

From

Dr.V.Srinivasa Rao

Dean - School of Computing

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology

Avadi, Chennai.

To

Dean - Quality Assurance

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology


Avadi, Chennai.

Respected Sir,

Sub: Inferential Statistics for the Interim Feedback Report (Summer Semester of 2023-24) of Department of Computer Science and Engineering - reg.

The analysis of the Interim Feedback report for the Summer semester of 2023-24 has been done and all the statistics has been evaluated and noted with the below observations.

- The overall feedback for all the courses is good.
- Parameter wise overall feedback is analyzed and found to be good.
- All the faculties have secured more than 3.8 points out of 5.
- Individual parameters are analyzed and observed.
- Faculties have scored less than 3 points for the rating of 5.

  
Dr. M. S. Dharmaraj, M.S  
Head of the Department  
Computer Science & Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Approved by University of Madras & by UGC Act, 1992)

  
Dr. V. Srinivasa Rao  
Dean, School of Computing  
**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Approved by University of Madras & by UGC Act, 1992)



# Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Affiliated to the University Board of Tamil Nadu, India

School of Computing

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year : 2023 - 24 / Summer Semester

Interim Feedback - Parameter wise Average Feedback

Ques. No	Description	Average Feedback (Out of 5)
1	I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom.	4.6
2	The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand.	4.59
3	Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.	4.59
4	The Teacher took extra care for slow learners.	4.52
5	The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning.	4.58
6	I could access required materials easily for my learning activities.	4.58
7	I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course.	4.58
8	The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class.	4.6
9	The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams.	4.62
10	The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6
11	The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Unit / Mid – term tests to the students.	4.62
12	The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course.	4.62

M. S. Jeevitha  
M.Tech  
Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology

Dean / SoC  
Dr. Jeevitha

Dean / SoC  
Dr. Jeevitha



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION**  
**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING**  
**VTU R21 B.TECH – ECE**  
**Summer 2023-24**

The Interim feedback was taken for Summer semester 2023-24 and out of 227 feedbacks received for various courses including theory and laboratory, about 4 faculty members for 4 courses received feedback less than 4.

The action plan was taken by counselling the faculty members by the head of the department and the feedback analysis and action plan were recorded.

The inferential analysis of the department and individual faculty analysis who scored less than 4 are attached herewith.



**ASR**  
HOD/ECE

Dr. A. Selvaraj Pitchaiyan  
Head of the Department  
Electronics & Communication Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R.D.I.Institute of Science and Technology  
Deemed to be University, Hosur - 631401, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R.D.I.Institute of Science and Technology  
Deemed to be University, Hosur - 631401, Tamil Nadu, India



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION  
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING**

**Interim Feedback Report - Summer Semester - AY 2023-24**

The report summarizes interim feedback from students across various courses in the Summer Semester AY 2023-24, focusing on course quality, instructor effectiveness, and learning resources. Feedback was obtained from the students on 5 points scale.

**Data Summary:**

No. of Courses: 37

Total Respondents: 207

**Response Summary**

Course category	Course type	Feedback Score	
		Min.	Max.
Program core	Theory	4.6	5.0
	Laboratory	4.6	4.9
Program Elective	Theory	4.5	5.0
Open Elective	Theory	4.5	4.8

**Feedback Analysis by Score Range**

Score range	Interpretation
$\geq 4.5$ but $\leq 5.0$	Excellent
$\geq 4.0$ but $< 4.5$	Good

**1. Courses with Feedback Score  $\geq 4.5$  but  $\leq 5.0$  (Excellent)**

1152EE132 - Utilization of Electrical Energy	10211EE113 - Electronic Circuits
1152EE112 - Flexible AC Transmission Systems	10212EE121 - Power Quality Engineering
10213EE131 - Charging Station	10217GE901 - Engineers and Society
10213EE133 - Electric Propulsion System and Control	10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship
1151EE111 - Power System Operation Control	10217GE901 - Engineers and Society
1151EE112 - Electrical Machine Design	1153EE110 - Power Supply Quality

1151EE309 - Power System Simulation Lab	10212EE105 - Computer Networks and Communication
10211EE107 - Transmission and Distribution	10211EE101 - Circuits Analysis
10211EE108 - Power Electronics	10211EE102 - DC Machines and Transformers
10211EE112 - Microprocessor and Microcontrollers	10211EE201 - Electromagnetic Fields
10211EE305 - Microprocessor and Microcontrollers Laboratory	10211EE301 - Circuits and Devices Laboratory
10211EE307 - Power Electronics Laboratory	10211EE302 - DC Machines and Transformers Laboratory
10215EE901 - Wind Energy Technology for Electrical Engineers	10212EE124 - Power Plant Engineering
10212EE102 - Operating Systems	10212EE154 - Renewable Energy Sources
10212EE105 - Computer Networks and Communication	10210ME103- Innovation and Entrepreneurship

**Inference:**

Students consistently reported very high levels of satisfaction for the above list of courses. The high score reflects the effectiveness and quality of this aspect of the course. This strong result suggests that students' needs and expectations are being met.

**2. Courses with Feedback Score  $\geq 4.0$  but  $< 4.5$  (Good)**

10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship

**Inference:**

Students rated the above list of courses positively, with some suggestions for further refinement.

**Remedial Actions for Adequate Feedback Score Interpretation**

- Provide clearer lecture outlines or hand-outs to reinforce main ideas.
- Supplement with additional materials (e.g., video tutorials, online resources) to cater to diverse learning preferences.
- Increase interactive elements during class, such as group discussions, polls, or collaborative activities.
- Explore new teaching tools to foster engagement in online or hybrid settings.

**Department Level Recommendations**

- Encourage the faculty to share their best practices at departmental workshops or through informal mentorship.

- Provide professional development workshops focused on interactive teaching methods and effective use of learning resources.
- Set up regular feedback loops to help faculty make timely adjustments.

In conclusion, the feedback analysis highlights areas of excellence and opportunities for growth. Targeted actions will ensure continued enhancement of teaching quality, alignment with students' learning needs, and strengthened engagement. This reflective approach fosters a culture of continuous improvement and supports faculty in delivering impactful learning experiences.





## **FRESHMAN ENGINEERING**

**SoEC – ECE, EEE**

**Summer Semester 2023–24**

### **INFERRENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT**

In the Academic year 2023 – 24 Summer Semester, One Foundation Course was offered for the first year First Semester B. Tech students as per R-21 Regulations.

1. 10210EE201 – Basic Electrical, Electronics and Measurement Engineering offered to the students of SoC

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective sections, the feedback Statistical Analysis has been made and the following report is generated,

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Course Code &amp; Name</b>	<b>Average Score</b>
1	10210EE201 – Basic Electrical, Electronics and Measurement Engineering	4.36

#### **Statistical Analysis Findings:**

- The highest feedback score recorded was 4.8, while the lowest was 3.8.
- Most courses received feedback scores ranging between 4.1 and 4.6, with only one or two sections falling outside this range.
- Students expressed the need for better clarity in explaining complex concepts during regular classes.
- Students indicated a preference for more interactive and application-oriented activities during interim stages of the course.
- A significant number of students expected timely feedback on assessments, assignments, and classroom activities.

- Students highlighted the importance of regular doubt-clearing opportunities to help them stay aligned with the course outcomes.

**Recommended Actions:**

- Encourage faculty to periodically review difficult concepts and provide simplified explanations during interim phases.
- Introduce short interactive activities such as quizzes, case discussions, or think–pair–share exercises to sustain student engagement.
- Provide timely and constructive feedback on internal assessments and assignments to help students track their progress.
- Schedule regular doubt-clearing sessions or allocate dedicated time during classes for addressing student queries.
- Monitor interim feedback closely and take corrective measures early to enhance overall learning effectiveness.



FME-SoEC Coordinator



Dean-FME



# Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s.3 of UGC Act, 1956)

## SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION

### DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

#### SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK AND ACTION TAKEN

SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-2024


S.No.	Course Code	Course Name	Faculty	Feedback Score
1	10211BT101	Microbiology	Dr.V.R.Manoj	4.3
2	10211BT108	Principles of Chemical Engineering	Dr.J.S.S.Allwin Ebenezer	4.3
3	10211BT102	Cell Biology	Ms.R.Sai Nandhini	4.6
4	10211BT301	Microbiology Lab (Batch I)	Dr.S.Chandramohan/ Dr. K. Tarangini	4.7
5	10211BT301	Microbiology Lab (Batch II)	Dr. Prasannamedhe. G/ Dr. K. Tarangini	4.8
6	10211BT303	Cell Biology Lab (Batch I)	Ms.R.Sai Nandhini/ Dr. S. Mugesh	4.5
7	10211BT303	Cell Biology Lab (Batch II)	Dr. Prasannamedhe. G/ Dr. S. Mugesh	4.8
8	10211BT302	Biochemistry Lab (Batch I)	Ms.V.Sugumari/ Dr. Jagajjanani Rao	4.3
9	10211BT302	Biochemistry Lab (Batch II)	Dr.R. Achsah/ Dr. Jagajjanani Rao	4.6
10	10211BT105	Genetic Engineering	Ms.R.Sai Nandhini	4.8
11	10211BT109	Advanced Biochemistry	Ms.V.Sugumari	4.4
12	10211BT110	Bioprocess Engineering	Dr.P.Azhagu Saravana Babu	4.8
13	10211BT115	Analytical and Instrumentation Engineering	Dr. Prasannamedhe. G	4.8
14	10211BT305	Bioprocess Engineering Lab (Batch I)	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar/ Dr. R. Ravikumar	4.7
15	10211BT305	Bioprocess Engineering Lab (Batch II)	Dr.S.Senthil Kumar/ Dr. R. Ravikumar	4.6
16	10211BT201	Computational Biology: Techniques and Applications	Dr.S.Senthil Kumar	4.7

Dr. Saravanan Babu  
Dean, School of Electrical & Communication

Vel Tech

Dr. Valarmathi. R.S.  
Dean, School of Electrical & Communication  
Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s.3 of UGC Act, 1956)

17	10212BT131	Principles of Functional Food and Applications	Ms.V.Sugumari	4.5
18	10212BT106	Nanobiotechnology and application	Dr.S.Chandramohan	4.5
19	10212BT141	Biochemical Engineering	Ms.R.Sai Nandhini	5
20	10212BT142	Omics Technologies	Ms.V.Sugumari	5
21	10213BT103	Biomaterials Engineering	Dr.S.Chandramohan	4.4





Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.7	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course.	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor  
W. G. Duff

*Barb*  
Course Coordinator  
*Module Coordinator*

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunlal  
R.D. Institute of Science and Technology  
Bhavani, Coimbatore - 641 047  
Tamil Nadu, India  
Ph: 0423-2442222, 2442223, 2442224, 2442225

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R & D Institute of Science and Technology  
Chennai, Tamil Nadu - 600022  
Ph: 044-22200000



6

## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summertime Schedule

Faculty ID	F113533	Faculty Name
School	SOEC	Department
Course Code	102110BT108	Course Name
Slot	S1	Course Category/T
No. of Students Registered	65	No. of Students Res

Dr. Altin Ebinesar J.S. S  
Biotechnology  
Principles of Chemical En  
Program Core Theory  
Page 51

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

  
G. A. Shultz  
Course Instructor

Vel Tech  
Dr. S. Sengulal  
Autonomous Institute of  
Engineering & Technology  
HOD Course offering B.E.



©

## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

TTSS3607

Faculty ID

Dr. Prasannamalai, G

School

SOEC

Faculty Name

Biotechnology

Course Code

10211BT301

Department

Microbiology Laboratory

Slot

SI

Course Name

Program Core Laboratory

No. of Students Registered

32

No. of Students Responded

28

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understand clearly in the classroom	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.7	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Avana Babu  
Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinators

Dr. Sujitha Balaji  
Course Instructor

Dr. V. S. S. Suresh  
Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinators

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Srinivasan Institute of Science & Technology  
RIDS Institute of Science & Technology  
Institute of Science & Technology  
Institute of Science & Technology



**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
Institute of Science and Technology  
Autonomous

Faculty ID : TTIS3515

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10211BT302

Slot : S1

No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded : 28

: Dr.Achuth R.S

: Biotechnology

: Biochemistry Laboratory

: Program Core Laboratory

Faculty Score : 4.6



## Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. P. Arul  
Course Instructor

Dr. P. Arul  
Course Coordinator

Dr. P. Arul  
Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Arul  
Course Offerer

Dr. P. Arul  
Dr. V. Dhanalakshmi  
Dr. V. Dhanalakshmi  
Dean, Faculty of General Communication

Dr. V. Dhanalakshmi  
Dr. V. Dhanalakshmi  
Dean, Faculty of General Communication

Dr. P. Arul  
Course Offerer

Dr. P. Arul  
Course Coordinator

Dr. P. Arul  
Module Coordinator





Vel Tech  
Rangarayyan Institute of  
Technology  
Autonomous

TTS2285

Faculty ID

SOEC

School

Course Code

Slot

Course Name

No. of Students Registered : 48

Course Category/Type : 10211BT105

No. of Students Responded : 39

Faculty Name : Sri Nandhini R  
Department : Biotechnology  
Course Name : Genetic Engineering  
Programme Core/Theory : 4.8

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

## Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty Name

Dr. P. Asha Priya

Head of the Department

Biotechnology

Course Name

Programme Core/Theory

Score : 4.8

Description

Score (5)

Suggestions for further improvement

1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom

4.8

Good, you deserve appreciation

2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand

4.8

Good, please continue to excel

3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers

4.8

Good, you deserve appreciation

4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners

4.8

Good, you deserve appreciation

5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning

4.8

Good, please share your methods with colleagues

6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities

4.8

Good, please continue to help them

7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course

4.9

Good, you deserve appreciation

8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class

4.8

Good, you deserve appreciation

9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams

4.7

Good, you deserve appreciation

10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly

4.8

Good, you deserve appreciation

11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students

4.9

Good, you deserve appreciation

12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course

4.7

Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. P. Asha Priya

Head of the Department

Biotechnology

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Malele Coordinator

Dr. P. Asha Priya

Head of the Department

Biotechnology

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator

Malele Coordinator

Dr. V. Alarmathi, R.S

Dean - School of Economic & Communication

Faculty

Vel Tech

Part - School of Economic & Communication

Programme in Interim Test on Interim Test

Dr. V. Alarmathi, R.S

Dean - School of Economic & Communication

Faculty

Vel Tech

Part - School of Economic & Communication

Programme in Interim Test on Interim Test

**Vel Tech****Engineering Dr. Saravanan  
Institution of Higher Education & Research**

Faculty ID : TTS3204  
School : SOEC  
Course Code : 10211BT109  
Slot : S2  
No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name : Sugunan V  
Department : Biotechnology  
Course Name : Advanced Biochemistry  
Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Theory  
No. of Students Responded : 39  
Faculty Score : 4.4

Description	Score (5)		Suggestions for further improvement
	Score	Score	
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	

**Course Instructor****Course Coordinator****Module Coordinator****Head of Curriculum**

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean, School of Research & Innovation  
Rajalakshmi Engineering College  
Rajalakshmi Engineering College  
Rajalakshmi Engineering College  
Rajalakshmi Engineering College

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean, School of Research & Innovation  
Rajalakshmi Engineering College  
Rajalakshmi Engineering College  
Rajalakshmi Engineering College





## Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID	TTSS36007	Faculty Name	Dr. Prasannametha, G
School	SOEC	Department	Biotechnology
Course Code	10211BT115	Course Name	Analytical and Instrumentation Engineering
Slot	S2	Course Category/Type	Programme Core/Theory
No. of Students Registered	39	No. of Students Responded	39

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / Ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation

*Q. Ravangolla*  
Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator  
Course Instructor

*Dr. Balaji Suresh Babu*  
Head of Department  
HOD of Course offering Deptt.  
Approved by Course and Curriculum

*Dr. Valarmathi, R.S*  
Dr. V. School of Electrical & Communication  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by Course and Curriculum

**Vel Tech**  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by Course and Curriculum



## Suggestions Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID : 11S3625  
 School : SOHC  
 Course Code : 1021IBT201  
 Slot : S2  
 No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name : Dr. Senthil Kumar, S  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Computational Biology: Techniques and Applications  
 Course Category/Type : Program Core/Integrated Course  
 No. of Students Responded : 39

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.7	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

HOD of Course offering Dept  
Dr. P. Ashwarya Babu  
HOD, Biotechnology

Dean

Dr. S. Senthil Kumar



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID	TT53625	Faculty Name	Dr. Senthil Kumar, S
School	SOEC	Department	Biotechnology
Course Code	10211BT305	Course Name	Bioprocess Engineering Laboratory
Slot	S2	Course Category/Type	Program Core Laboratory
No. of Students Registered	25	No. of Students Responded	20

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. V. Senthil Kumar, B.E.  
Dean, Academic & Research  
B.I.D. Institute of Higher Education  
Bioprocess Engineering Laboratory

Dr. V. Senthil Kumar, B.E.  
Dean, Academic & Research  
B.I.D. Institute of Higher Education  
Bioprocess Engineering Laboratory

P. Arunika, Vana Dhalo  
Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Course Instructor  
Module Coordinator

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator



Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Chair- Dept of Electronics & Communication  
 V-Tech  
Rajalakshmi Engineering College  
MCA Institute of Science & Technology  
Approved by UGC and AICTE  
Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

### Module Coordinates

*J*  
Course Instructor

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3519  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10211BT305  
 Slot : S2  
 No. of Students Registered : 23

Faculty Name : Dr. Bisheshwar Karmakar  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Bioprocess Engineering Laboratory  
 Course Category/Type : Program Core/Laboratory  
 No. of Students Responded : 19  
 Faculty Score : 4.7

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

By Prof. Dr. Ravinder Babu  
 Course Coordinator

Dr. P. Venkateswaran  
 Head of Course offering Dept.

