ACADEMIC YEAR – 2023 – 2024

SEMESTER – WINTER


INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

S.No.	Section	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Faculty Score
1.	SoC - A	TTS 2005	Dr. Hazarathaiah yadav	4.5
2.	SoC - B	TTS 2429	Dr. Kanniraj	4.6
3.	SoC - C	TTS 3504	Dr. Srinivasan V	4.3
4.	SoC - D	TTS 3521	Dr. Divya D	4.5
5.	SoC - E	TTS 2717	Dr. N. Haridharan	4.6
6.	SoC - F	TTS 2817	Dr. M. Nagoor Meeran	4.5
7.	SoC - G	TTS 3081	Dr. Edayadulla N	4.8
8.	SoC - H	TTS 3039	Dr. L. Venkataramana	4.4
9.	SoC - I	TTS 3508	Dr. Gosu Nageswara Reddy	4.1
10.	SoC - J	TTS 2879	Dr. K. Thirunavukkarasu	4.6
11.	SoC - K	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	4.4
12.	SoC - L	TTS 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	3.6
13.	SoC - M	TTS 3233	Dr. A. Roniboss	4.5
14.	SoC - N	TTS 3337	Dr. Silambarasan A	4.4
15.	SoC - O	TTS 3344	Dr. Gayathri J	4.6
16.	SoC - P	TTS 3706	Dr. Ramesh M	4.7
17.	SoC - Q	TTS 3728	Dr. Meenakshi S	4
18.	SoC - R	TTS 3504	Dr. Srinivasan V	4.7
19.	SoC - S	TTS 2717	Dr. N. Haridharan	4.3
20.	SoC - T	TTS 3081	Dr. Edayadulla N	4.7
21.	SoC - U	TTS 3728	Dr. Meenakshi	4.3



Dr. M. Senthil Kumar
Dean - Freshman Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. year 2 of UGC Act, 1986


Dr. Hazarathaiah Yadav
Head of the Department
Chemistry

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Est. year 2 of UGC Act, 1986



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. by UGC Act, 1956)

School of Science and Humanities
Department of Chemistry

ACADEMIC YEAR – 2023 – 2024

SEMESTER – WINTER

INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

S.No.	Course Code	Course Title	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Section / Slot	Faculty Score
1	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3728	Dr. Meenakshi S	RC1	4.6
2	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 2879	Dr. K. Thirunavukkarasu	B1	4.8
3.	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	B1	4.6
4.	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3348	Dr. Sivarama Krishna L	RC1	4.9
5	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3344	Dr. Gayathri J	M1	4.6
6	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3233	Dr. A. Roniboss	B2	4.3
7	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3337	Dr. Silambarasan A	B1	4.5
8	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3706	Dr. Ramesh M	B2	4.8
9	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3508	Dr. Gosu Nageswara Reddy	B4	4.4
10	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2717	Dr. N. Haridharan	B1	4.4
11	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	B1	4.6
12	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2817	Dr. M. Nagoor Meeran	B2	4.5

Dr. M. Sivakumar
Dean - Freshman Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. by UGC Act, 1956)

Dr. Haranarthalan Varadachari
Head of Department
Chemistry

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. by UGC Act, 1956)



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Estd. a/c.3 of UGC Act, 1956

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

Analysis and Action Taken Report of Faculty Feedback on Courses Handled

Academic Year 2023-24 / Eng. Chem (Winter Semester) - R21

Sl. No.	Course offered to	Course Code	Course Title	Faculty Feedback Report	Action taken
1	B.Tech.	10210CH105	Engineering Chemistry	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered. Also, faculties ensured the audible volume throughout the class.


Head of the Department

Assistant Professor
Department of Chemistry

Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Estd. a/c.3 of UGC Act, 1956


Dean - FME

Dr. M. Srinivasan
Dean - Electronics Engineering

Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) Estd. a/c.3 of UGC Act, 1956



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sugunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) established by UGC Act, 1956

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

Analysis and Action Taken Report of Faculty Feedback on Courses Handled

Academic Year 2023-24 / ESS (Winter Semester) - R21

Sl. No.	Course offered to	Course Code	Course Title	Faculty Feedback Report	Action taken
1	B.Tech.	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered.
2	B.Tech.	10210CH104	Environmental Studies and Sustainability	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered.