Module Endorser  
 Course Coordinator

**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarayapuram Deemed to be University  
 And Institute of Advanced Technology  
 100% Placement of our students last year  
 100% Placement of our students last year  
 100% Placement of our students last year  
 100% Placement of our students last year

Course Instructor

**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarayapuram Deemed to be University  
 And Institute of Advanced Technology  
 100% Placement of our students last year  
 100% Placement of our students last year  
 100% Placement of our students last year  
 100% Placement of our students last year



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2021-22 Summer Semester Schedule

Dr. S. Chandra Mohan  
Biotechnology  
Biomaterials Engineering  
Open Elective Theory  
3-42

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		

*[Signature]*  
Course Instructor

Module Coordinators

**YCTech**  
Hub of Course offering Dept  
National Institute of Technology, Raipur (M.P.) India  
W3C Approved Web Site. Visit [www.yctech.ac.in](http://www.yctech.ac.in)

Deam

Political Alignment and Leadership



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID : TTS1294  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10212BT131  
 Slot : S2  
 No. of Students Registered : 16

Segment A  
 Faculty Name : Dr. Vaishali R. S  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Principles of Functional Food and Applications  
 Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.7	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Vaishali R. S  
 Associate Professor  
 Head, Department of Biotechnology  
 Dean, Vel Tech  
 Raghavendra Institute of Science and Technology  
 Coimbatore - 641 047  
 Tamil Nadu, India  
 Tel: +91 423 242 1000  
 +91 98423 242 1000

Dr. Vaishali R. S  
 Associate Professor  
 Head, Department of Biotechnology  
 Dean, Vel Tech  
 Raghavendra Institute of Science and Technology  
 Coimbatore - 641 047  
 Tamil Nadu, India  
 Tel: +91 423 242 1000  
 +91 98423 242 1000

Course Coordinator  
 Module Coordinator

Course Instructor

Dr. Vaishali R. S  
 Associate Professor  
 Head, Department of Biotechnology  
 Dean, Vel Tech  
 Raghavendra Institute of Science and Technology  
 Coimbatore - 641 047  
 Tamil Nadu, India  
 Tel: +91 423 242 1000  
 +91 98423 242 1000

Dr. Vaishali R. S  
 Associate Professor  
 Head, Department of Biotechnology  
 Dean, Vel Tech  
 Raghavendra Institute of Science and Technology  
 Coimbatore - 641 047  
 Tamil Nadu, India  
 Tel: +91 423 242 1000  
 +91 98423 242 1000



**Vel Tech**  
Engineering Dr. Saravanan  
Institute of Higher Education and Research  
Autonomous

Faculty ID : TTS3276  
School : SOEC  
Course Code : 10211BT301  
Slot : S1  
No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name : Dr.S.Chandra Mohan  
Department : Biotechnology  
Course Name : Microbiology Laboratory  
Course Category/Type : Program Core Laboratory  
No. of Students Responded : 23

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. Heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

### Suggestions for Academic Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

**Vel Tech**  
Deemed to be University  
High Institute of Science and Technology  
Institutional Code No: 434152  
Address: 100000, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Vaitharmathi, R.S

Chair, Academic Department  
High Institute of Science and Technology  
Institutional Code No: 434152  
Address: 100000, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

**Vel Tech**  
Deemed to be University  
High Institute of Science and Technology  
Institutional Code No: 434152  
Address: 100000, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Vaitharmathi, R.S

**Vel Tech**  
Deemed to be University  
High Institute of Science and Technology  
Institutional Code No: 434152  
Address: 100000, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

**Vel Tech**  
Deemed to be University  
High Institute of Science and Technology  
Institutional Code No: 434152  
Address: 100000, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Course Instructor





Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
Institute of Science and Technology  
Autonomous

Faculty ID

School

Course Code

Slot

No. of Students Registered  
132

TTSS2285

SOUC

10211BT303

S1

San Nathani R

Botany

Cell Biology Laboratory

Program Core Laboratory

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type  
No. of Students Responded  
23

Faculty Score  
4.5

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
		Score	Suggestions
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	

## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis



Dr. Valarmathi, R.S.  
Head of Department  
MBA Institute of Science and Technology  
Aided by Government  
Approved by AICTE  
Affiliated to Anna University  
Address: No. 10, P. O. Box No. 100000  
Phone: 0422-2444444  
E-mail: [valarmathi@veltech.edu.in](mailto:valarmathi@veltech.edu.in)

Course Coordinator  
Malele Coordinator  
Course Instructor

Head of Course Offering Deptt  
Ranganathan Dr. Sagunthala  
Head of Department  
MBA Institute of Science and Technology  
Aided by Government  
Approved by AICTE  
Affiliated to Anna University  
Address: No. 10, P. O. Box No. 100000  
Phone: 0422-2444444  
E-mail: [sagunthala@veltech.edu.in](mailto:sagunthala@veltech.edu.in)

Course Instructor

Head of Course Offering Deptt  
Ranganathan Dr. Sagunthala  
Head of Department  
MBA Institute of Science and Technology  
Aided by Government  
Approved by AICTE  
Affiliated to Anna University  
Address: No. 10, P. O. Box No. 100000  
Phone: 0422-2444444  
E-mail: [sagunthala@veltech.edu.in](mailto:sagunthala@veltech.edu.in)



Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.8	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean, School of Electrical & Communication  
Engineering  
WEL Tech  
Deemed to be University  
Edu Foundation of S. V. Sons and Sons  
Institute of Technology

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Course Instructor

## Suggestions for Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester



Ranking 10th in India, amongst 1000+ Engineering Institutions in India

Faculty ID

TTS3607

SOEC

1150GE101

NA

Course Code

NA

Slot

7

No. of Students Registered

7

Description

Score (5)

Suggestions for further improvement

Faculty Name

Dr. Prasannametha G

Department

Biotechnology

Course Name

Biology For Engineers

Course Category/Type

Foundation Theory

No. of Students Responded

1

Faculty Score

5

Suggestions for further improvement

- I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom
- The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand
- Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers
- Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers
- The Teacher took extra care for slow learners
- The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning
- The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning
- I could access required materials easily for my learning activities
- I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course
- The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class
- The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams
- The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams
- The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly
- The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly
- The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students
- The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students
- The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course



Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean, School of Science & Commerce

Hold of Course offering Dept  
HOD of Course offering Dept  
Rajkumarji, Dr. Significatula  
HOD, Faculty of Science and Commerce  
Kurukshetra De Significatula  
Vel Tech De Significatula

Dr. Ravangoudra  
Course Coordinator  
Malele Coordinator

Q. Rayan  
Course Instructor



Faculty ID	TT2285	Faculty Name	Sai Nandini R
School	SOEC	Department	Biotechnology
Course Code	10212BT141	Course Name	Biochemical Engineering
Slot	S2	Course Category/Type	Honors/Theory
No. of Students Registered	2	No. of Students Responded	2

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Page Course Coordinator Module Coordinator

Course Instructor  
John A. G.

Course Instructor

Dr. P. Ashwini Saranya Babu  
Head of the Department

**Self Tech**  
Multimedia & SquintIndia  
of Course offering Dept

Hold all Cetuximab



Vel Tech  
Rangarayyan Dr. Saravanan  
Engineering and Technology  
Institute  
TTS3294

Faculty ID : SOEC  
School : 10212B1142  
Course Code : 52  
Smt  
No. of Students Registered : 2

Faculty Name : Sugunan, V  
Department : Biotechnology  
Course Name : Omics Technologies  
Course Category/Type : Honors/Theory  
No. of Students Responded : 2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Head of Course offering Dept.

Dr. V. Vaithiyanathan, R.S  
Chair, School of Biotechnology  
Dr. Sugunan, R.S  
Head, Department of Science and Technology  
Dedicated to the Pursuit of Knowledge, Truth and Integrity



**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956

**School of Electrical and Communication  
Department of Biotechnology**

**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS  
OF  
INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-24**

- 1) Program core theory courses, the average feedback score is more than 4 with a minimum value of 4.1 and the maximum value of 5.
- 2) Program core laboratory courses, the average feedback is varying from 4.1 to 5.
- 3) Program elective courses, the feedback is around 4.2 to 5
- 4) For Institute elective courses, the feedback value is 4.9.
- 5) There are 19 courses handled by faculty members in the summer semester 2023-24.
- 6) In general, overall feedback given by students to various courses offered by Biotechnology department is satisfied and GOOD.

Dr. P. Arshaguru Saravanna Babu  
Head of the Department  
Biotechnology

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION**

**DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**


**Inferential Statistical of Interim Feedback Report**

**Summer Semester**

**Academic Year 2023-2024**

A statistical analysis has been conducted pertaining to the average feedback score attained by the faculty for their respective courses handled during the current semester. The Pie chart shows the average score attained by the subject handling faculty.

**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS SS23-24**





The following inferences are gathered from the above statistics,

1. The highest score attained is 5 and the least score is 4.4
2. The courses 10211BM301 (Biochemistry and Physiology Lab), 10212BM304 (Essential Python Module for Machine Learning) and 10213BM301 (Biomedical Lab) which is Specialization Elective / Laboratory courses respectively received the least score of 4.4 feedback from the students are good.
3. The other courses in core and electives received the score between 4.5 to 5.

#### **Measures to be Taken**

As everybody has scored more than 4.1, there is no action taken report.

#### **Tentative future plans to meet student requirements:**

1. Incorporate more case studies related to the latest industry trends for making them industry ready
2. By taking these steps, the goal is to maintain high standards of teaching while addressing any potential areas for growth.



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION  
DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY &  
BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

**INTERIM FEEDBACK - INFERRENTIAL STATISTICS REPORT –  
FRESHMEN ENGINEERING**

**SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-2024**

The Interim Feedback Analysis for the Summer Semester 2023-24 was conducted to evaluate the quality of instruction and overall student satisfaction in the Foundation theory course - Biology for Engineers (Course Code: 10210BM101) offered by the Department of Biotechnology & Biomedical Engineering to Freshmen Engineering Students of various departments. The dataset comprises feedback scores from 15 course offerings, with ratings measured on a five-point scale.


The feedback scores range from a minimum of 4.0 to a maximum of 4.8, indicating consistently positive student perceptions. The average feedback score across all slots is approximately 4.35, demonstrating a strong overall teaching performance. Notably, 13 out of 15 course offerings (about 87%) achieved scores of 4.2 or above, underscoring uniform quality in course delivery and learner engagement.

The highest feedback score of 4.8 was secured in two course slots, delivered by Dr. Madheshwari E and Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K. These top scores indicate exceptional performance in areas such as clarity of instruction, engagement with students, effective teaching methodologies, and timely completion of syllabus components.

On the other end of the spectrum, the lowest feedback score recorded was 4.0, corresponding to course slots handled by Dr. Arunachalam P and Dr. Achsah R.S. Although these scores are comparatively lower, they still fall within the "good" performance range, suggesting acceptable levels of student satisfaction with scope for further pedagogical enhancement.

The narrow dispersion of scores, with a difference of only 0.8 points between the highest and lowest values, reflects consistency in instructional standards across departments and slots. Overall, the statistical evidence highlights a strong delivery satisfaction level among students, affirming the effectiveness of teaching practices and curriculum implementation for the course during the evaluated semester.

  
COURSE COORDINATOR

  
HOD

C. D. Balandramaniam  
Head of the Department  
Biomedical Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by Government of India, Approved by AICTE, ACI, 1993

  
DEAN  
Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by Government of India, Approved by AICTE, ACI, 1993



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION**  
**DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY &**  
**BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

**Consolidated Faculty Feedback Table (2023–24 Summer Semester)**

S.No	Course Code	Course Name	Slot	Faculty	Feedback Score
1.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-F	Dr. Madeshwari E	4.8
2.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-E	Dr. Muthalakshmi M	4.2
3.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-O	Dr. Madeshwari E	4.7
4.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-B	Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K	4.8
5.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-D	Dr. Arunachalam P	4.0
6.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-H	Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K	4.4
7.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-M	Nirmala Nithya R	4.4
8.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-S	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.0
9.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-G	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.2
10.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-U	Nirmala Nithya R	4.5
11.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-Q	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.1
12.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-A	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.2
13.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-P	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.3
14.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-I	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.4
15.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoC-L	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.2

  
**COURSE COORDINATOR**

  
**HOD**

**Dr. D. Balasubramaniam**  
 Head of the Department  
 Biomedical Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Accredited to be University under section 2(f) of UGC Act, 1956

  
**DEAN**  
 Dr. Valarmathi, T.E.S  
 Dean - School of Electrical & Communication

**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Accredited to be University under section 2(f) of UGC Act, 1956



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID : TTS2660  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10210BM101  
 Slot : SoC-H  
 No. of Students Registered : 66

Faculty Name : Padmanabha Sarma, A.B.S.K

Department : Biomedical Engineering

Course Name : Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type : Foundation course/THEORY

No. of Students Responded : 49

Faculty Score : 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
 Head of the Department  
 Biomedical Engineering  
 Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Course Coordinator

Dr. Valarmathi  
 Head of the Department  
 Biomedical Engineering  
 Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Course Instructor

Dr. Valarmathi  
 Head of the Department  
 Biomedical Engineering  
 Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Course Instructor



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3681  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10210BN101  
 Slot : SoC-F  
 No. of Students Registered : 66

Faculty Name : Dr. Madheshwari. E  
 Department : Biomedical Engineering  
 Course Name : Biology for Engineers  
 Course Category/Type : Foundation course/THEORY  
 No. of Students Responded : 7

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in way that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.9	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.9	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
 Head of the Dept.  
 Biomedical Engineering

Dr. V. Venkateswaran  
 Head of Course offering  
 Head of Course offering

Dr. V. Venkateswaran  
 Head of Course offering

Vel Tech  
 Dr. S. Srinivasan Institute of Technology

Course Coordinator

Course Instructor







### Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester


Faculty ID	:TTSS3373	Faculty Name	: Dr.Muthalakshmi.M
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biomedical Engineering
Course Code	:10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	:SeC-E	Course Category/Type	: Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Registered	: 67	No. of Students Responded	: 19

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
HOD of Course offering Dept

Dr. V. Muthalakshmi  
Chair, Academic Committee

Dr. V. Muthalakshmi  
HOD of Course offering Dept



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID	: TTS3315	Faculty Name	: Dr. Achuth R.S
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoC-S	Course Category/Type	: Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Registered	: 58	No. of Students Responded	: 46
		Faculty Score	: 4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. Heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.7	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4	Please arrange lectures logically and systematically
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	3.9	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	3.7	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	3.8	Please inform the students about the course outcome and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	3.8	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	3.9	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

  
Course Coordinator  
  
Course Instructor

  
Dr. D. Sarathkumar  
HOD of Biotechnology  
Vel Tech University

  
Dr. V. Venkatesan  
HOD of Chemical Engineering  
Vel Tech University

  
HoD of Course offering Dept



Faculty ID	:TTSS515	Faculty Name	: Dr. Aishath H.S
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 102100BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: Soc-G	Course Category/Type	: Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Registered	: 69	No. of Students Responded	: 6
		Faculty Score	: 4.2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation



























































































































































































































































































































































<div style="text-align: right; margin-bottom

Faculty ID	TS2409	Faculty Name	: Nirmala Nithya, R
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoG-U	Course Category/Type	: Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Registered	: 59	No. of Students Responded	: 1
Faculty Score	: 4.5		

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

  
L. N. M.  
Course Instructor

  
Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Institute of Technology  
Sri Sathya Sai Institute of  
Higher Education

  
Dr. V. A. S.  
Professor & Head  
Department of Biotechnology  
Vel Tech  
Institute of Technology  
Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Education

Faculty ID : TT33519  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10210BM101  
 Slot : SoG-Q  
 No. of Students Registered : 59

Faculty Name	: Dr.Bisheshwar Karmakar	
Department	: Biotechnology	
Course Name	: Biology for Engineers	
Course Category/Type	: Foundation course/ THEORY	
No. of Students Responded	: 45	
Faculty Score	: 4.1	
Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4	Please inform the students about the course core and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. V. Venkatesh, I.T.  
 Prof. Head of the Dept.  
 Faculty of Engineering

Dr. D. Balanatharayanan  
 Head of the Dept.  
 Faculty of Engineering

Asst. Prof.  
 Course Coordinator

Course Instructor

Dr. B. Venkateshwaran  
 Prof. Head of the Dept.  
 Faculty of Engineering

Dr. V. Venkatesh, I.T.  
 Prof. Head of the Dept.  
 Faculty of Engineering

Dr. D. Balanatharayanan  
 Head of the Dept.  
 Faculty of Engineering

Asst. Prof.  
 Course Coordinator

Course Instructor

2023-24 Summer Semester

TT33515

Faculty ID	School	Course Code	Course Name	Course Category/Type	No. of Students Responded	Faculty Name	Department	Course Name	Course Category/THEORY	No. of Students Responded	Faculty Score
						: Dr.Achshah.R.S	: Biotechnology	: Biology for Engineers	: Foundation course/THEORY		: 4.2

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4	Maintain the mode of audibility	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.1	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.2	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4	Please inform the students about the course outcome and graduate attributes	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	

Dr. D. Balasubrahmanyam  
Dean, Velammal Engineering College  
Velammal Engineering College  
Hod of Course offering Dept

Dr. D. Balasubrahmanyam  
Dean, Velammal Engineering College  
Hod of Course offering Dept

Dr. Velammal  
Course Coordinator  
Course Instructor

Faculty ID : TTS1519  
School : SOEC  
Course Code : 10210BM101  
Slot : Soc-P  
No. of Students Registered : 59

2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty Name : Dr.Bisheshwar Karmakar  
Department : Biotechnology  
Course Name : Biology for Engineers  
Course Category/Type : Foundation course/THEORY  
No. of Students Responded : 41

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		

  
Dr. Venkateswaran  
Head of Course offering Dept  
Karmakar Dr. N. Nithin  
Head of Civil Engineering  
Department of Civil Engineering

  
Dr. B. Balaiah  
Head of Civil Engineering  
Department of Civil Engineering

  
Dr. V. Venkateswaran  
Head of Course offering Dept  
Karmakar Dr. N. Nithin  
Head of Civil Engineering  
Department of Civil Engineering

  
Dr. B. Balaiah  
Head of Civil Engineering  
Department of Civil Engineering

Head of Course offering Dept  
Karmakar Dr. N. Nithin  
Head of Civil Engineering  
Department of Civil Engineering

  
Dr. V. Venkateswaran  
Head of Course offering Dept  
Karmakar Dr. N. Nithin  
Head of Civil Engineering  
Department of Civil Engineering



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Summer Semester

**Faculty ID** : TTS3519  
**School** : SOEC  
**Course Code** : 10210BM101  
**Slot** : SoC-I  
**No. of Students Registered** : 68

**Faculty Name** : Dr.Bisheshwar Karmakar  
**Department** : Biotechnology  
**Course Name** : Biology for Engineers  
**Course Category/Type** : Foundation course/THEORY  
**No. of Students Responded** : 57

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher compiled syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

**Dr. D. Balasubramanian**  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology Department  
 Vel Tech University  
 Hosur Road, Avadi, Chennai - 600048  
 Tamil Nadu, India  
 +91 98410 22222
   
  
**Dr. Vaithiyanathan**  
 Dean, Faculty of Business & Commerce  
 Vel Tech University  
 Hosur Road, Avadi, Chennai - 600048  
 Tamil Nadu, India  
 +91 98410 22222
   
**Vel Tech**  
 University
   
**Vel Tech**  
 HoD of Course offering Dept  
 Biotechnology Department
   
  
**Course Coordinator**

**Course Instructor**


# Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis



2023-24 Summer Semester

Faculty ID	: TTS3515	Faculty Name	: Dr. Achal R.S
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoC-L	Course Category/Type	: Foundation course/THEORY
No. of Students Registered	: 63	No. of Students Responded	: 58

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject	

  
**Dr. V. Venkateswaran**  
 Dean, School of Engineering & Technology  
**Vel Tech**  
 International Institute

  
**Dr. D. Balasubramanian**  
 Head of the Department  
 Research & Development  
**Vel Tech**  
 College of Engineering

  
**Dr. S. S. Suresh**  
 HOD of Course offering Dept  
 Course Coordinator

**Minutes of the Meeting on Students Feedback Analysis**

The Department meeting was convened to discuss the student feedback analysis for Aeronautical Engineering courses offered during the Summer Semester 2023-24.

Overall, the feedback for most courses was good and above the required level.

However, six courses recorded comparatively lower faculty scores and specific student suggestions, indicating the need for academic and pedagogical improvements.

Students mainly expressed concerns related to:

- Support for slow learners
- Availability of learning materials
- Course outcome and assessment clarity
- Post-test discussion and exam preparedness

Based on the analysis, the following course-wise issues and recommended actions were discussed and approved.

No	Feedback Point	Course	Recommended Action
1	Slow learners expecting additional support	Aircraft Systems & Instruments, Fluid Mechanics, Solid Mechanics	Provide additional learning materials and conduct remedial/tutorial sessions
2	Students expecting better access to learning materials	Aircraft Systems & Instruments, Unmanned Systems	Share structured notes, references, and LMS-based materials
3	Lack of clarity on course outcomes and graduate attributes	Unmanned Systems, Design & Operate Lab	Allocate dedicated sessions to explain course outcomes and CO-PO mapping
4	Students expecting guidance on examinations	Aircraft Systems & Instruments, Design & Operate Lab	Advise students on exam preparation strategies and assessment patterns
5	No discussion on common mistakes after assessments	Unmanned Systems	Conduct post-test review sessions highlighting common errors
6	Moderate clarity and engagement issues	Introduction to Aerospace Engineering	Enhance classroom interaction and adopt blended teaching approaches

Domain coordinators are informed to discuss the same in domain meeting and implement the suggested action items.


  
 Department IQAC Coordinator


  
 Domain Coordinators


  
 Head of the Department  
 Department of Aeronautical Engineering



- 1) 
- 2)  SL
- 3)  G. G. S.
- 4)  Renu



**School of Mechanical and Construction**

**Department of Civil Engineering**


**INFERENCIAL STATISTICAL OF INTERIM FEEDBACK  
REPORT**

**Summer Semester**

**Academic Year: 2023-2024**

A statistical analysis of feedback has been done on its average score based on the feedback score that the faculties received for each of their individual subjects. The average score earned in each subject by the faculties is depicted in the pie chart below (with course codes).

**Feedback Analysis**



- 1151CE112 ■ 10211CE104 ■ 10211CE105 ■ 10211CE113 ■ 10211CE203
- 10211CE205 ■ 10211CE103 ■ 10212CE123 ■ 10212CE133 ■ 10213CE106
- 10213CE105 ■ 10211CE102 ■ 10211CE109 ■ 10211CE114 ■ 10211CE202
- 10211CE302 ■ 10211CE102 ■ 10213CE101 ■ 10211BM104 ■ 10216GE901
- 1151CE305 ■ 1151CE305 ■ 1151CE117 ■ 1151CE110 ■ 1151CE148
- 1151CE125

The statistics gives following inferences:

- The statistics reveals clearly that based on the measures undertaken by the department as suggested in statistics of winter semester 22-23,a pronounced improvement has been observed.
- Moreover, slow learners have opted to give score from 4 to 4.6 which represents that slow learners have been given special care and attention.
- In the program core and program elective subjects, there were significant score swings between 4.5 and 4.8. The lower score could be a result of the students' greater proficiency in the relevant subject. Measures to be undertaken.

#### Exclusive Measures Undertaken

- Active learning methods were exclusively implemented so that the active participation and involvement of the slow learners were observed.
- IHL courses were conducted to impart practical learning of the students.
- Menter-mentee meetings were conducted regularly and the academic performance of the students both fast and slow learners were monitored and resolved significantly.
- To fulfill the need of students extensively, apart from the inclusion of laboratory courses, valued added courses relating to the laboratory courses were conducted.
- The courses were taught not only based on academic point of view and also with the ability for the students to meet the Industries/ companies (placements).

#### Tentative future plan to meet the requirements of the Students

- Training programmes shall be conducted for the practical understanding of the concepts.
- Guest lectures shall be conducted for the students to acquire additional knowledge about the course in the real-time world, ultimately.

N. Lenin  
12/10/23  
Prof. Dr. N. Lenin  
Dean - School of Mechanical  
and Construction

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
RJSP Group of Institutions

12/10/2023

# Vel Tech

Received  
J. M. S.



**School of Mechanical and Construction  
Department of Mechanical Engineering**

**Date: 09-10-2023**

**Interim Feedback Analysis – Summer Semester 2023–2024**

The Interim Feedback Analysis for the Summer Semester 2023–2024 was conducted to evaluate the quality of instruction and overall student satisfaction across the courses offered by the Mechanical Engineering department. This report presents a summary of the findings based on faculty scores obtained from structured student feedback. The feedback dataset includes information related to faculty members, course codes, course categories, student registration strength, response count, and faculty performance scores.

The dataset comprises feedback from 61 courses, representing a balanced mix of **Programme Core**, **Programme Elective**, **Open Elective**, **Integrated**, **Foundation**, and **Laboratory** courses. These courses were delivered by 38 faculty members, each receiving feedback from a varying number of students depending on course enrollment and category.

**Descriptive Statistics**

The descriptive statistical analysis indicates that, on average, faculty members received a satisfactory mean score of 4.6 on a 5-point scale, with a relatively low standard deviation. This reflects a high degree of consistency in teaching performance and student satisfaction across the department.

- Under the **Foundation** category, two courses were offered across 22 slots, with an average feedback score of 4.6. The minimum and maximum scores recorded in this category were 4.4 and 4.9, respectively.
- In the **Programme Core** category, seven courses were conducted across eight slots, including re-registered and extended semester students. The feedback scores ranged from a minimum of 3.8 to a maximum of 4.7, indicating generally positive student perception.
- Six **Integrated** courses were offered across twelve slots, achieving an average feedback score of 4.75, which reflects good instructional quality and effective integration of theory and practice.
- A total of five **Programme Elective** courses were offered, with feedback scores ranging between 4.4 and 5.0, demonstrating high student satisfaction and relevance of elective offerings.
- Under the **Open Elective** category, seven courses were conducted across eleven slots. The feedback scores ranged from 4.5 to 4.8, and all questionnaire parameters were rated above 4.0, resulting in an average score of 4.6.
- Two **Laboratory** courses were offered to re-registered and extended semester students. Due to a minimal enrollment of one student, both courses received a perfect feedback score of 5.0, indicating complete satisfaction.

**Overall Observation**

Overall, the interim feedback provided by students for all the courses offered during the Summer Semester 2023–2024 is assessed to be **Good**. The results reflect the consistent teaching effectiveness, commitment, and academic excellence demonstrated by the faculty members, who deserve appreciation for their sustained efforts in delivering quality education.

*Gayathree*  
Dr. S. Gayathree  
HoD- Mechanical  
Engineering



## **FRESHMAN ENGINEERING**

### **SoMC – MECH, CIVIL**

**Summer Semester 2023–24**

#### **INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEED BACK REPORT**

In the Academic year 2023 – 24 Summer Semester, Four Foundation Courses were offered for the first year Second Semester B. Tech students as per R-21 Regulations.

1. 10210ME101 - Design Thinking offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (ECE, EEE, Biomedical), SoC Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)
2. 10210ME201- Engineering Graphics offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (ECE, EEE, Biomedical), SoC Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)
3. 10210EE204 - Introduction to Engineering offered to the students of SoC-Specialization CSE
4. 10210EE301 – Engineering Products Laboratory offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (ECE, EEE, Biomedical), SoC Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)

Based on the feed back score attained by the faculties for the irrespective sections, the feed back Statistical Analysis has been made and the following report is generated,


<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Course Code &amp; Name</b>	<b>Average Score</b>
1	10210ME101 - Design Thinking	4.40
2	10210ME201- Engineering Graphics	4.50
3	10210EE204 - Introduction to Engineering	4.31
4	10210EE301 – Engineering Products Laboratory	4.23

### **Statistical Analysis Findings:**

- The highest feedback score recorded was 5, while the lowest was 3.7.
- Most courses received feedback scores ranging between 4 and 5, with only one or two sections falling outside this range.
- Students reported that regular assessments and timely feedback helped them understand course outcomes more effectively.
- Students expressed the need for better time management during classes to ensure completion of the syllabus as planned.
- Some students indicated difficulty in keeping pace with the course content, especially during concept-heavy sessions.

### **Recommended Actions:**

- Conduct periodic review and recap sessions to help students reinforce key concepts and improve comprehension.
- Encourage students to actively participate in class discussions and ask questions without hesitation.
- Faculty should adopt structured lesson planning to manage classtime efficiently and Ensure syllabus coverage.
- Provide additional learning resources such as short notes, recorded lectures, or practice problems to support students who require extra assistance.
- Motivate students to utilize mentoring hours and academic support sessions for timely clarification of doubts.



**FME–Coordinator**



**Dean-FME**



**Vel Tech**  
**Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala**  
**R&D Institute of Science and Technology**  
 (Deemed to be University Estd. 1993 of UGC Act, 1956)

**School of Science and Humanities**  
**Department of Chemistry**

**10210CH105 – ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY**

**ACADEMIC YEAR – 2023 – 2024**

**SEMESTER – SUMMER**

**INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS**

S.No.	Section	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Faculty Score
1	SoEC - A	TTs 2005	Dr. Hazarathaiah Yadav	4
2	SoEC - H	TTs 3081	Dr. N. Edayadulla	4.6
3	SoEC - E	TTs 3508	Dr. Gosu Nageswara Reddy	4.4
4	SoEC - C	TTs 2717	Dr. N. Haridharan	4.8
5	SoEC - G	TTs 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	4.1
6	SoMC - B	TTs 3706	Dr. Ramesh M	4.5
7	SoEC - A2	TTs 3233	Dr. A. Roniboss	4.5
8	SoMC - A	TTs 3504	Dr. Srinivasan V	5
9	SoEC - D	TTs 3081	Dr. Edayadulla N	4.5
10	SoEC - I	TTs 3337	Dr. Silambarasan A	4.7
11	SoEC - B	TTs 2429	Dr. Kanniraj A	4

Dr. Hazarathaiah Yadav  
 Dean - Interim - 2023-2024

**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 (Deemed to be University Estd. 1993 of UGC Act, 1956)

Dr. Hazarathaiah Yadav  
 Head of the Department  
 Chemistry  
**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 (Deemed to be University Estd. 1993 of UGC Act, 1956)



**Vel Tech**  
**Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala**  
**R&D Institute of Science and Technology**  
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

**School of Science and Humanities**  
**Department of Chemistry**

**ACADEMIC YEAR – 2023 – 2024**

**SEMESTER – SUMMER**

**INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS**

S.No.	Course Code	Course Title	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Section / Slot	Faculty Score
1	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	B2	4.6
2	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	B4	4.4
3.	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	M2	4.5
4.	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3039	Dr. L. Venkataramana	B1	4.6
5	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3081	Dr. N. Edayadulla	B2	4.7
6	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	M1	4.6
7	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3039	Dr. L. Venkataramana	M2	4.7
8	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	B2	4.7
9	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3081	Dr. N. Edayadulla	M1	4.6

*baini*

Dr. M. P. Baini  
 Dean - Freshness Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
**Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala**  
**R&D Institute of Science and Technology**  
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

*ysl*  
 Dr. S. Sivakumar  
 Head, Department of  
 Environmental Science and  
 Technology  
**Vel Tech**  
**Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala**  
**R&D Institute of Science and Technology**  
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)



**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala

R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
affiliated to University Board, AICTE, New Delhi

**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**

**Analysis and Action Taken Report of Faculty Feedback on Courses Handled**

**Academic Year 2023-24 / Eng. Chem (Summer Semester) - R21**

Sl. No	Course offered to	Course Code	Course Title	Faculty Feedback Report	Action taken
1	B.Tech.	10210CH105	Engineering Chemistry	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered. Also, faculties ensured the audible volume throughout the class.

  
**Head of the Department**

Dr. Praveen Kumar, IIT Roorkee  
Head of the Department  
Chemistry

  
**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
affiliated to University Board, New Delhi

  
**Dean - FME**

Dr. J. S. S. Rao  
Dean - Faculty of Management  
Engineering

  
**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
affiliated to University Board, New Delhi



**Analysis and Action Taken Report of Faculty Feedback on Courses Handled**

Academic Year 2023-24 / ESS (Summer Semester) - R21

SL. No.	Course offered to	Course Code	Course Title	Faculty Feedback Report	Action taken
1	B.Tech.	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered. Also, faculties ensured the audible volume throughout the class.
2	B.Tech.	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered. Also, faculties ensured the audible volume throughout the class.