Head of the Department

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sugunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) established by UGC Act, 1956


Dean - FME

Dr. P. Srinivasan
Dean - Faculty Member
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sugunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University) established by UGC Act, 1956



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Decreed to be University Equivalent by UGC Act, 1956)

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY


WINTER SEMESTER 2023-24 (R21)

INFERENCIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT FOR ENG. CHEM

In AY2023-24-WS, 21 Foundation theory papers were offered for B.Tech students as per 21-regulations. The course name with course code is Engineering Chemistry/10210CH105

The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The maximum and minimum faculty scores are 4.8 and 3.6 respectively.
- ✓ The average or peak maximum faculty score of 4.4 was obtained.
- ✓ The least score was given by the slow learners, who expect that special care be taken for them.
- ✓ Some students expect the faculty to maintain an audible volume, use of interesting teaching and more discussions.



Measures to be taken:

- ❖ Special classes should be conducted adequately for slow learners.
- ❖ Active learning methods should be adopted to promote student participation and clarify doubts.
- ❖ Faculty members should address students' doubts during the class itself.
- ❖ Necessary steps should be taken to ensure timely distribution of lecture notes and study materials.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the upcoming sessions.


HOD (CHEMISTRY)


DEAN (FME)




DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
WINTER SEMESTER 2023-24 (R21)
INFERENCIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT FOR ESS

In AY2023-24-SS, 9 Foundation theory papers were offered for the B.Tech students as per 21-regulations. List of the courses are as follows:

- Environmental Studies (10210CH103)
- Environmental Studies and Sustainability (10210CH104)

The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The maximum and minimum faculty scores are 4.7 and 4.4 respectively.
- ✓ The average or peak maximum faculty score of 4.6 was obtained.
- ✓ Overall, the scores indicate that all faculty members received good feedback.
- ✓ The lowest scores were observed among learners who need additional support and individualized attention.



Measures to be taken:

- ❖ Special classes should be conducted adequately for slow learners.
- ❖ Active learning methods should be adopted to promote student participation and clarify doubts.
- ❖ Faculty members should address students' doubts during the class itself.
- ❖ Necessary steps should be taken to ensure timely distribution of lecture notes and study materials.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the upcoming sessions.

HOD (CHEMISTRY)

DEAN (FME)

Dr. M. Sivakumar
Dean - Freshman Engineering



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Department of English

Interim Feedback Report – Winter Semester – 2023-24

10210EN202 - Professional Communication II

Inferential Statistics of Feedback Report

S.No.	Score Range	No. of Faculty Observations	Average Score
1	3.5 - 4.0	4	3.82
2	4.0 – 4.5	26	4.38
3	4.5 – 5.0	10	4.67

Statistical Analysis Report – English

Course Code: 10210EN202

Semester: Winter Semester – 2023-24

Observations from Faculty Feedback Score:

Student feedback was collected to evaluate the effectiveness of faculty members based on twelve well-defined parameters related to teaching–learning processes. These parameters focused on classroom communication, conceptual clarity, learner engagement, inclusivity, assessment practices, syllabus completion, and overall teaching dynamism. The feedback data were statistically analyzed to draw meaningful inferences regarding teaching quality and student satisfaction.

Inferential Statistical Interpretation

The inferential analysis of the feedback data reveals the following significant trends:

- A dominant majority (65%) of faculty members fall within the 4.0–4.5 score range, indicating a consistently high level of teaching effectiveness across the department.
- 25% of faculty members achieved scores between 4.5 and 5.0, reflecting exceptional teaching performance characterized by strong conceptual delivery, student engagement, fairness in assessment, and energetic classroom conduct.
- Only 10% of faculty members scored between 3.5 and 4.0, which still falls within a good performance range, suggesting scope for enhancement rather than concern.

The overall mean score trends toward the higher end of the scale, demonstrating that student perception of teaching quality is significantly positive.

Inference and Academic Implications

From the statistical distribution and average scores, the following inferences can be drawn:

- Students clearly understand classroom instruction, concepts, and course outcomes, indicating effective communication and pedagogical clarity.
- The high average scores suggest that faculty members successfully employ student-centric teaching strategies, encourage interaction, and provide meaningful academic support.
- Fairness and transparency in Internal Assessment practices have been well received, strengthening trust in evaluation mechanisms.
- Timely syllabus completion before examinations reflects effective academic planning and execution.
- The strong representation in the top score range implies that teaching practices adopted by the department significantly enhance student learning outcomes.