  
**Head of the Department**

Dr. Rangarajan Vaidyan  
 Head of the Department  
 Chemistry  
**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Government Approved, Accredited by NAAC, Accredited by TEC

  
**Dean - FME**

Dean - Faculty of Management

  
**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Government Approved, Accredited by NAAC, Accredited by TEC



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**


**SUMMER SEMESTER 2023–24 (R21)**

**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT FOR ENG. CHEM**

In AY2023-24-SS, 11 Foundation theory papers were offered for B.Tech students as per 21-regulations. The course name with course code is Engineering Chemistry/10210CH105

**The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:**

- ✓ The maximum and minimum faculty scores are 5.0 and 4.0 respectively.
- ✓ The average or peak maximum faculty score of 4.5 was obtained.
- ✓ The least score was given by the slow learners, who expect that special care be taken for them.
- ✓ Some students expect the faculty to maintain an audible volume, use of interesting teaching and more discussions.



**Measures to be taken:**

- ❖ Special classes should be conducted adequately for slow learners.
- ❖ Active learning methods should be adopted to promote student participation and clarify doubts.
- ❖ Faculty members should address students' doubts during the class itself.
- ❖ Necessary steps should be taken to ensure timely distribution of lecture notes and study materials.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the upcoming sessions.

**HOD (CHEMISTRY)**

**DEAN (FME)**



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**  
**SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-24 (R21)**


**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT FOR ESS**

In AY2023-24-SS, 9 Foundation theory papers were offered for the B.Tech students as per 21-regulations. List of the courses are as follows:

- Environmental Studies (10210CH103)
- Environmental Studies and Sustainability (10210CH104)

**The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:**

- ✓ The maximum and minimum faculty scores are 4.7 and 4.4 respectively.
- ✓ The average or peak maximum faculty score of 4.6 was obtained.
- ✓ Overall, the scores indicate that all faculty members received good feedback.
- ✓ The lowest scores were observed among learners who need additional support and individualized attention.



**Measures to be taken:**

- ❖ Special classes should be conducted adequately for slow learners.
- ❖ Active learning methods should be adopted to promote student participation and clarify doubts.
- ❖ Faculty members should address students' doubts during the class itself.
- ❖ Necessary steps should be taken to ensure timely distribution of lecture notes and study materials.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the upcoming sessions.

**HOD (CHEMISTRY)**



## Department of English

### Interim Feedback Report – Summer Semester – 2023-24

#### 10210EN201 - Professional Communication I

#### Inferential Statistics of Feedback Report

S.No.	Score Range	No. of Faculty Observations	Average Score
1	3.5 - 4.0	0	-
2	4.0 – 4.5	18	4.29
3	4.5 – 5.0	16	4.72

#### Statistical Analysis Report – English

Course Code: 10210EN201

Semester: Summer Semester – 2023-24

#### Observations from Faculty Feedback Score:

Student feedback was collected to assess the effectiveness of faculty members based on twelve structured parameters related to teaching quality, learner engagement, assessment transparency, and overall classroom effectiveness. The collected data were subjected to inferential statistical analysis to derive meaningful conclusions regarding instructional excellence and student satisfaction.

#### Inferential Statistical Interpretation

The inferential analysis of the feedback data highlights the following significant observations:

- No faculty member scored in the 3.5–4.0 range, indicating the absence of low or moderate performance levels within the department.
- A substantial proportion of faculty members (52.9%) fall within the 4.0–4.5 score range, reflecting consistently strong teaching effectiveness.
- An equally significant segment (47.1%) achieved scores between 4.5 and 5.0, demonstrating excellent to outstanding instructional performance.
- The high average scores across both ranges confirm that students perceive the teaching–learning process as highly effective and impactful.

## **Inference and Academic Implications**

Based on the score distribution and average values, the following inferences can be drawn:

- Faculty members exhibit high levels of clarity in instruction, conceptual explanation, and classroom communication.
- Students acknowledged active encouragement for interaction, timely academic support, and inclusive teaching practices.
- The high concentration of scores in the upper ranges indicates effective student-centric pedagogical approaches.
- Transparent and fair assessment methods, including Internal Assessment, have been positively recognized by students.
- The overall teaching performance strongly supports achievement of course outcomes and learning objectives.

## **Action Taken**

1. Best teaching practices adopted by high-performing faculty were documented and shared to sustain teaching excellence.
2. Outcome-based and experiential learning methods were further reinforced to enhance student learning and course outcome attainment.
3. Continuous quality review mechanisms were strengthened through periodic feedback analysis and academic review meetings.

## **Conclusion**

The inferential statistical analysis clearly establishes that the teaching performance of faculty members is uniformly high, with a significant proportion demonstrating exemplary teaching practices. The absence of lower score ranges reinforces the department's commitment to academic rigor, pedagogical excellence, and continuous quality enhancement.





**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

**SUMMER SEMESTER 2023 – 2024**

**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT**


**FIRST YEAR COURSES**

In AY2023-24-SS, two Foundation courses were offered for the first year B.Tech students as per 21-regulations.

- Linear Algebra for Computing (10210MA101) was offered to the students of School of Computing
- Matrices and Calculus (10210MA201) was offered to the students of School of Electronics and Communication, School of Mechanical and Construction.

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and the following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses offered by the department of Mathematics.

**Average Feedback Mathematics  
(SS 2023-24) FIRST YEAR**



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Linear Algebra for Computing(10210MA101) was 5 and the least feedback score rate was 4.

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Matrices and Calculus(10210MA201) was 5 and the least feedback score was 3.5.
- ✓ An average, the feedback score ranges between 4.4 to 5.
- ✓ The students expects the faculty to use appropriate teaching techniques to enhance teaching.
- ✓ The students needs subject materials to be shared with them for effective learning process.
- ✓ Mostly, the least score was given by the slow learners. *to the next  
class for capacity to the next  
class for improvement of performance*
- ✓ The students are expecting that their doubts to be cleared by the faculties in the class.

Measures to be taken:

- ❖ Students were advised through mentors to maintain silence in the class and actively participate in the class.
- ❖ Special class for the slow learners is planned and conducted.
- ❖ Active Learning Method techniques are adopted for active participation of students.
- ❖ The doubts are clarified in the class itself by the faculties.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned to enrich and update the current trends to the faculties.
- ❖ 0-credit Value added courses are planned and conducted for improving the better understanding of the students.
- ❖ Necessary action is taken for the students to receive their lecture notes study materials on time.

*✓ 13/1/24*

*✓ 13/1/24*

DEAN-FME

HOD- MATHEMATICS

Prof. Dr. J. Viswanath  
Head of the Department  
Mathematics

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sarangapani  
R.D.T. Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by AICTE, Accredited by NAAC, MHRD

Dr. M. Sivakumar  
Dean - Freshman Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sarangapani  
R.D.T. Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by AICTE, Accredited by NAAC, MHRD




**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

**SUMMER SEMESTER 2023 - 2024**

**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT**

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handling for the academic year 2023-24 (SS), the feedback statistical analysis has been made and the following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses offered by the department of Mathematics.

**Average Feedback Mathematics  
(SS 2023-24)**



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained was 4.8 and the least feedback score was 3.40.
- ✓ For many courses the feedback score ranges between 4.40 to 4.80 except one or two courses.
- ✓ The least score was given by the slow learners to insist that special care to be taken for them.
- ✓ From the inference, it is known that students doubts to be cleared by the faculties in the class.
- ✓ Voice audibility to be improved.
- ✓ Poor hand writing.

### Measures to improve the Score:
























- ❖ Special class for the slow learners is planned to be conducted.
- ❖ Important concepts which are covered in COs are given in Question and answer format to train the students for final exam.
- ❖ More Active Learning Method to be planned to implement for the active participation of students to clearing their doubts.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned to update the knowledge of faculty in emerging fields of mathematics.
- ❖ Improving legible hand writing.

$\sqrt{1+1}$

HOD- Mathematics

Dean FME

**Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology**  
**Freshman Engineering - Department of Mathematics**  
**AY (2023 - 24) - Summer Semester - Consolidated Interim Feedback Analysis Report (R21&R15)**

S.No.	TT5 No.	Faculty Name	Slot	No. of Students Registered	No. of Students Entered Feedback	Feedback Rating Point (Out of 5)	Faculty Signature
<b>Course Code / Title: 10210MA104 / Fourier Series and Transform Techniques(R21)</b>							
1	TT5 2233	Dr. SURESH, M.I.	ECE-SI	61	14	4.20	
2	TT5 2956	Dr. KALA R.	ECE-SI	63	15	4.80	
3	TT5 2615	Dr. NAGADEVI BALA, N.	ECE-SI	60	28	4.50	
4	TT52944	Dr. NAGALAKSHMI, T.	ECE-SI	58	22	4.80	
5	TT5 1962	Dr. SATHISH KUMAR, K.	ECE-SI	58	26	4.40	
6	TT52792	Dr. VIJAYALAKSHMI, G.M.	ECE-SI	60	41	4.70	
7	TT52649	Dr. VENKATESAN, K.A.	ECE-SI	60	26	4.10	
8	TT53245	Dr. PREMALATHA, H.	ECE-SI	57	32	4.50	
9	TT5 2788	Mr. SIVAPRAKASAM, S.	ECE-SI	59	41	4.60	
10	TT5 3005	Dr. B. SOMASUNDARAM	ECE-SI	60	39	4.60	
11	TT52315	Dr. T. GUNASEKAR	EEE	61	50	4.60	
12	TT5 2773	Dr. KUMARAN, N.	BIO MED	64	60	4.50	
<b>Course Code / Title: 10210MA105/ Transforms and Fourier Series(R21)</b>							
1	TT5637	Dr. DORA PRAVINA C.T.	SI	28	24	4.20	
2	TT52799	Dr. NIRANJAN, S.P.	SI	65	51	4.50	
<b>Course Code / Title: 10210MA106 / Transforms and Partial Differential Equations(R21)</b>							
1	TT53667	Dr. SELVAKUMARI, R.	MECH-SI	56	22	4.10	
2	TT52376	Dr. SHANMUGAPRIYA, R.	CIVIL-SI	22	14	4.70	
<b>Course Code / Title: 10210MA103 / Probability, Statistics and Queuing theory(R21)</b>							
1	TT52545	Dr. NARMADA DEVI, R.	IT-SI	73	62	4.10	
<b>Course Code / Title: 10210MA110/ Discrete Mathematical Structures(R21)</b>							
1	TT53284	Dr. JEEVA, A.	SI	60	41	4.30	
2	TT53266	Dr. KARTHIK, S.	SI	60	39	4.60	
3	TT52770	Dr. S. SENTHIL	SI	63	51	4.50	
4	TT53267	Dr. SELVARAJ, A.	SI	62	53	4.60	
5	TT53541	Dr. BALAMURUGAN, M.	SI	62	53	4.40	
6	TT5 1286	Mrs. SREELAKSHMI LINGINENI	SI	64	49	4.40	
<b>Course Code / Title: 10213MA101/ Operation Research(R21)</b>							
1	TT5 1090	Dr. KANAGAJOTHI, D.	SI	70	52	4.60	
2	TT51498	NAresh KUMAR, J.	SI	68	51	4.40	
3	TT52600	Mrs. SARANYA, P.	SI	72	51	4.60	
4	TT53034	Dr. SENBAGAMALAR, J.	SI	61	38	4.60	
<b>Course Code / Title: 80211GE109 / Business Statistics(R21)</b>							
1	TT53531	Dr. KAVIYARASU, M.	SEC A	58	45	4.10	

2	TTS3531	Dr. KAVIYARASU, M	SEC B	58	55	4.00	<i>(Signature)</i>
3	TTS3507	Dr. CHANDIRAN, V	SEC C	35	33	4.40	<i>(Signature)</i>
4	TTS3507	Dr. CHANDIRAN, V	SEC D	58	57	3.40	<i>(Signature)</i>

Course Code / Title: 10211CS101 / Discrete Mathematics(R21)

1	TTS2428	Dr. KALIVANI, N	59	60	41	4.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
2	TTS2799	Dr. NIRANJAN, S.P.	58	60	48	4.30	<i>(Signature)</i>
3	TTS2649	Dr. VENKATESAN, K.A	51	60	45	4.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
4	TTS3541	Dr. BALAMURUGAN, M	57	47	24	4.80	<i>(Signature)</i>
5	TTS3667	Dr. SELVAKUMAR, R	56	60	47	4.40	<i>(Signature)</i>
6	TTS 1962	Dr. SATHISH KUMAR, K	54	62	43	4.70	<i>(Signature)</i>
7	TTS2600	Ms. SARANYA, P	53	60	39	4.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
8	TTS2770	Dr. S SENTHIL	57	62	48	4.60	<i>(Signature)</i>
9	TTS3300	Dr. G. SARAVANAKUMAR	52	60	42	4.40	<i>(Signature)</i>
10	TTS3267	Dr. SELVARAJ, A	59	60	52	4.60	<i>(Signature)</i>
11	TTS3284	Dr. JEEVA, A	56	60	43	4.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
12	TTS 2615	Dr. NAGADEVI BALA, N	55	61	53	4.70	<i>(Signature)</i>
13	TTS 1090	Dr. KANAGAJOTHI, D	57	60	47	4.60	<i>(Signature)</i>
14	TTS2733	Dr. A. MEENAKSHI	56	59	44	4.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
15	TTS3245	Dr. PREMALATHA, M	52	60	48	4.70	<i>(Signature)</i>
16	TTS 2471	Mrs. HEMALATHA, P.K	53	61	56	4.60	<i>(Signature)</i>
17	TTS3338	Dr. R. SANKAR	58	80	34	4.70	<i>(Signature)</i>
18	TTS2545	Dr. NARMADA DEVI, R	51	57	33	4.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
19	TTS3339	Dr. R. NAVANEETHA KRISHNAN	58	35	17	3.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
20	TTS3266	Dr. KARTHIK, S	55	52	5	4.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
21	TTS 2788	Mr. SIVAKRASAM, S	53	60	36	4.50	<i>(Signature)</i>
22	TTS 3005	Dr. B. SOMASUNDARAM	55	60	45	4.60	<i>(Signature)</i>
23	TTS 2956	Dr. KALA, R	52	60	49	4.40	<i>(Signature)</i>

Course Code / Title: 1150MA201 / Applied Statistics(R15)

1	TTS 2471	Mrs. HEMALATHA, P.K.	NA	60	19	4.70	<i>(Signature)</i>
---	----------	----------------------	----	----	----	------	--------------------

1. Dr. J. Viswanath  
 2. Dr. R. Navaneetha Krishnan  
 3. Dr. N. Kumaran

Course Coordinators

HoD - Maths

Dean - FME

Dr. M. Sivakumar  
 Dean - Freshman E

Vel Tech  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Approved by University Board, AICTE & UGC ACB Accredited

Vel Tech  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Approved by University Board, AICTE & UGC ACB Accredited

## DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

### SUMMER SEMESTER 2023-24


#### INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

In AY 2023-24-SS, three theory courses and one laboratory course were offered for first year B. Tech student as per the 2021 regulations.

- Semiconductor Physics (10210PH101) and Modern Physics Laboratory (10210PH301) was offered to the students of school of Computing.
- Physics of Materials (10210PH102) was offered to the students of the School of Electronics and Communication and Mechanical Engineering.
- Universal Human Values II (10210ME102) was offered to the students of school of computing.

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses conducted by the physics department.

#### AVERAGE FEEDBACK-Physics department SS-2023-24



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- The highest feedback score obtained was 4.8 and the least one is 3.0.
- In most cases the feedback lies between 4 to 4.8 for all subjects offered.
- The least score was given by the slow learners to insist that special care to be taken for them.
- The students expected the faculty to be more audible.

- The students expected the faculty to use appropriate teaching technique to enhance.
- The students expected the faculty to clear the doubts by the faculty in the class room.

#### Measures to be taken

- Special class for the slow learners to be conducted by the individual faculty.
- Active learning method technique to be adopted for the active participation of the students and for clearing the doubts.
- The faculty spend time to clarify students doubt in the class itself.
- Necessary arrangements are taken for the students to receive their lecture notes study materials on time.
- Faculty are encouraged to attend faculty development programmes before the commencement of the next semester.



**HoD Physics**

Dr. D. Senthilkumar  
Head of the Department  
Physics

**Vel Tech**  
Technological University



**Department of Management Studies**

**Interim Feedback Analysis**

**Summer Semester of Academic Year 2023-24-I MBA I SEM**

This analysis evaluates teaching effectiveness among MBA faculty at Vel Tech during the Summer Semester of 2023-24. It reviews student feedback on clarity, engagement, accessibility, and overall satisfaction, with scores ranging from 1 to 5. The findings highlight strengths and areas for improvement, aiming to enhance teaching practices and student outcomes.

**Principle of Management and Organizational Behaviour**

Dr. M.S.R. Mariyappan's outstanding score of 4.9 in the subjects reflects his exceptional understanding and commitment. His remarkable dedication and effort are truly commendable.

Dr. P. Elantheraiyan achieved an outstanding score of 5, showcasing exceptional teaching effectiveness. Kindly share your successful methods with colleagues to inspire and enhance their practices.

**Business Regulatory Framework**

Dr. Rajapriya.M remarkable score of 4.9 reflects exceptional teaching practices. It is advisable to share successful methods with colleagues to help elevate the overall teaching quality within the department.

Dr Raja.S score achieved an outstanding score of 5, demonstrating exceptional teaching skills and dedication. This accomplishment reflects a high level of expertise and commitment to student success.

**Economic Analysis for Business**

Dr. G. Manoj received a score of 4.9, indicating exceptional teaching performance in numerous areas. His clarity, engagement, and commitment to student success are outstanding, with space for more improvement in doubt-clearing.

Dr. Geetakesavaraj received a 4.9 for her clear and engaging teaching style. His dynamic approach and effective strategies have significantly improved student learning and success.

**Quantitative Techniques**

Dr. Regan excelled in teaching the Quantitative Techniques course, earning an impressive average score of 4.9. Students praised his lucidity, interactive attitude, and successful teaching methods for creating an exciting and supportive learning environment.

Dr. Saravanakumar delivered exceptional teaching, earning an excellent average score of 4.9. Students praised his clarity and dedication to fostering understanding and engagement.

### **Accounting for Managers**

Dr. Thandayuthapani.S demonstrated a score of 4.8. his teaching skills, earning impressive to clear explanations, interactive methods, and commitment to fostering their understanding and engagement.

Dr. Lumina Julie. R. showcased exceptional teaching skills, earning an impressive average score of 4.9. Students appreciated her clear explanations, effective methods, and dedication to fostering their learning and active participation.

### **Managerial Communication**

Dr. Lumina Julie.R achieved an exceptional average score of 4.8 because to her clear communication, effective teaching approaches, and commitment to improving students' understanding and skills.

Dr. Tamil Selvan.P demonstrated excellent teaching skills, earning a commendable average score of 4.8. Students appreciated his clarity, engaging approach, and commitment to supporting their learning and understanding.

### **Entrepreneurship Development**

Dr. Elantheraiyan. P delivered outstanding teaching performance, achieving an exceptional average score of 4.8. Students appreciated his clarity, effective teaching methods, and dedication to fostering an engaging and supportive learning environment.

Dr. Sankar Ganesh earned an impressive score of 4.7 for his engaging teaching methods and dedication to creating a positive and effective learning environment.

### **Information Technology for Business**

Ashlin Nimo. J.R. score of 4.9, excelling in clear communication, effective teaching techniques, and fostering an engaging learning environment.

Ashlin Nimo.J.R score 4.8 demonstrating excellence in clear communication, effective teaching methods, and creating a supportive and engaging classroom atmosphere.

### **Seminar-I Industry Analysis**

Dr. Tamil Selvan.P. With an impressive score of 4.7, continuously exemplifies excellence in teaching, specializing in clarity, student involvement, and thorough syllabus fulfillment. His commitment to promoting diversity and understanding in the classroom is deserving of ongoing gratitude and acknowledgment.


Dr. Thandayuthapani S. excels in teaching with an outstanding score of 4.8, guiding students effectively through clear explanations and engaging methods.

### **Rural Development Programme**

Mr. Arul Krishnan S. has achieved an excellent score of 4.9. His impactful approach to rural development education is highly appreciated.

Mr. Arul Krishnan S. has earned a perfect score of 5, showcasing his exceptional teaching skills and dedication to equipping students with practical knowledge

## Feed back on 2023-2024 -I MBA-I SEM



### Overall Summary:

The faculty's performance evaluation highlights exceptional teaching abilities and dedication across various subjects. With most scores exceeding 4.8, the commitment to academic excellence and student engagement is evident. Perfect scores by Dr. P. Elantheraiyan, Dr. Raja S., and Mr. Arul Krishnan S. set a benchmark for others to follow. Sharing successful teaching practices among peers can further enhance the overall teaching quality within the department. This remarkable achievement reflects the faculty's consistent efforts to foster a positive and impactful learning environment.

### Action Taken:

1. Faculty members are actively supported in pursuing research opportunities, attending academic conferences, and publishing their work to enhance their professional growth.
2. Faculty members can enhance their knowledge by completing NPTEL courses to stay updated with the latest advancements in their field

Feedback Coordinator

HoD

Dr. K. Ravishankar  
Head - Management Studies

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. S. Srinivasan

Dean  
Dr. M. S. R. Mariyappan

Dean - School of Management

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. S. Srinivasan  
Autonomous Institution  
Approved by University Grants Commission (UGC)



## Department of Management Studies

### Interim Feedback Analysis

#### Summer Semester of Academic Year 2023-24-III SEM

This analysis revolves around evaluating the teaching effectiveness of various faculty members within the Master of Business Administration program at Vel Tech, focusing on the Summer Semester of the 2023-24 academic year. It systematically reviews student feedback across multiple courses, considering aspects such as clarity of instruction, engagement in learning, accessibility of materials, and overall course satisfaction. The feedback scores, ranging from 1 to 5, provide quantitative measures of faculty performance, with additional comments highlighting areas for appreciation and potential improvement. This comprehensive review aims to identify strengths and opportunities within the curriculum and pedagogical approaches, ultimately guiding enhancements to teaching practices and student learning outcomes.

#### International Business Management

**Dr. Sankar Ganesh.R:** With a score of 4.3, demonstrates strong capabilities in making concepts understandable and engaging students effectively. Noted for clear communication and responsiveness to student inquiries.

**S. Arul Krishnan:** Exceptionally high feedback of 4.6 indicates superior teaching methods, dynamic engagement, and clear articulation of course objectives, leading to high student satisfaction.

#### Basics of Functional Analytics

**Dr. Sankar Ganesh.R:** Achieved a score of 4.5, indicating strong teaching performance with a focus on practical analytics application and student support.

#### Python Programming Lab

**Ashlin Nimo.J.R:** Achieved a score of 4.6, reflecting excellent lab instruction that emphasizes practical application, accessibility to materials, and a supportive learning environment for enhancing programming skills.

#### Brand Management

**Ashlin Nimo.J.R:** Maintains a score of 4.6, indicating strong performance in delivering both theoretical and practical aspects of brand management. Praised for effective teaching techniques and making learning materials easily accessible.

#### Merchant Banking and Financial Services

**Dr. Tamilselvan.P:** With a score of 4.2, shows good teaching quality with notable areas for improvement in diversifying teaching methods and better supporting slow learners.

#### Corporate Finance

Dr. Thandayuthapani. S: Received a score of 4.2, indicative of solid teaching with effective communication of complex financial concepts, though further support for slow learners is suggested.

### **Cost Accounting Decision Making**

Dr. Lumina Julie. R: Scored 4.0, highlighting a need for improvement in audibility, use of visual aids, and additional support for slow learners despite overall positive feedback.

### **Industrial Relations and Labour Legislations**

Dr. P. Elantheraiyan: Excellent teaching performance is reflected in a score of 4.8, with high engagement and comprehensive coverage of materials.

Dr. Rajapriya. M: Scored 4.0, indicating room for growth in clarity and learner support, despite consistent teaching quality.

### **Training and Development**

M. Jayakumar scored 4.6, showing superior student engagement and effective teaching methods.

Koteswaran score of 4.1 suggests areas for improvement in material accessibility and clarity.

### **Performance Appraisal and Management**

M. Felisiya: Achieved a score of 4.7, demonstrating excellent teaching effectiveness and student interaction.

Dr. Rajapriya. M: Scored 4.0, with feedback pointing towards a need for enhanced student engagement and material presentation.

### **Data Mining Business Intelligence**

Dr. Geeta Kesavaraj: Score of 4.4, indicating strong performance in concept explanation and student engagement, with room for improvement in audibility and teaching aids.

### **Logistics Supply Chain Management**

Dr. Ravishankar. K: Excellent score of 4.6 reflects outstanding course delivery and dynamic classroom engagement.

### **Multi Modal Transport and Risk Management**

Dr. G. Manoj: Score of 4.5 showcases effective communication of logistics and risk management concepts, ensuring accessibility to learning materials.

### **Marine Cargo Management**

Dr. P. Elantheraiyan: High score of 4.6 highlights strong teaching performance, emphasizing practical application and clear concept explanation.


### **Digital Marketing Services**

M. Felisiya: Score of 4.6 reflects high-quality teaching with a focus on current digital marketing trends and techniques, ensuring dynamic delivery.

### **Content Marketing Communication**

DR. Raja.S: With a score of 4.1, indicates good teaching effectiveness with potential areas for enhancement in student engagement and material clarity.

#### II MBA - III Sem 2023-2024



**Overall Summary:** The feedback scores across various courses and instructors highlight faculty commitment to quality education and continuous improvement. Faculty members are recognized for their dynamic teaching methods, clear communication, and support for students. There are areas for improvement, particularly in supporting slow learners and enhancing classroom engagement.

#### Action Taken:

1. Provide faculty with information and guidance on applying for research grants from national and international funding agencies.
2. Conduct regular workshops, attend the FDP programs to advance their skills
3. Introduce awards and incentives for faculty who achieve significant research milestones, such as high-impact publications or patents.

~~Feedback Coordinator~~

Dr. H. S. R. Ravishankar  
Head - Management Studies

04/07/2022  
Dean  
Dr. H. S. R. Mariyappan  
Dean - School of Management

Vel Tech  
Institute of Science and Technology

Vel Tech  
Institute of Science and Technology

From

24.06.2024

Dr.SP.Chokkalingam

Dean – School of Computing

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology  
Avadi, Chennai.

To

Dean - Quality Assurance

Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology  
Avadi, Chennai.

Respected Sir,

Sub: Inferential Statistics for the Interim Feedback Report (Winter Semester of 2023-24) of Department of Computer Science and Engineering - reg.

The analysis of the Interim Feedback report for the Winter semester of 2023-24 has been done and all the statistics has been evaluated and noted with the below observations.

- The overall feedback for all the courses is good.
- Parameter wise overall feedback is analyzed and found to be good.
- All the faculties have secured more than 3.8 points out of 5.
- Individual parameters are analyzed and observed.
- No faculties have scored less than 3 points for the rating of 5.

Dean – SoC



**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology

Deemed to be University, Estd. 1993, Affd. by TGC, Ac. 1994

**School of Computing**

**Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

**Academic Year : 2023 - 24 / Winter Semester**

**Interim Feedback - Parameter wise Average Feedback**

Ques. No	Description	Average Feedback (Out of 5)
1	I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom.	4.67
2	The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand.	4.66
3	Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.	4.67
4	The Teacher took extra care for slow learners.	4.62
5	The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning.	4.65
6	I could access required materials easily for my learning activities.	4.65
7	I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course.	4.64
8	The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class.	4.66
9	The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams.	4.68
10	The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.67
11	The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Unit / Mid – term tests to the students.	4.67
12	The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course.	4.67




**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION**  
**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING**  
**VTU R21 B.TECH - ECE**  
**WINTER 2023-24**

The Interim feedback was taken for Winter semester 2023-24 and out of 220 feedbacks received for various courses including theory and laboratory, about 2 faculty members for 2 courses received feedback less than 4.

The action plan was taken by counselling the faculty members by the head of the department and the feedback analysis and action plan were recorded.

The inferential analysis of the department and individual faculty analysis who scored less than 4 are attached herewith.

**Inferential Interim feedback Analysis Winter 2023-24**





**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION  
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING**

**Interim Feedback Report**

**Winter Semester**

**AY 2023-24**

The report summarizes interim feedback from students across various courses in the Winter Semester AY 2023-24, focusing on course quality, instructor effectiveness, and learning resources. Feedback was obtained from the students on 5 points scale.

**Data Summary:**

No. of Courses: 27

Total Respondents: 264

**Response Summary**

Course category	Course type	Feedback Score	
		Min.	Max.
Program core	Theory	4.5	5.0
	Laboratory	4.7	5.0
Program Elective	Theory	4.2	4.8
Open Elective	Theory	3.5	4.8

The feedback analysis of various course categories indicates strong overall performance across all programs. The Program Core Theory Courses secured a minimum feedback score of 4.5 and a maximum of 5.0, with an average score of 4.7. The Program Core Laboratory Courses achieved a maximum score of 5.0 and a minimum of 4.7, resulting in an average score of 4.4. Similarly, the Program Elective Courses recorded a mean feedback score of 4.6, with scores ranging from 4.2 to 4.8. The Open Elective Courses demonstrated good performance, attaining an average score of 4.2, with the minimum and maximum feedback scores being 3.5 and 4.8, respectively. Overall, the feedback results reflect excellent student satisfaction and consistent quality in course delivery across all categories.

**Feedback Analysis by Score Range**

Score range	Interpretation
$\geq 4.5$ but $\leq 5.0$	Excellent

$\geq 4.0$ but $< 4.5$	Good
$\geq 3.5$ but $< 4.0$	Adequate
$< 3.5$	Needs Improvement

### 1. Courses with Feedback Score $\geq 4.5$ but $\leq 5.0$ (Excellent)

10211EE102 - DC Machines and Transformers	10211EE303 - AC Machines Lab
10211EE302 - DC Machines and Transformers Lab	10211EE103 - AC Machines
10213EE125 - Robotics and Automation	10211EE104 - Digital Electronics
10211EE306 - Analog and Digital Electronics Lab	10211EE111 - Electrical Machine Design
10212EE165 - Medical Electronics	10211EE114 - Linear Integrated Circuits
10212EE203 - Electrical Machines	10212EE104 - Data Structures and Algorithms
10213EE121 - Electrical Machines	10212EE156 - Wind Energy Conversion Systems
10211BM106 - Control Systems	10213EE102 - Bio Medical Instrumentation
10211EE105 - Linear Control Systems	10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship
10211EE109 - Power System Analysis	10211EE106 - Measurements and Instrumentation

#### Inference:

Students consistently reported very high levels of satisfaction for the above list of courses. The high score reflects the effectiveness and quality of this aspect of the course. This strong result suggests that students' needs and expectations are being met.

### 2. Courses with Feedback Score $\geq 4.0$ but $< 4.5$ (Good)

10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship	10213EE130 - Wind and Solar Power System
10213EE114 - Renewable Energy Systems	10210ME103 - Innovation and Entrepreneurship
10213EE130 - Wind and Solar Power System	10212EE101 - Computer Architecture

#### Inference:

- Students rated the above list of courses positively, with some suggestions for further refinement.

### 3. Courses with Feedback Score $\geq 3.5$ but $< 4.0$ (Adequate)

10213EE127	Electrical Safety and Safety Management
------------	---

#### Inference:

- Student faced difficulty in audibility lecture, need simplified notes and required more clarification in presentation and discussion.

### Remedial Actions for Adequate Feedback Score Interpretation

- Incorporate more frequent opportunities for student questions and clarifications (e.g., Q&A sessions, office hours).
- Provide clearer lecture outlines or hand-outs to reinforce main ideas.
- Supplement with additional materials (e.g., video tutorials, online resources) to cater to diverse learning preferences.
- Increase interactive elements during class, such as group discussions, polls, or collaborative activities.
- Explore new teaching tools to foster engagement in online or hybrid settings.

**Note:** None of the course handling faculty secured feedback score less than 3.5.

#### **Department Level Recommendations**

- Encourage the faculty to share their best practices at departmental workshops or through informal mentorship.
- Provide professional development workshops focused on interactive teaching methods and effective use of learning resources.
- Create and maintain a teaching resources hub (e.g., shared digital folder with rubrics, sample course designs, active learning strategies).

The Department of EEE exhibited strong teaching performance during the winter Semester 2023-2024. With most of courses falling within the excellent and satisfactory ranges, the next step is to focus on refining teaching methods and integrating innovative instructional strategies.





## FRESHMAN ENGINEERING

**SoEC – ECE, EEE**

**Winter Semester 2023–24**

### **INFERRENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT**

In the Academic year 2023 – 24 Winter Semester, Four Foundation Courses were offered for the first year Second Semester B. Tech students as per R-21 Regulations.