Action Taken

1. Interactive and ICT-enabled teaching strategies were strengthened to improve learner engagement and conceptual clarity across courses.
2. Remedial and mentoring support was initiated for slow learners to ensure inclusive and effective learning outcomes.
3. Evaluation transparency was reinforced through regular discussion of Internal Assessment answer scripts and timely syllabus completion.

Conclusion

The inferential statistical analysis of the student feedback clearly indicates that the overall teaching performance of the faculty members is highly satisfactory to excellent. The concentration of observations in the higher score ranges validates the effectiveness of instructional strategies, learner support mechanisms, and assessment practices followed by the department. The feedback outcomes affirm the department's commitment to academic excellence and continuous quality enhancement.





Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Enrolled u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

WINTER SEMESTER 2023 – 2024


INFERENCIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT

FIRST YEAR COURSES


In AY2023-24-WS, five Foundation courses were offered for the first year B.Tech students as per 21-regulations.

- Calculus and Ordinary Differential Equations (10210MA102) was offered to the students of School of Computing.
- Vector Calculus and Complex Variable (10210MA203) was offered to the students of School of Electronics and Communication, School of Mechanical and Construction.
- Probability, Statistics and Queueing Theory (10210MA103) was offered to the students of School of Computing (Special Section).
- Probability and Numerical Methods (10210MA204) was offered to the students of School of Electronics and Communication (Special Section).
- Probability and Statistics (10210MA205) was offered to the students of School of Mechanical and Construction.

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and the following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses offered by the department of Mathematics.



Average Feedback Mathematics First Year (WS 2023-24)



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Calculus and Ordinary Differential Equations (10210MA102) was 4.7 and the least feedback score rate was 3.3.
- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Vector Calculus and Complex Variable (10210MA203) was 4.7 and the least feedback score rate was 3.8.
- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Probability, Statistics and Queueing Theory (10210MA103) was 4.6 and the least feedback score rate was 3.9.
- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Probability and Numerical Methods (10210MA204) was 4.6.
- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Probability and Statistics (10210MA205) was 4.3.
- ✓ An average, the feedback score ranges between 4.3 to 4.7.
- ✓ The students expect the faculty to maintain the mode of audibility.
- ✓ The students wanted the faculty to include additional references and visual representations in the lectures.
- ✓ The students expect the faculty to use appropriate teaching techniques to enhance teaching.
- ✓ The students expect the faculty to complete the syllabus well in advance before the tests.
- ✓ The students need subject materials to be shared with them for effective learning process.
- ✓ Mostly, the least score was given by the slow learners.
- ✓ The students are expecting that their doubts to be cleared by the faculties in the class.

Remedial Measures:

- ❖ Students were advised through mentors to maintain silence in the class and actively participate in the class.
- ❖ Special class for the slow learners is planned and conducted.

- ❖ Active Learning Method techniques are adopted for active participation of students.
- ❖ The doubts are clarified in the class itself by the faculties.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned to enrich and update the current trends to the faculties.
- ❖ 0 credit value added courses are planned and conducted for improving the better understanding of the students.
- ❖ Necessary action is taken for the students to receive their lecture notes study materials on time.

T. M. 9/8/24.

HOD- MATHEMATICS

G. Gurusu Ann 10/8/24
DEAN-FME

Prof. Dr. J. Vijayanth
Head of the Department
Mathematics
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagundhala
2022 Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by the Council of the Institution of Engineers, India

Dr. G. Guna Sekaran
Dean - Freshmen Engineering
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagundhala
2022 Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by the Council of the Institution of Engineers, India

S.No.	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Slot	No. of Students Registered	No. of Students Entered Feedback	Feedback Rating Point (Out of 5)
-------	---------	--------------	------	----------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA102 / Calculus and Ordinary Differential Equations(R21)