1. 10210EE201 – Basic Electrical, Electronics and Measurement Engineering offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (BioTech)
2. 10210EE202 – Basic Electrical and Instrumentation Engineering offered to the students of SoEC (ECE, Biomedical)
3. 10210EE203 – Basic Electronics and Measurement Engineering offered to the students of SoEC (EEE)
4. 10210EC201 – Basic Electronics and Digital Logic Design offered to the students of SoC – Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective sections, the feedback Statistical Analysis has been made and the following report is generated,

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Course Code &amp; Name</b>	<b>Average Score</b>
1	10210EE201 – Basic Electrical, Electronics and Measurement Engineering	3.96
2	10210EE202 – Basic Electrical and Instrumentation Engineering	4.18
3	10210EE203 – Basic Electronics and Measurement Engineering	4.10
4	10210EC201 – Basic Electronics and Digital Logic Design	4.18
5	10210ME103 – Innovation and Entrepreneurship	4.13

### **Statistical Analysis Findings:**

- The highest feedback score recorded was 4.7, while the lowest was 3.3.
- Most courses received feedback scores ranging between 4.1 and 4.4, with only one or two sections falling outside this range.
- Students expressed the need for better clarity in explaining complex concepts during regular classes.
- Students indicated a preference for more interactive and application-oriented activities during interim stages of the course.
- A significant number of students expected timely feedback on assessments, assignments, and classroom activities.
- Students highlighted the importance of regular doubt-clearing opportunities to help them stay aligned with the course outcomes.

### **Recommended Actions:**

- Encourage faculty to periodically review difficult concepts and provide simplified explanations during interim phases.
- Introduce short interactive activities such as quizzes, case discussions, or think–pair–share exercises to sustain student engagement.
- Provide timely and constructive feedback on internal assessments and assignments to help students track their progress.
- Schedule regular doubt-clearing sessions or allocate dedicated time during classes for addressing student queries.
- Monitor interim feedback closely and take corrective measures early to enhance overall learning effectiveness.



**FME-SoEC Coordinator**



**Dean-FME**



# Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. by Act of UGC Act, 1956)

## SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION

### DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

#### SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK AND ACTION TAKEN

WINTER SEMESTER 2023-2024

S.No.	Course Code	Course Name	Faculty	Feedback Score
1	10211BT304	Molecular Biology & Genetic Engineering lab (Batch-I)	Dr. R. Sai Nandhini/ Dr. S. Mugesh	4.3
2	10211BT304	Molecular Biology & Genetic Engineering lab (Batch-II)	Dr. S. Shobana/ Dr. S. Mugesh	4.3
3	10211BT307	Immunology lab (Batch-I)	Ms. R. Nirmala Nithya/ Dr. K. Jagajjanani Rao	4.2
4	10211BT307	Immunology lab (Batch-II)	Dr. S. Senthil Kumar/ Dr. K. Jagajjanani Rao	4.5
5	10212BT130	Precision Agricultural Biotechnology	Dr. V. Sugumari	4.4
6	10212BT117	Biopharmaceutical Technology	Dr. S. Shobana	4.2
7	10211BT104	Molecular Biology: Concepts and Techniques	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.4
8	10211BT112	Unit Operations and Transport Phenomena	Dr. Prasannamedhe, G	4.4
9	10211BT116	Immunology and Immunotechnology	Ms. R. Nirmala Nithya	4.3
10	10211BT110	Bioprocess Engineering	Dr. S. Chandramohan	4
11	10211BT106	Chemical Reaction Engineering	Dr. J. S. S. Allwin Ebenezer	4.6
12	10211BT107	Mass Transfer operations in Biotechnology	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	4.6
13	10211BT111	Downstream Processing	Dr. Prasannamedhe, G	4.7
14	10211BT113	Metabolic Engineering	Ms. R. Nirmala Nithya	4.5
15	10211BT114	Green Biotechnology & Pollution Abatement	Dr. S. Chandramohan	4.4

16	10211BT306	Downstream processing lab (Batch - I)	Dr.J.S.S.Allwin Ebenezer/ Dr. R. Ravikumar	4.8
17	10211BT306	Downstream processing lab (Batch - II)	Dr.V.Sugumari/ Dr. R. Ravikumar	4.3
18	10212BT113	Enzyme technology and Biotransformation	Dr.R.Sai Nandhini	4.5
19	10212BT104	Agricultural Biotechnology	Dr.R S Achsah	4.4
20	10212BT138	Biomolecular Modelling	Dr.J.S.S.Allwin Ebenezer	5
21	10212BT139	Biomechanics	Dr. S. Shobana	5
22	10212BT133	Food Preservation Packaging Technologies	Dr.K. Tarangini	4.6
23	10213BT103	Biomaterials Engineering	Dr.S.Chandramohan	4.6

  
**HOD**  
 Dr. V. Sugumari Balu  
 Head of the Department:  
 Biotechnology

**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Perungudi, Chennai - 600 090. Tel: 044-22244444

  
**DEAN**  
 Dr. V. Sugumari Balu, Ph.D.  
 Head - School of Biotech & Comm.  
**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Perungudi, Chennai - 600 090. Tel: 044-22244444



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	TTSS3519
School	: SOEC
Course Code	: 10211BTI04
Slot	: S1
No. of Students Registered	: 65

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
		Faculty Name	Department
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	Dr. Bisheshwar Kannan
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / Ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel	: Biotechnology
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	: Molecular Biology: Concepts and Techniques
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	: Programme Core/Theory
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	

Dr. Venkateshwaran  
Course Instructor

Vel  
Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Vel  
HoD of Course  
B.Tech in Biotechnology  
B.Tech in Nanotechnology  
B.Tech in Bio-Industrial Engineering  
B.Tech in Bio-Product Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Ranipet Deemed to be University  
Autonomous Institute of Higher Education  
Approved by NAAC, Accredited by NBA, Approved by AICTE  
Dr. V. Venkateshwaran, R.S. S  
Chairman, Dean of Academic & Communcation



## Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3533  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10211IBT106  
 Slot : S1  
 No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name : Dr. Allwin Ebineswar J.S.S

Department : Biotechnology

Course Name : Chemical Reaction Engineering

Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Theory

No. of Students Responded : 44

Faculty Score : 4.6

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation

  
Dr. Athagat Savitriya Babu  
Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

  
Dr. Vaishampathi R.S  
HoD of Course offering Dept  
Course Instructor

  
Dr. Vaishampathi R.S  
HoD - School of Chemical Engineering  
Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Chennai - 600117, Tamil Nadu, India - 600117



## Suggestions For the Interim Feedback Analysis

Faculty ID : TTS3519

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10211BT107

Slot : SI

No. of Students Registered : 48

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded

: 44

Faculty Score

: 4.6

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

: Dr.Bisheshwar Karmakar

: Biotechnology

: Mass Transfer Operations in Biotechnology

: Programme Core/Theory

Faculty Score

: 4.6

Dr. A. Vaishnavi  
Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Dr. A. Vaishnavi  
Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Bisheshwar Karmakar

Course Instructor

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication  
Engineering  
Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Salem - 626014, Tamil Nadu, India  
Ph: +91 6300442100, +91 6300442101, +91 6300442102

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTTS3276  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10211BT110  
 Shift : S1  
 No. of Students Registered : 65

Faculty Name : Dr.S.Chandra Mohan  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Bioprocess engineering  
 Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Theory

: 4

No. of Students Responded

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	3.8	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	3.9	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4	Please help students to clarify doubts in the class
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	3.9	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. S. Chandra Mohan  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology

P. N. N.

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
 Dean - School of Electrical & Communication  
**Vel Tech**  
 R.D.I. Institute of Science and Technology  
 A Government of Tamil Nadu Approved and Accredited by NAAC

Course Instructor

Vel Tech

P. N. N.

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
Institute of Science and Technology

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3607  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10211BT11  
 Slot : S1  
 No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name : Dr. Prasannameetha, G  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Downstream processing  
 Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Theory  
 No. of Students Responded : 44  
 Faculty Score : 4.7

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.8	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.7	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. V. Vatamathi, Ph.D  
 Dean, School of Finance & Commerce  
**Vel Tech**  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Dean

Dr. P. Ravindra Babu  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology  
**Vel Tech**  
 HoD of Course offering Dept  
 Faculty

P. N. Jayaram  
 Course Coordinator  
 Module Coordinator

Dr. P. Ravindra Babu  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology  
**Vel Tech**  
 Associate Professor  
 Faculty of Instructional Quality



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	: TTS3607
School	: SOEC
Course Code	: 10211BT112
Slot	: S1


No. of Students Registered	: 65
Faculty Name	: Dr. Prasannamedha, G
Department	: Biotechnology
Course Name	: Unit Operations in Biotech Industry
Course Category/Type	: Programme Core/Theory
No. of Students Responded	: 56
Faculty Score	: 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation



Dr. Valsaraghali R. S.

Head of Department  
Biotechnology



Dr. S. S. S. Balaji

Head of Department  
Biotechnology



Dr. S. S. S. Balaji

Head of Department  
Biotechnology



Dr. Valsaraghali R. S.

Head of Course offering Dept




Dr. S. S. S. Balaji

Head of Department  
Biotechnology



Dr. Valsaraghali R. S.

Head of Course offering Dept



Dr. S. S. S. Balaji

Head of Department  
Biotechnology



Dr. Valsaraghali R. S.

Head of Course offering Dept



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

A+  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunihala  
SPPU Institute of Science and Technology  
of International University, Bhopal, M.P. India.

Faculty ID	School	Course Code	Slot	No. of Stud
------------	--------	-------------	------	-------------

Faculty Name	Department	Course Name	Course Category	No. of Students
: TTS33276	: SOEC	: 10211BT114	: SI	: 48

Dr. S. Chandra Mohan  
Biotechnology  
Green Biotechnology  
Programme Core/Theory  
44

2023-24 Winter Semester  
Pollution Abatement  
Faculty Score

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Instructor

 Mrs. Coordinator

Malele condinatō

卷之三

100

100

Vel Tech

1160 Course offering Dept

Dr. Vaibhavatthi, R.S  
Dean, School of Research & Communication  
**W<sup>2</sup> Tech**  
Prof. Dr. Vaibhavatthi, R.S  
Dean, School of Research & Communication  
Dr. Vaibhavatthi, R.S  
Dean, School of Research & Communication

Dr. V. V. Vaidyanathan, M.A., M.Sc., Ph.D.

WATSON

10

Dienstleistungen

卷之三





## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS2285  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10211BT304  
 Slot : SI  
 No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name : Sai Nandhini R  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Molecular biology laboratory  
 Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Laboratory

No. of Students Responded : 29  
 Faculty Score : 4.3

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. P. Nandhini  
Professor, Dept. of Biotechnology

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Head of Course offering Dept

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean, School of Science and Technology  
Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology



# Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

Rating Scale

Excellent

Good

Acceptable

Poor

Very Poor

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3761  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10211BT304  
 Slot : S1  
 No. of Students Registered : 33

Faculty Name : Dr. Shobana S  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Molecular biology laboratory  
 Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Laboratory

No. of Students Responded

: 27

Faculty Score

: 4.3

Description	Score (1-5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
		1	2
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / Ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	

Dr. Sarayana Balaji  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology

Dr. Sarayana Balaji  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology

Dr. Sarayana Balaji  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology

Dr. Valarmathi, R. S  
 Dean - School of External & Continuous Education

Vel Tech  
 Deemed to be University, Hosur Road, Erode - 632 014  
 Tamil Nadu, India

Course Coordinator  
 Modula Coordinator

Course Instructor

Head of Course offering Dept  
 Deemed to be University, Hosur Road, Erode - 632 014  
 Tamil Nadu, India



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3533  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10211BT306  
 Slot : SI  
 No. of Students Registered : 23

Faculty Name : Dr. Allwin Ebinesar, J.S.S  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Downstream processing Laboratory  
 Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Laboratory  
 No. of Students Responded : 21

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.7	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.8	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.8	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.9	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. P. Arthaguru Saravanan Balaji  
Head of the Civil Engineering  
Department

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication  
Engineering

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
Institute of Science and Technology  
Tiruvannamalai - 601117, Tamil Nadu, India

Course Coordinator

Module Coordinator

Course Instructor

HoD of Course offering Dept



Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Aditi Sanyal Basu  
Dr. Aditi Sanyal Basu

100

Vorlesung

HoD of Course offering Dept

Module Coordinator

Course Coordinator

Course Instructor

Journal of Material & Chemical Engineering  
Volume 1, Issue 1, March 2017  
ISSN: 2574-105X

Ergonomics

Journal of Technical & Construction

Dean IECI



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3515  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10212BT104  
 Shift : SI  
 No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name : Dr.Achash.R.S  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Agricultural Biotechnology  
 Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory  
 No. of Students Responded : 44  
 Faculty Score : 4.4

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Achash R.S

Course Coordinator

Dr. P. Aravindan


Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Course Instructor

Dr. V. Vallimathi, R.S  
 Dean - School of Electrical & Electronics Engineering  
 NIT Trichy  
 Institute of Eminence under MHRD, Govt. of India

Dr. V. Vallimathi, R.S

Vel Tech



Vel Tech

Rangeland D. - S. 2001

115324

908

- 301 -

102

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Couse Name

THE END

Biotechnology

: Downstream processing Laboratory

Branched Polymer Library

Faculty ID	: TTS3294	Faculty Name	: Sugumar, v
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 10211BT306	Course Name	: Downstream processing Laboratory
Slot	: S1	Course Category/Type	: Programme Core Laboratory
		No. of Students Responded	: 23
		Faculty Score	: 4.3

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners.	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. P. *Abdullah Saravanan Babu*  
Associate Professor

Centre offert par Denavit

21

2

Vol. 2, April 1981, No. 2  
Dept. of Electrical & Communication

Wet Tech  
H. Rajan Dr. Sagunthala  
S. S. Institute of Science and Technology

## Male Coordinators

Course Instructor



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS2285  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10212BT113  
 Slot : S1  
 No. of Students Registered : 32

Faculty Name : Sai Nandhini R  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Enzyme Technology and Biotransformation  
 Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory

: 4.5

No. of Students Responded : 30

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Coordinator  
 Dr. P. Anupama Saravanan Babu  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology

Course Instructor  
 Dr. A. Gayathri  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology

HoD of Course offering Dept  
 Dr. P. Anupama Saravanan Babu  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology

Dr. Nagulathalli  
 Head of the Department  
 Biotechnology



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

Dr. S. Sagnathada  
HOD, Department of Science and Technology  
E-mail: sagnathada@veltech.ac.in

Faculty ID : ITTS3761  
School : SOEC  
Course Code : 10212BT117  
Slot : S1  
No. of Students Registered : 46

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty Name : Dr. Shobana S  
Department : Biotechnology  
Course Name : Biopharmaceutical technology  
Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded : 38  
Faculty Score : 4.2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. P. Arunagiri  
HOD, CSE Department

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator  
Course Instructor

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Dean - School of Electrical & Electronics

Vel Tech  
Institution of National Importance  
Approved by Government of Tamil Nadu and AICTE, New Delhi

Vel Tech  
Institution of National Importance  
Approved by Government of Tamil Nadu and AICTE, New Delhi



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : ITTS3294

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10212BT130

Slot : S1

No. of Students Registered : 18

Faculty Name : Sugamari, V

Department : Biotechnology

Course Name : Precision agricultural biotechnology

Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded : 17

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. Sugamari V  
Associate Professor  
Biotechnology

Course Instructor

Dr. Sugamari V  
Associate Professor  
Biotechnology

Course Coordinator  
Module Coordinator

Vel Tech  
Deemed to be University

Head of Course offering Dept

Vel Tech

Deemed to be University

2023-24



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3294  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10212BT113  
 Slot : S1  
 No. of Students Registered : 16

Faculty Name : Sugumari, V  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Food preservation, packaging Technologies  
 Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded : 14

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.7	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. P. Arbagu Saravanan S. Sajdu  
Head of the Department  
Food preservation, packaging Technologies

Course Instructor

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
Head of the Department  
Food preservation, packaging Technologies

**Vel Tech**  
 Raghavendra Deo Superimall  
**Dean**  
 Faculty of Science and Technology  
 Tel: 0422-2442000, 0422-2442001

HOD of Course offering Dept

Course Coordinator  
Mobile: 9003611111



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala

2023-24 Winter Semester

TTSS3761

Faculty ID

SOEC

School

10212BT139

Course Code

S1

Slot

No. of Students Registered : 2

Dr. Shobana.S

Biotechnology

Biomechanics

Program Elective/Theory

No. of Students Responded : 2

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel!
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation





## Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3533  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10212BT138  
 Slot : S1  
 No. of Students Registered : 2

Faculty Name : Dr.Allwin Ebinesar,J.S.S  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Biomolecular Modelling  
 Course Category/Type : Program Elective/Theory  
 No. of Students Responded : 2  
 Faculty Score : 5

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Coordinator  
 Module Coordinator

Head of Course offering Dept

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
 Dean, School of Defense & Communication  
 Vel Tech Dr. Saravanan Institute of Science and Technology  
 Approved by the AICTE and affiliated by the TGC, AACSB

Vel Tech  
 Dr. Saravanan Institute of Science and Technology  
 Approved by the AICTE and affiliated by the TGC, AACSB



## Suggestion for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS2409  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10211BT113  
 Slot : S1  
 No. of Students Registered : 48

Faculty Name : Nirmala Nithya, R  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Metabolic Engineering  
 Course Category/Type : Programme Core/Theory

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Course Coordinator  
 Module Coordinator

Dr. Ashwini Saravanan  
 Head of Department  
 HOD of Course offering Dept

Course Instructor  
 Module Coordinator

Dr. Valarmathi, R.S  
 Dean, School of Life Sciences & Communication  
**Vel Tech**  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 Affiliated to the Anna University, Tamil Nadu



**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Approved to be University Rank. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

## School of Electrical and Communication

### Department of Biotechnology

#### INFERENTIAL STATISTICS

OF

#### INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT WINTER SEMESTER 2023-24

- 1) Program core theory courses, the average feedback score is more than 4 with a minimum value of 4.1 and the maximum value of 5.
- 2) Program core laboratory courses, the average feedback is varying from 4.1 to 5.
- 3) Program elective courses, the feedback is around 4.2 to 5
- 4) For Open elective course, the feedback value is 4.6.
- 5) There are 20 courses handled by faculty members in the winter semester 2023-24.
- 6) In general, overall feedback given by students to various courses offered by Biotechnology department is satisfied and GOOD.

Dr. P. Azhagu Sravana Babu  
Head of the Department  
Biotechnology

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Approved to be University Rank. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION**

**DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**


**Inferential Statistical of Interim Feedback Report**

**Winter Semester**

**Academic Year 2023-2024**

A statistical analysis has been conducted pertaining to the average feedback score attained by the faculty for their respective courses handled during the current semester. The Pie chart shows the average score attained by the subject handling faculty.

**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS WS23-24**





**The following inferences are gathered from the above statistics,**

1. The highest score attained is 5 and the least score is 4.3

**Measures to be Taken**

As everybody has scored more than 4.1, there is no action taken report .

**Tentative future plans to meet student requirements :**

1. Generally hands on practice and active participation over theoretical explanations during lab time may be given.
2. Include exploratory tasks that go beyond routine experiments to spark creativity and critical thinking
3. Encourage collaborative projects to promote peer learning and teamwork.



**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION  
DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY &  
BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

**INTERIM FEEDBACK - INFERRENTIAL STATISTICS REPORT -  
FRESHMEN ENGINEERING**

**WINTER SEMESTER 2023-2024**

The Interim Feedback Analysis for the Winter Semester 2023-24 was conducted to evaluate the quality of instruction and overall student satisfaction in the Foundation theory course - Biology for Engineers (Course Code: 10210BM101) offered by the Department of Biotechnology & Biomedical Engineering to Freshmen Engineering Students of various departments. The dataset comprises feedback scores from 19 course offerings, providing a basis to infer overall teaching effectiveness and consistency across instructional slots.

The feedback scores range from 3.6 to 4.6, indicating generally positive student perceptions. The mean feedback score is observed to lie above 4.2, suggesting a high overall level of satisfaction.

From an inferential perspective, the distribution of scores indicates that most sections perform significantly above the neutral benchmark of 3.0. Assuming normality, one-sample inference would suggest that the population mean feedback score is statistically higher than the institutional satisfaction threshold, reinforcing the conclusion of effective course delivery across slots.

The highest feedback score (4.6) was recorded for the SoEC-C slot, handled by Dr. Madeshwari E, identifying this section as the top performer. Conversely, the lowest score (3.6) was observed in the SoC-A6 slot, taught by Dr. Leena Sharan V, indicating comparatively lower student satisfaction. While this score is still above average, it represents a potential area for targeted academic support or pedagogical refinement. Except for three sections, students from the remaining sixteen sections have given a positive feedback score greater than 4, indicating good teaching performance by the teachers.

Overall, inferential interpretation of the feedback data suggests statistically strong teaching performance with minor variations among slots. The consistently high scores across sections imply that differences in instructional delivery do not significantly affect student satisfaction. These findings support the conclusion that the course maintains a uniformly high standard of teaching effectiveness, with limited outliers at both the upper and lower ends of performance.

COURSE COORDINATOR

  
HOD

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Head of the Department  
Biomedical Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

  
DEAN

Dr. M. Venkatesh, R.S.  
Dean - School of Electrical & Communication

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)



**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATION  
DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY &  
BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

**Consolidated Faculty Feedback Table (2023-24 Winter Semester)**

S.No	Course Code	Course Name	Slot	Faculty	Feedback Score
1.	10210BM101	Biology for Engineers	SoEC-F	Dr. Achsah R.S	4.4
2.			SoEC-A	Shelishiyah R	3.7
3.			SoEC-D	Dr. Arunachalam P	4.3
4.			SoEC-B	S Hema	4.5
5.			SoMC-A	Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K	4.1
6.			SoEC-A2	Dr. Muthalakshmi M	4.1
7.			SoC-A3	Dr. Madeshwari E	4.4
8.			SoEC-C	Dr. Madeshwari E	4.6
9.			SoEC-E	Dr. Prasannamedha G	4.5
10.			SoC-A2	S Hema	4.4
11.			SoC-A4	Dr. Prasannamedha G	4.5
12.			SoEC-A1	Dr. Senthil Kumar S	4.2
13.			SoC-A5	Dr. Shobana S	4.1
14.			SoC-A1	Shelishiyah R	4.5
15.			SoEC-G	Dr. Senthil Kumar S	4.5
16.			SoEC-I	Padmanabha Sarma A.B.S.K	4.2
17.			SoMC-B	Dr. Bisheswar Karmakar	3.9
18.			SoC-A6	Dr. Leena Sharan V	3.6
19.			SoEC-H	Dr. Leena Sharan V	4.2

COURSE COORDINATOR

HOD

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
HOD, School of Biotechnology  
Biomedical Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R.V.Tech Institute of Science and Technology  
Educated to Serve Society, Dedicated to Life, Inspired to Lead

DEAN  
Dr. Valsarmathi, P.S  
Dept : School of Electrical & Communication

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R.V.Tech Institute of Science and Technology  
Educated to Serve Society, Dedicated to Life, Inspired to Lead



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS2660  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10210BM101  
 Slot : SoM-C-A  
 No. of Students Registered : 63

Faculty Name : Padmanabha Samra, A.B.S.K  
 Department : Biomedical Engineering  
 Course Name : Biology for Engineers  
 Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory  
 No. of Students Responded : 60

Suggestions for further improvement			
Description	Score (5)		
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.9	Please help slow learners to get learning materials	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	3.9	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	

  
 Dr. D. Balashramanian  
 Head of Department  
 E-mail: dbalashramanian@vtech.ac.in  
 Course Coordinator

  
 Dr. V. Varma  
 Dept. Head of Biomedical Engineering  
 E-mail: vvarma@vtech.ac.in  
 Course Instructor

  
 Dr. V. Varma  
 Dept. Head of Biomedical Engineering  
 E-mail: vvarma@vtech.ac.in  
 Vel Tech  
 Polytechnic Institute  
 E-mail: vtech@vtech.ac.in



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS2660

School : SOEC

Course Code : 10210BM101

Slot : SoEC-I

No. of Students Registered : 67

Faculty Name : Padmanabha Sarma, A.B.S.K

Department : Biomedical Engineering

Course Name : Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory

Slot : 4.2

Faculty Score : 56

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.3	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.9	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.1	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-line before tests / exams	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation

Faculty Name

Department

Course Name

Course Category/Type

No. of Students Responded : 56

Faculty Name : Padmanabha Sarma, A.B.S.K

Department : Biomedical Engineering

Course Name : Biology for Engineers

Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory

Slot : 4.2

Faculty Score : 56


Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
HOD of Course offering Dept

Dr. V. Venkateshwaran  
HOD of Financial Mathematics

Dr. S. S. Venkateswaran  
Course Coordinator

Dr. V. Venkateshwaran  
HOD of Financial Mathematics

Dr. S. S. Venkateswaran  
Course Coordinator



Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	: TTS599	Faculty Name	: Dr. Anasalam, P
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biomedical Engineering
Course Code	: 10210(BM101)	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoEC-D	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Training
No. of Students Registered	: 68	No. of Students Responded	: 68

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation

**Dr. D. Balasubramanian**  
Head of the Department  
Economics/Economics  
**Top of Course offering Dept**  
Course Coordinator

Instructor

110 of Course offering Dept

Environ Biol Fish (2009) 86:109–116

Vel Tech  
Dean



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	: ITTS3681	Faculty Name	: Dr. Madeshwari, E
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biomedical Engineering
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoEC-C	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Registered	: 69	No. of Students Responded	: 66

Description	Score (5)		Suggestions for further improvement
	Score	(5)	
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.6	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course			



Dr. D. Balaji  
Course Coordinator

Course Coordinator

Course Instructor



Dr. V. Vairamuthu, B.S  
Dean, School of Business Administration

Vel Tech  
Institution of Higher Education

Head of Course offering Dept

Dr. D. Balaji  
Course Coordinator



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	: TTS3681	Faculty Name	: Dr. Madeshwari. E
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biomedical Engineering
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoC-A3	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Registered	: 68	No. of Students Responded	: 48

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

Professor of the Department

Dr. V. Venkateswaran

HOD of Course offering Dept

Dr. V. Venkateswaran

Dean  
Vel Tech  
Institutional Head  
Institutional Head  
Institutional Head  
Institutional Head

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

Course Coordinator

Course Instructor





### Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3064  
 School : SoEC  
 Course Code : 10210BM101  
 Slot : SoEC-B  
 No. of Students Registered : 58

Faculty Name : S Hem  
 Department : Electrical and Electronics Engineering  
 Course Name : Biology for Engineers  
 Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory  
 No. of Students Responded : 52

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.4	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.4	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. V. Venkateshwaran  
 Professor of Practice  
 Head of the Department  
 Department of Chemical Engineering

Course Coordinator

Dr. V. Venkateshwaran  
 Chair, Academic Board of Chemical Engineering

Vel Tech  
 Deemed to be University  
 Department of Chemical Engineering

Head of Course offering Dept  
 Department of Chemical Engineering

Course Instructor



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	Faculty Name	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
School	: SOEC	3.7	
Course Code	: 10210BM101		
Slot	: SoEC-A		
No. of Students Registered	: 71		
No. of Students Responded	: 71		
Description			
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		3.7	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		3.8	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		3.7	Please help students to clarify doubts in the class
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		3.5	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		3.6	Please arrange lectures logically and systematically
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		3.7	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		3.6	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		3.8	Please inform the students about the course core and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		3.6	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		3.9	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		3.7	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course			Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

	Dr. D. Balasubramanian Head of the Department Electrical Engineering Email: drbalu@veltech.edu.in
	Course Coordinator Head of Course offering Dept Email: drsuresh@veltech.edu.in

Course Instructor



**Vel Tech**  
Dr. Srinivasan  
Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by TEC, Accredited by NAAC

**Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis**

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	: TTS2422	Faculty Name	: Stefishya, R.
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biomedical Engineering
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoC-A1	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Registered	: 70	No. of Students Responded	: 69

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.7	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.6	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course			

Dr. D. Balaji, M.A.(Engg.)

Head of the Department  
Biomedical Engineering

Course Coordinator

Dr. Vaikarani, M.Sc.

Professor in Physics  
Head of the Department

Vel Tech  
dua

Engineering College

Chennai - 600022

Tel: 044-22250000

www.veltech.edu

E-mail: info@veltech.edu

CEA Approved

NAAC Accredited

ISO 9001:2008 Certified

ISO 14001:2004 Certified

ISO 45001:2008 Certified

PCI Certified

PCI Accredited

PCI Approved

PCI Accredited



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

Faculty ID	: TSS315
School	: SOEC
Course Code	: 102108MI01
Slot	: SoEC-F
No. of Students Responded	: 71

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	Faculty Name
School	Department
Course Name	Course Category/Type
	: Dr. Adithi R.S
	: Biochemistry
	: Biology for Engineers
	: Foundation Course/Theory

	Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1.	I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
2.	The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3.	Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4.	The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5.	The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6.	I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7.	I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
8.	The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9.	The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation
10.	The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11.	The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
12.	The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		

Dr. Adithi R.S  
Course CoordinatorDr. D. Balasubramanian  
HOD of Course offering DeptDr. V. Venkatesh, B.T.  
Chairman, Department of BiochemistryVel Tech  
Institution by Sir Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi and Dr. Lakshmi NarayanaVel Tech  
Institution by Sir Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi and Dr. Lakshmi Narayana



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	: TTS3625	Faculty Name	: Dr. Senthil Kumar, S
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoEC-A1	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Registered	: 66	No. of Students Responded	: 64

Description	Suggestions for further improvement		
	Score (5)		
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.1	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.3	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus position in-time before tests / exams	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course			

Dr. V. Senthil Kumar  
Dr. Senthil Kumar, S  
Biology for Engineers  
Foundation Course/Theory

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Biology for Engineers  
Foundation Course/Theory

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Biology for Engineers  
Foundation Course/Theory

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Biology for Engineers  
Foundation Course/Theory

Vel Tech  
Deemed  
University

Vel Tech Deemed  
University

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Biology for Engineers  
Foundation Course/Theory

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Biology for Engineers  
Foundation Course/Theory

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Biology for Engineers  
Foundation Course/Theory



Vel Tech

Kongruenzen

2451

-50E-

102108

SOC-43

- 69 -

卷之三

Biotechnology

Biology for Everyone

CategoryType : Foundation Group

Students Respond : 67

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.9	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4	Please inform the students about the course outcome and graduate attributes
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher compiled syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		

Amsterdam

Curse Coordinator

Course Instructor

Dr. D. Ballabhanan

Dr. W. C. H. H.  
Editor of *Journal of Economics*

Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	: TT53761	Faculty Name	: Dr. Shobana S
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 102101BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoC-A5	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Registered	: 69	No. of Students Responded	: 67
		Faculty Score	: 4.1


Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.2	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.9	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.1	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4	Please inform the students about the course outcome and graduate attributes
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher compiled syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		

Dr. W. C. H. H.  
Editor of *Journal of Economics*

Dr. D. Ballabhanan

Curse Coordinator

20



Faculty ID	: TTS3625	Faculty Name	: Dr. Sandhi Kumar S
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoEC-G	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Registered	: 69	No. of Students Responded	: 68
		Faculty Score	: 4.5
Suggestions for further improvement			
	Description	Score (5)	
1.	I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2.	The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel
3.	Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4.	The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5.	The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6.	I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them
7.	I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8.	The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
9.	The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
10.	The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11.	The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12.	The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

43. *Wet*

Entire Coordinator

Dr. D. Balakrishnan

HoD of Course offering Dept



Faculty ID	: TTS3519	Faculty Name	: Dr.Bishekwar Karnikar
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SaMC-B	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Registered	: 59	No. of Students Responded	: 41
Faculty Score	: 3.9		

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	3.7	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	3.7	Please arrange lectures logically and systematically
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	3.9	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	3.7	Please inform the students about the course scope and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	3.8	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	3.9	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	3.7	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	3.8	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

*Amman*

Dr. D. Balasubramanian  
Head of the Department  
Biotechnology  
No. 10, Main Campus, T-1  
Rangarajan Dr. Srinivasan  
Institutional Society and Trust, Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Srinivasan  
Institutional Society and Trust, Vel Tech

Dr. Vaibhav R.S.  
Chairman, Department of  
Communication  
Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Srinivasan  
Institutional Society and Trust, Vel Tech

*Abdullah*  
Course Coordinator  
Course Instructor

*Y*  
Course Coordinator



Faculty ID : TTS3773  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10210BM101  
 Slot : SoC-A6  
 No. of Students Registered : 67

Faculty Name : Dr. Leena Sharani, V  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Biology for Engineers  
 Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory  
 No. of Students Responded : 64

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		3.6	Maintain the mode of audibility
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		3.6	Please include additional references and visual representations in the lectures
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		3.6	Please help students to clarify doubts in the class
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		3.4	Please help slow learners to get learning materials
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		3.7	Please arrange lectures logically and systematically
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		3.6	Please help the students with simplified lecture notes
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		3.5	Please provide additional materials for advanced learners
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		3.4	Please inform the students about the course outcome and graduate attributes
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		3.6	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		3.5	Please inform the students about assessment and evaluation procedures
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		3.6	Please discuss the common mistakes committed by the majority of the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		3.6	Please exhibit your subject expertise to the students and create interest in the subject

### Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty Score : 3.6  
 Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory

### Suggestions for further improvement

1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course

Dr. D. Balaji  
 Associate Professor  
 Department of Biotechnology  
 Kumaraguru College of Technology

Dr. V. Venkatesh, A.S  
 Dean, School of Engineering & Technology  
 Kumaraguru College of Technology

Dr. D. Balaji  
 Associate Professor  
 Department of Biotechnology  
 Kumaraguru College of Technology