1	TTS1084	Dr. VISWANATH. J	SoC-S1	69	62	4.20
2	TTS2240	Dr.E.CHANDRASEKARAN	SoC-S2	69	60	4.10
3	TTS2255	Dr. SURESH. M. L	SoC-S3	69	61	4.60
4	TTS2315	Dr. T. GUNASEKAR	SoC-S4	66	66	4.40
5	TTS2376	Dr. SHANMUGA PRIYA. R	SoC-S5	68	58	4.10
6	TTS2428	Dr. KALAIVANI. N	SoC-S6	68	57	4.30
7	TTS1498	Dr. J. NARESH KUMAR	SoC-S7	69	57	4.70
8	TTS1090	Dr. D. KANAGAJOTHI	SoC-S8	69	60	4.40
9	TTA2894	Dr.P.NARAYANASAMY	SoC-S9	68	41	4.30
10	TTS2615	Dr. N. NAGADEVI BALA	SoC-S10	65	50	4.50
11	TTS2773	Dr. N. KUMARAN	SoC-S11	63	51	3.90
12	TTS2895	Dr.B.RAMESH KUMAR	SoC-S12	60	50	4.40
13	TTS3245	Dr. PREMALATHA. M	SoC-S13	67	65	4.40
14	TTS1286	Dr. SREELAKSHMI LINGINENI	SoC-S14	63	62	4.10
15	TTS2471	Dr. P. K.HEMALATHA	SoC-S15	63	62	4.60
16	TTS2944	Dr. NAGALAKSHMI. T	SoC-S16	63	63	4.50
17	TTS3300	Dr. SARAVANAKUMAR. G	SoC-S17	64	60	3.10
18	TTS3338	Dr. R. SANKAR	SoC-S18	65	65	4.50
19	TTS3531	Dr. KAVIYARASU	SoC-S19	64	49	4.20
20	TTS3541	Dr. BALAMURUGAN.M	SoC-S20	65	52	4.70
21	TTS3667	Dr. SELVAKUMARI. R	SoC-S21	62	60	4.20
22	TTS3668	Dr. STALIN. T	SoC-S22	62	23	4.40
23	TTS3856	Dr.K.ELAVARASAN	SoC-S23	64	39	4.50
24	TTS3860	Dr.P.MAYAVEL	SoC-S24	64	42	4.30
25	TTS3972	Dr.ARCHANA ALIAS GURULAKSHMI .G	SoC-SS1	70	63	3.80
26	TTS3914	Dr.EPADMAVATHY	SoC-SS2	69	60	4.60
27	TTS2773	Dr. N. KUMARAN	SoC-SS3	68	45	4.30
28	TTS3860	Dr. MAYAVEL	SoC-SS4	67	60	4.30
29	TTS3972	Dr.ARCHANA ALIAS GURULAKSHMI .G	SoC-SS5	68	54	4.40
30	TTS3914	Dr.EPADMAVATHY	SoC-SS6	64	54	4.40

Course Code / Title: 10210MA203 / Vector Calculus and Complex Variable(R21)

1	TTS2545	Dr.R.NARMADA DEVI	SoEC-S1	66	63	3.60
2	TTS2732	Dr. MEENAKSHI. A	SoEC-S2	67	58	4.40
3	TTS2792	Dr. VIJAYALAKSHMI. G.M	SoEC-S3	66	59	4.30
4	TTS1643	Dr.M.REGAN	SoEC-S4	67	62	4.40

	TTS1962	Dr. K. SATHISH KUMAR	SoEC-55	66	63	4.80
	TTS3034	Dr. SENBAGAMALAR, J	SoEC-56	63	47	4.50
	TTS2941	Dr.S.KARPAGAM	SoEC-57	67	61	4.30
8	TTS3267	Dr.A.SELVARAJ	SoEC-58	68	54	4.10
10	TTS3284	Dr. JEEVA, A	SoEC-510	50	50	3.60
11	TTS3284	Dr.AJEEVA	SoEC-511	63	63	4.10
12	TTS3267	Dr.A.SELVARAJ	SoMC-51	66	50	3.70

Course Code / Title: 10210MA103 / Probability, Statistics and Queuing theory(R21)

1	TTS637	Dr.C.T.DORA PRAVINA	SoC-SS1	70	63	4.20
2	TTS2799	Dr. NIRANJAN S.P.	SoC-SS2	69	60	4.20
3	TTS3507	Dr.CHANDIRAN,V	SoC-SS3	68	45	3.80
4	TTS2649	Dr. K. A. VENKATESAN	SoC-SS4	67	60	4.00
5	TTS3005	Dr. B. SOMASUNDARAM	SoC-SS5	68	54	4.20
6	TTS3339	Dr. R. NAVANEETHA KRISHNAN	SoC-SS6	65	54	4.20

Course Code / Title: 10210MA204 / Probability and Numerical MethodS(R21)

	TTS3266	Dr. KARTHIK, S	SoEC-59	50	47	4.70
--	---------	----------------	---------	----	----	------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA205 / Probability and Statistics(R21)

1	TTS2600	Dr.P. SARANYA	SoMC-52	56	49	4.70
---	---------	---------------	---------	----	----	------

Course Coordinators

1. *spl*
2. *M. of su*
3. *S. kante*

HoD - Maths

Prof. Dr. J. V. V. V. V. V.
Head of the Department
Mathematics
Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagarika
No. 10, Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai - 600 092

G. Anna Kumar
Dean - FME

Dr. G. Guna Sekaran
Dean - Freshman Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagarika
No. 10, Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai - 600 092




DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

WINTER SEMESTER 2023 – 2024

INFERENCIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and the following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses conducted by the mathematics department.

**Average Feedback Mathematics
(WS 2023-24)**



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained for the courses (Fourier series and Transform technique-10210MA104 and Calculus and Differential equations-10210MA202) was 4.9 and the least feedback score rate was 3 (Discrete Mathematical Structure-10210MA110).
- ✓ For many courses the feedback score ranges between 4.4 to 4.9.
- ✓ The least score was given by the slow learners to insist that the special care to be taken for them.
- ✓ It is observed that some of the students' doubt to be clarified by the faculty.
- ✓ Some students felt difficult to understand the phrases of the faculty.
- ✓ Students are not familiarised with the Cos of their courses.

Measures to be taken:

- ❖ Special class for the slow learners is planned to be conducted.
- ❖ Various Active Learning Method (ALM) to be implemented for active participation of students and for clearing their doubts.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the forth coming sessions.
- ❖ All CCs are instructed to direct the faculty to start the new chapter only after explaining the CO of the content.
- ❖ Faculty are instructed to conform the transparency of evaluated answer scripts to the students.
- ❖ Faculty are informed to conform the satisfaction of the students on the provided study materials.
- ❖ Faculty are urged to spend time to clarify the students doubt as an activity.

T. 16/4/24.

HOD- MATHEMATICS

Prof. Dr. J. Viswanath
Head of the Department
Mathematics

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Technology
Chennai - 600 076

16/4/24
DEAN-FME

Dr. M. Sivakumar
Dean - Freshman Engineering

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
Vellore Institute of Technology
Chennai - 600 076

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Freshman Engineering - Department of Mathematics
AY (2023 - 24) - Winter Semester - Consolidated Interim Feedback Analysis Report (R21)

S.No.	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Slot	No. of Students Registered	No. of Students Entered Feedback	Feedback Rating Point (Out of 5)
Course Code / Title: 10210MA103 / Probability, Statistics and Queuing theory(R21)						
1	TTS2545	Dr. NARMADA DEVI R.	L19 S1	56	49	4.70
2	TTS2615	Dr. N. NAGADEVI BALA	L18 S1	57	42	4.80
3	TTS3267	Dr. SELVARAJ A.	L14 S1	62	49	4.70
4	TTS2944	Dr. T. Nagalakshmi	L3 S1	56	50	4.70
5	TTS2649	Dr. K.A. Venkatesan	L15 S1	55	52	4.70
6	TTS2792	Dr. G.M. Vijayalakshmi	L2 S1	55	46	4.40
7	TTS2531	Dr. Kaviyarasu M.	L2 S1	56	47	4.50
8	TTS3245	Dr. M. Premalatha	L7 S1	56	48	4.50
9	TTS3300	Dr. G. Saravankumar	L19 S1	28	25	4.60
10	TTS2471	Hemalatha. P.K.	L1 S2	30	24	4.70
11	TTS3339	Navaneetha Krishnan R.	L19 S1	51	43	4.50
12	TTS1090	Dr. D. Kanagajothi	L9 S2	35	26	4.80
13	TTS3284	Dr. Jeeva A.	L3 S2	24	21	4.60
14	TTS2600	Saranya P.	L9 S2	37	34	4.60
15	TTS1962	Dr. Sathish kumar K.	L1 S2	19	13	4.60
16	TTS2799	Dr. Niranjan S.P.	L6 S1	50	45	4.50
17	TTS2770	Senith S.	L10 S1	55	43	4.40
18	TTS1286	Sreedakshmi Lingineni	L7 S1	57	50	4.50
19	TTS2732	Dr. A. Meenakshi	L18 S1	55	47	4.60
20	TTS3507	Dr. Chandran V.	L15 S1	58	48	4.50
21	TTS3266	Dr. Karthik S.	L6 S1	52	46	4.80
22	TTS2788	Sriprakasham S.	L15 S1	58	42	4.70
23	TTS3005	Dr. B. Somasundaram	L17 S2	29	21	4.60
24	TTS2956	R. Kala	L11 S1	57	46	4.60
25	TTS3034	Dr. J. Seebaganmalar	L14 S1	56	46	4.70
26	TTS2895	Dr. B. Ramesh Kumar	L14 S1	56	31	4.50
Course Code / Title: 10210MA104 / Fourier Series and Transform Techniques(R21)						
1	TTS2944	Dr. T. Nagalakshmi	S1	19	17	4.80
2	TTS2945	Dr. T. Nagalakshmi	S2	3	1	5.00
Course Code / Title: 10210MA106 / Probability and Random Process(R21)						
1	TTS3267	Dr. Selvaraj A.	P-1	58	57	4.80
2	TTS3507	Dr. Chandran V.	P-1	56	56	4.50
3	TTS2615	Dr. N. Nagadevi Bala	P-1	55	51	4.80
4	TTS2799	Dr. Niranjan S.P.	P-1	54	51	4.50
5	TTS2471	Hemalatha. P.K.	P-1	55	52	4.50
6	TTS3266	Dr. Karthik S.	P-1	55	52	4.60

7	TTS2956	R. Kala	P-I	55	52	4.50
8	TTS2773	N. Kumaran	P-I	33	31	4.60
9	TTS3034	Dr. J. Senbagamalar	P-I	57	56	4.70
10	TTS3245	Dr. M. Premalatha	P-I	52	50	4.60

Course Code / Title: 10210MA107/ Statistics and Numerical Methods(R21)

1	TTS2315	Dr. T. Gunasekar	SI	63	61	4.70
2	TTS2545	Dr. Narayana Devi. R.	SNM-I	56	49	4.70

Course Code / Title: 10210MA109/ Numerical Methods(R21)

1	TTS2770	Senthil. S	NM-I	55	46	4.40
2	TTS2428	Dr. Kalivani. N	NM-I	23	22	4.50
3	TTS2732	Dr. A. Meenakshi	NM-I	27	21	4.30
4	TTS3005	Dr. B. Sornasundaram	NM-2	5	5	4.40

Course Code / Title: 10210MA110 / Discrete Mathematical Structures(R21)

1	TTS3667	Dr. Selvakumar. R.	D2	3	3	3.00
---	---------	--------------------	----	---	---	------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA111 / Biostatistics(R21)

1	TTS3541	Dr. Balumugan. M	SI	64	56	4.40
---	---------	------------------	----	----	----	------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA202 / Calculus and Differential Equations(R21)

1	TTS2600	Saranya. P	S2	1	1	4.90
---	---------	------------	----	---	---	------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA203/ Vector Calculus and Complex Variable(R21)

1	TTS2792	Dr. G.M. Vijayalakshmi	SI	1	1	3.10
---	---------	------------------------	----	---	---	------

Course Code / Title: 10213MA101 / Operation Research(R21)

1	TTS2649	Dr. K.A. Venkatesan	MI	24	17	4.30
2	TTS1962	Dr. Sathish kumar. K.	MI	31	22	4.80
3	TTS2600	Saranya. P	MI	37	31	4.50
4	TTS1090	Dr. D. Kanagasothi	MI	37	32	4.50
5	TTS2792	Dr. G.M. Vijayalakshmi	MI	22	21	4.50
6	TTS2788	Sivaprakasam. S	MI	23	21	4.60
7	TTS3338	Sankar. R	MI	40	38	4.70
8	TTS637	Dr. Dora Praveen. C.T.	MI	39	31	4.50
9	TTS2376	Dr. Sharmuga Priya. R.	MI	39	25	4.70

1. *(P.K. Hemalatha)*
2. *[Dr. Vijayalakshmi]*

Course Coordinators

HoD - Maths

Dean - FME

Prof. Dr. J. Viswanath
Head of the Department
Mathematics

Dr. M. Sivakumar
Dean - Freshman Engineering

Vel Tech

Prof. Dr. S. Senthil
Rangarajan Dr. Senthil
Rangarajan Dr. Senthil
Rangarajan Dr. Senthil

Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Senthil
Rangarajan Dr. Senthil
Rangarajan Dr. Senthil
Rangarajan Dr. Senthil

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

WINTER SEMESTER 2023-24


INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

In AY 2023-24 (WS), two theory courses and one laboratory course were offered for first year B. Tech student as per the 2021 regulations.

- Applied Physics (10210PH103) was offered to the students of school of electronics and communication, school of mechanical engineering.
- Applied Physics Laboratory (10210PH302) was offered to the students of school of electronics and communication, school of mechanical engineering.
- Universal Human Values II (10210ME102) was offered to the students of school of computing, electronics and communication, and mechanical engineering.

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses conducted by the physics department.

AVERAGE FEEDBACK – Department of Physics WS2023-24



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- The highest feedback score obtained was 4.8 and the least one is 3.1.
- In most cases the feedback lies between 3.1 to 4.8 for all subjects offered.
- The least score was given by the slow learners to insist that special care to be taken for them.

- The students expected the faculty to be more audible.
- The students expected the faculty to use appropriate teaching technique to enhance.
- The students expected the faculty to clear the doubts by the faculty in the class room.

Measures to be taken

- Special class for the slow learners to be conducted by the individual faculty.
- Active learning method technique to be adopted for the active participation of the students and for clearing the doubts.
- The faculty spend time to clarify students doubt in the class itself.
- Necessary arrangements are taken for the students to receive their lecture notes study materials on time.
- Faculty are encouraged to attend faculty development programmes before the commencement of the next semester.



HoD - Physics

Dr. D. Senthilkumar
Head of the Department
Physics

Vel Tech



Department of Management Studies

Interim Feedback Analysis

Summer Semester of Academic Year 2023-24-I MBA II SEM

This analysis evaluates teaching effectiveness among MBA faculty at Vel Tech during the Summer Semester of 2023-24. It reviews student feedback on clarity, engagement, accessibility, and overall satisfaction, with scores ranging from 1 to 5. The findings highlight strengths and areas for improvement, aiming to enhance teaching practices and student outcomes.

Marketing Management

Dr. Raja Priya has received an excellent feedback score of 4.5, reflecting strong student satisfaction. Her teaching effectiveness and approach are highly appreciated by her students.

Dr. Raja S. has received a feedback score of 4.2, His dynamic teaching style and timely syllabus completion have contributed to positive student evaluations.

Applied Operation Research

Mr. Arul Krishnan has received an impressive feedback score of 4.7, highlighting his effective teaching and strong student engagement.

Arul Krishnan has achieved a commendable score of 4.4, reflecting his effective teaching and student satisfaction.

Financial Management

Dr. Lumina Julie R. has earned a solid feedback score of 4.3, Her clarity in explanations and supportive approach are highly valued by students.

Dr. Thandayudapani.S score 4.3 out of 5, he key parameters such as clarity, engagement, support for slow learners, and timely syllabus completion.

Business Ethics & Corporate Social Responsibility

Dr. Geetha Kesavaraj performed well, scoring 4.2 out of 5. highlighting her clarity, engagement, and support for slow learners.

Dr. Tamil Selvan.P has demonstrated strong teaching effectiveness, with an score of 4.3, providing simplified materials for slow learners and additional resources for advanced students to further enhance learning outcomes.

Operations Management

Dr. Sankar Ganesh. R, received a strong score of 4.3, reflecting effective communication and clear explanations in the classroom.

Dr. P. Elantheraiyan achieved a commendable score of 4.4, reflecting his clarity in teaching, effective communication, and ability to complete the syllabus on time.

Human Resource Management

Felisiya. M achieved a score of 4.3, showcasing her clarity in teaching and dynamic engagement. Her efforts in supporting students and using effective teaching methods are highly appreciated.

Dr. Rajapriya. M scored 4, reflecting consistent teaching performance. She provides additional resources to support diverse learner needs.

Data Analysis for Managers

Dr. Lumina Julie. R achieved a score of 4.3, showcasing effective teaching and student engagement

Ashlin Nimo. J.R scored an impressive 4.6, demonstrating strong teaching effectiveness and engagement, with room for enhancing examination guidance and showcasing subject expertise.

Business Research Methods

Dr. K. Ravishankar scored 4.4, showcasing effective teaching and student engagement, with opportunities to share successful methods and continue supporting learners effectively.


Dr. Thandayuthapani.S received a score of 4.0, reflecting his effective teaching while highlighting areas for improvement, such as providing more materials and clarifying doubts for slow learners.

Seminar-II Market Survey

Dr. Tamil Selvan.P scored 4.1, demonstrating effective teaching while needing improvements in providing additional materials, and supporting slow learners.

Dr. G. Manoj received a score of 4.4, indicating strong teaching performance with clear communication, effective explanations, and a supportive approach to student learning.

MBA II SEM



Overall summary

The faculty members across various subjects are positive, with scores reflecting strong teaching effectiveness, student engagement, and clarity in communication. Dr. Raja Priya and Mr. Arul Krishnan stood out with scores of 4.5 and 4.7, respectively, for their ability to engage students and explain complex concepts effectively. Dr. Lumina Julie R. and Dr. P. Elantheraiyan showcased strong teaching abilities with scores of 4.3 and 4.4, with an emphasis on providing clear explanations and completing the syllabus on time. Areas for improvement, such as providing additional materials for advanced learners and clarifying doubts for slow learners, were identified for a few faculty members.

Action taken

1. Provide Support for faculty to engage in research,
2. Faculty actively participate in workshops that focus on enhancing teaching methodologies, classroom management.

Feedback Coordinator

Dr. K. Ravishankar
Head of Management Studies

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Senthil

Dr. M. S. Deeniyappan
Dean - School of Management

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Senthil



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Department of Management Studies

Interim Feedback Analysis

Winter Semester of Academic Year 2023-24

This analysis focuses on the winter semester of the 2023–24 academic year and assesses the efficacy of different faculty members in the Master of Business Administration program at Vel Tech. It methodically goes over input from students in a variety of courses, taking into account things like how easy the content is to access, how engaged the students are in the topic, and how satisfied they are with the course overall. The feedback scores, which run from 1 to 5, offer numerical assessments of faculty performance along with extra remarks that point out areas that could use improvement and are worth acknowledging. To guide improvements to teaching methods and student learning results, this thorough review attempts to pinpoint the curriculum's and pedagogical approaches' possibilities and strong points.

Business strategy

Dr. Sankar Ganesh. R with a score of 4.5 indicates strong teaching performance with a focus on clarifying important ideas, and concepts to the students and making it easier to understand.

Mr. Arul Krishnan.S. with a score of 4.7 shows good teaching quality with a focus on improving clarity in explaining important concepts to students.

Social Media Marketing

M. Felisiya's score of 4.8 denotes excellent teaching quality and a great dedication to the success and learning of the students.

Fintech Banking Technology

Dr. Tamilselvan.P score of 4.4 suggests a need for employing suitable teaching strategies and clarifying key concepts in subject.

Human Resources Information System

Dr. Lumina Julie. R scored 4.4, indicating room for improvement in ensuring material availability and accessibility for students.

Dr. Raja S scored 4.4 emphasizes the significance of making learning-materials accessible and shows a praiseworthy effort to make it easier for students to access resources that are crucial for their academic path.


Managing Digital Innovation and Transformation

Ashlin Nimo. J.R. score of 4.8 highlights her exceptional quality and commitment, fostering a safe and stimulating learning environment conducive to academic success.

EXIM and Custom Duties

Dr. G. Manoj's score of 4.7 highlights the effectiveness of his teaching techniques in EXIM and Custom Duties, suggesting a commitment to improving instructional methods for continued academic advancement.

Feedback score for MBA course -Winter Sem 2023-2024



Over all summary: The feedback, which has excellent ratings ranging from 4.4 to 4.8, demonstrates the generally positive attitudes towards the faculty. While noting that there is still a need for growth in areas like teaching techniques, materials availability, etc. Overall, there is an acknowledgment of the commitment and efficacy of educators in creating learning opportunities, which calls for gratitude and support for their ongoing excellence.

Action taken

- Faculty-led training sessions: To share their knowledge and best practices with colleagues, invite seasoned faculty members to give workshops or training sessions.
- Cross-disciplinary cooperation: Provide faculty members from various departments the chance to work together on projects, exchange ideas, and gain knowledge from one another's experiences.

Feedback Coordinator

Dr. K. Ravishankar
Head - Management Studies

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sumanthala
B.T. Institute of Science and Technology
Ramanathan Nagar, Velur, Chennai-600 062

Dr. M. S. R. Mariyappan
Dean - School of Management

Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sumanthala
B.T. Institute of Science and Technology
Ramanathan Nagar, Velur, Chennai-600 062