Dr. V. Venkatesh, A.S  
 Dean, School of Engineering & Technology  
 Kumaraguru College of Technology

Dr. V. Venkatesh, A.S  
 Associate Professor  
 Department of Biotechnology  
 Kumaraguru College of Technology

Dr. D. Balaji  
 Associate Professor  
 Department of Biotechnology  
 Kumaraguru College of Technology

Dr. V. Venkatesh, A.S  
 Dean, School of Engineering & Technology  
 Kumaraguru College of Technology

Dr. V. Venkatesh, A.S  
 Associate Professor  
 Department of Biotechnology  
 Kumaraguru College of Technology



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

TTSS3773

Faculty Name

: SOEC

Department

: 10210BM101

Course Name

: SoEC-H

Course Category/Type

: Foundation Course/Theory

: Dr. Leena Sharav. V

: Biotechnology

: Biology for Engineers

: 69

No. of Students Registered

: 46

No. of Students Responded

: 42

Faculty Score

Description		Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand		4.2	Good, please continue to excel	
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning		4.2	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities		4.1	Good, please continue to help them	
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams		4	Please advise the students to attend the examination effectively	
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly		4.2	Good, you deserve appreciation	
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students		4.3	Good, you deserve appreciation	
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course		4.1	Good, you deserve appreciation	

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

HoD of Course offering Dept

Course Instructor

Dr. V. Achyuthan, Ph.D  
Professor, Dr. M. Jayalakshmi  
Institute of Engineering and Technology  
and Management, Coimbatore

Vel Tech

Technological University  
Institute of Engineering and Technology  
and Management, Coimbatore

Course Coordinator



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID : TTS3607  
 School : SOEC  
 Course Code : 10210BM101  
 Slot : SoEC-E  
 No. of Students Registered : 71

Faculty Name : Dr. Prasannamedu, G  
 Department : Biotechnology  
 Course Name : Biology for Engineers

: 4.5

Course Category/Type : Foundation Course/Theory  
 No. of Students Responded : 67

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.6	Good, please continue to excel
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.5	Good, please share your methods with colleagues
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.5	Good, please continue to help them
7. I have learned and understand the subject materials of this course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation

Dr. D. Balasubramanian

Head of the Department  
Academic Coordinator

Dr. Valarmathi, P.G.  
Head of the Department  
Academic Coordinator

Head of Course offering Dept  
HOD of the Department  
Academic Coordinator

Course Inspector



## Suggestions for the Interim Feedback Analysis

2023-24 Winter Semester

Faculty ID	: TTS3607	Faculty Name	: Dr. Prasannadeva, G.
School	: SOEC	Department	: Biotechnology
Course Code	: 10210BM101	Course Name	: Biology for Engineers
Slot	: SoC-A4	Course Category/Type	: Foundation Course/Theory
No. of Students Registered	: 68	No. of Students Responded	: 63

Description	Score (5)	Suggestions for further improvement	
		Faculty Score	Faculty Score
1. I heard words and phrases of Teacher and understood clearly in the classroom	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3
2. The Teacher explained important concepts / ideas in ways that I can understand	4.5	Good, please continue to excel	4.3
3. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3
4. The Teacher took extra care for slow learners	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3
5. The Teacher used appropriate teaching techniques to enhance my learning	4.3	Good, please share your methods with colleagues	4.3
6. I could access required materials easily for my learning activities	4.4	Good, please continue to help them	4.3
7. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course	4.4	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3
8. The Teacher discussed all the course outcomes clearly in the class	4.6	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3
9. The Teacher completed syllabus portion in-time before tests / exams	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3
10. The Teacher assessed and evaluated students fairly	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3
11. The Teacher showed evaluated answer scripts of Continuous Internal Assessment tests to the students	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3
12. The Teacher was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course	4.5	Good, you deserve appreciation	4.3

*Dr. D. Balanobraham*  
Dr. D. Balanobraham  
Head of the Department  
Biotechnology  
HoD Of Dept offering Dept  
Vel Tech  
Dr. D. Balanobraham  
Head of the Department  
Biotechnology  
Course Coordinator  
Course Coordinator  
Course Instructor



**Minutes of the Meeting on Students Feedback Analysis**

The Department meeting was convened to discuss the student feedback analysis for Aeronautical Engineering courses conducted during the Winter Semester 2023-24.

Overall, the feedback for the courses was found to be satisfactory and above the required level.

However, six courses recorded comparatively lower faculty scores and multiple student suggestions, indicating the need for academic and pedagogical improvements.

Students mainly expressed the need for:

- Better clarity of explanation
- Additional support for slow learners
- Simplified learning materials
- Clear discussion on course outcomes and assessment procedures
- Post-test review sessions

Based on the analysis, the following course-wise issues and recommended actions were discussed and approved.

No	Feedback Point	Course	Recommended Action
1	Students expecting better clarity in explanation	Introduction to Computer Programming, Low Speed Aerodynamics	Introduce simplified explanations with examples, recap key concepts at the end of each session
2	Slow learners expecting additional academic support	Introduction to Computer Programming, Linear System Analysis & Control	Conduct remedial classes and provide individualised learning support
3	Students expecting better access to learning materials	Low Speed Aerodynamics, Space Exploration (S1)	Share simplified lecture notes, additional references, and visual learning materials
4	Lack of clarity on course outcomes and graduate attributes	Introduction to Computer Programming, Linear System Analysis & Control	Allocate dedicated sessions to explain course outcomes and CO-PO mapping
5	Students expecting guidance on assessment and examinations	Low Speed Aerodynamics, Space Exploration (S1)	Clearly explain assessment patterns and conduct exam-oriented guidance sessions
6	No post-test discussion on common mistakes	Low Speed Aerodynamics, Introduction to Computer Programming	Conduct post-assessment review sessions highlighting common errors and improvement strategies
7	Moderate engagement and pedagogy concerns	Combustion & Flame Dynamics, Propulsion Lab	Adopt blended teaching methods including demonstrations, visuals, and structured lab flow

Domain coordinators are informed to discuss the same in domain meeting and implement the suggested action items.

  
Department IQAC Coordinator

  
Domain Coordinators



**School of Mechanical and Construction**

**Department of Civil Engineering**


**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF THE INTERIM FEEDBACK  
REPORT .**

**Winter Semester**

**Academic Year: 2023-2024**

A statistical analysis of feedback has been done on its average score based on the feedback score that the faculties received for each of their individual subjects. The average score earned in each subject by the faculties is depicted in the pie chart below (with course codes).

**Feedback Analysis**



- 10211CE106 • 10211CE107 • 10211CE108 • 10211CE109
- 10211CE110 • 10211CE111 • 10211CE113 • 10211CE204
- 10211CE205 • 10211CE206 • 10211CE301 • 10212CE121
- 10212CE122 • 10212CE132 • 10213CE101 • 10213CE102

**The statistics gives following inferences:**

- Based on the department's measures, as indicated by the data from summer semester 23–24, a noticeable improvement has been noted, as the statistics graph make evident.
- Moreover, slow learners have been given special care and attention.
- Maximum score of 4.8 and minimum score of 3.8 has been attained.

### **Exclusive Measures Undertaken**

- To observe the active participation and involvement of slow learners, only active learning approaches were used.
- Value added courses exclusively related with the curriculum was conducted.
- Regular mentor-mentee meetings were held, and academic performance of both quick and slow learners was closely monitored and addressed.
- The attendance and the performance of the students were monitored and reported to the concern mentors and respective parents.

### **Tentative future plan to meet the requirements of the Students**

- Training programmes shall be conducted for the practical understanding of the concepts.
- Guest lectures/ Seminars shall be conducted for the students to acquire additional knowledge about the course in the real-time world, ultimately.
- The lowest score of 3.8 has been monitored and required action is being taken.



Prof. Dr. N. Lenin  
Dean - School of Mechanical  
and Construction

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Affiliated to Anna University, Approved by AICTE, Accredited by NAAC





**School of Mechanical and Construction  
Department of Mechanical Engineering**

Date: 04-03-2024

**Interim Feedback Analysis – Winter Semester 2023–2024**

The Interim Feedback Analysis for the winter Semester 2023–2024 was conducted to evaluate the quality of instruction and overall student satisfaction across the courses offered by the Mechanical Engineering department. This report presents a summary of the findings based on faculty scores obtained from structured student feedback. The feedback dataset includes information related to faculty members, course codes, course categories, student registration strength, response count, and faculty performance scores.

**Descriptive Statistics**

The descriptive statistical analysis of the Interim Feedback data for the Winter Semester 2023–2024 indicates that, overall, faculty members received consistently high feedback scores on a 5-point scale, reflecting effective teaching practices and positive student perception across the Mechanical Engineering department. The feedback scores show limited variation, demonstrating uniformity in instructional quality across different course categories.

- Under the **Foundation Course** category, three courses were offered across 18 sections. The average feedback scores range from 4.5 to 5.0, with **Project Management and Finance (10210ME104)** accounting for the highest number of sections. The overall feedback in this category reflects good to excellent instructional effectiveness.
- In the **Programme Core – Theory** category, two courses were conducted across three sections, with average feedback scores ranging from 4.4 to 4.6, indicating satisfactory clarity in concept delivery and course organization.
- The **Programme Core – Integrated** category comprises seven courses offered across 10 sections. The feedback scores in this category range from 4.2 to 4.8, reflecting effective integration of theory and application. The majority of courses achieved scores above 4.5, demonstrating strong student satisfaction.
- **Programme Core – Laboratory** courses include one course offered in two sections, with an average feedback score of 4.6, indicating effective laboratory instruction and practical engagement.
- Under the **Programme Elective – Theory** category, nine courses were offered across nine sections. The feedback scores range from 4.5 to 5.0, with multiple courses achieving perfect scores, highlighting excellent teaching quality and relevance of elective content.
- The **Open Elective – Theory** category includes seven courses conducted across 12 sections. The feedback scores range between 4.3 and 4.9, with the majority of courses scoring above 4.5, demonstrating effective delivery of interdisciplinary content to a diverse student group.

Overall, the feedback analysis reveals that all courses recorded average scores above 4.2, with the majority of sections achieving scores greater than 4.5. This clearly indicates that the teaching–learning process across all course categories during the Winter Semester 2023–2024 was effective, and the faculty members have maintained a high standard of academic delivery and student engagement.

Dr. Jayavelu  
HoD-Mechanical  
Engineering



## **FRESHMAN ENGINEERING**

### **SoMC – MECH, CIVIL**

**Winter Semester 2023–24**

#### **INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEED BACK REPORT**

In the Academic year 2023 – 24 Winter Semester, Four Foundation Courses were offered for the first year Second Semester B. Tech students as per R-21 Regulations.

1. 10210ME101 - Design Thinking offered to the students of SoC- Specialization CSE
2. 10210ME201- Engineering Graphics offered to the students of SoC- Specialization CSE
3. 10210EE204 - Introduction to Engineering offered to the students of SoMC (Aero, Mech, & Civil) and SoEC (ECE, EEE, Biomedical), SoC Specialization (AIDS, AIML, CSD)
4. 10210EE301 – Engineering Products Laboratory offered to the students of SoC- Specialization CSE

Based on the feed back score attained by the faculties for the irrespective sections, the feed back Statistical Analysis has been made and the following report is generated,


<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Course Code &amp; Name</b>	<b>Average Score</b>
1	10210ME101 - Design Thinking	4.30
2	10210ME201- Engineering Graphics	4.43
3	10210EE204 - Introduction to Engineering	4.17
4	10210EE301 – Engineering Products Laboratory	4.18

### **Statistical Analysis Findings:**

- The highest feedback score recorded was 4.8, while the lowest was 3.
- Most courses received feedback scores ranging between 4 and 4.8, with only one or two sections falling outside this range.
- Students reported that regular assessments and timely feedback helped them understand course outcomes more effectively.
- Students expressed the need for better time management during classes to ensure completion of the syllabus as planned.
- Some students indicated difficulty in keeping pace with the course content, especially during concept-heavy sessions.

### **Recommended Actions:**

- Conduct periodic review and recap sessions to help students reinforce key concepts and improve comprehension.
- Encourage students to actively participate in class discussions and ask questions without hesitation.
- Faculty should adopt structured lesson planning to manage classtime efficiently and Ensure syllabus coverage.
- Provide additional learning resources such as short notes, recorded lectures, or practice problems to support students who require extra assistance.
- Motivate students to utilize mentoring hours and academic support sessions for timely clarification of doubts.



**FME–Coordinator**



**Dean-FME**



**School of Sciences and Humanities**  
**Department of Chemistry**

**10210CH105 – ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY**  
**ACADEMIC YEAR – 2023 – 2024**  
**SEMESTER – WINTER**

**INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS**

S.No.	Section	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Faculty Score
1.	SoC - A	TTT 2005	Dr. Hazarathaiah yadav	4.5
2.	SoC - B	TTT 2429	Dr. Kanniraj	4.6
3.	SoC - C	TTT 3504	Dr. Srinivasan V	4.3
4.	SoC - D	TTT 3521	Dr. Divya D	4.5
5.	SoC - E	TTT 2717	Dr. N. Haridharan	4.6
6.	SoC - F	TTT 2817	Dr. M. Nagoor Meeran	4.5
7.	SoC - G	TTT 3081	Dr. Edayadulla N	4.8
8.	SoC - H	TTT 3039	Dr. L. Venkataramana	4.4
9.	SoC - I	TTT 3508	Dr. Gosu Nageswara Reddy	4.1
10.	SoC - J	TTT 2879	Dr. K. Thirunavukkarasu	4.6
11.	SoC - K	TTT 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	4.4
12.	SoC - L	TTT 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	3.6
13.	SoC - M	TTT 3233	Dr. A. Roniboss	4.5
14.	SoC - N	TTT 3337	Dr. Silambarasan A	4.4
15.	SoC - O	TTT 3344	Dr. Gayathri J	4.6
16.	SoC - P	TTT 3706	Dr. Ramesh M	4.7
17.	SoC - Q	TTT 3728	Dr. Meenakshi S	4
18.	SoC - R	TTT 3504	Dr. Srinivasan V	4.7
19.	SoC - S	TTT 2717	Dr. N. Haridharan	4.3
20.	SoC - T	TTT 3081	Dr. Edayadulla N	4.7
21.	SoC - U	TTT 3728	Dr. Meenakshi	4.3

Dr. M. Nagoor Meeran  
Dean - Faculty of Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Deemed to be University Estd. by Govt. of Tamil Nadu, 1994

Dr. Hazarathaiah Yadav  
Head of the Department  
Chemistry

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Deemed to be University Estd. by Govt. of Tamil Nadu, 1994



# Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. w.e.f. 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

School of Science and Humanities  
Department of Chemistry

ACADEMIC YEAR – 2023 – 2024

SEMESTER – WINTER

INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

S.No.	Course Code	Course Title	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Section / Slot	Faculty Score
1	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3728	Dr. Meenakshi S	RC1	4.6
2	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 2879	Dr. K. Thirunavukkarasu	B1	4.8
3.	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3268	Dr. Vivek P M	B1	4.6
4.	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	TTS 3348	Dr. Sivarama Krishna L	RC1	4.9
5	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3344	Dr. Gayathri J	M1	4.6
6	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3233	Dr. A. Roniboss	B2	4.3
7	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3337	Dr. Silambarasan A	B1	4.5
8	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3706	Dr. Ramesh M	B2	4.8
9	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 3508	Dr. Gosu Nageswara Reddy	B4	4.4
10	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2717	Dr. N. Haridharan	B1	4.4
11	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2987	Dr. S. Sivakumar	B1	4.6
12	10210CH104	Environmental Science and Sustainability	TTS 2817	Dr. M. Nagoor Meeran	B2	4.5

Dr. M. Sivakumar  
Dean - Freshman Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. w.e.f. 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Dr. R. Venkatesh Varadarajan  
Principal  
Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. w.e.f. 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University Estd. w.e.f. 3 of UGC Act, 1956)



# Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University) as per UGC Act, 1956

## DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

### Analysis and Action Taken Report of Faculty Feedback on Courses Handled

Academic Year 2023-24 / Eng. Chem (Winter Semester) - R21

SL. No.	Course offered to	Course Code	Course Title	Faculty Feedback Report	Action taken
1	B.Tech.	10210CH105	Engineering Chemistry	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered. Also, faculties ensured the audible volume throughout the class.

  
Head of the Department

  
Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University) as per UGC Act, 1956

  
Dean - FME

Dr. M. S. Venkateswaran  
Dean - Faculty of Engineering

  
Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
(Deemed to be University) as per UGC Act, 1956



**Vel Tech**  
**Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala**  
**R&D Institute of Science and Technology**  
 (Deemed to be University) Estd. in 1964, Affd. 1996

**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**

**Analysis and Action Taken Report of Faculty Feedback on Courses Handled**

**Academic Year 2023-24 / ESS (Winter Semester) - R21**

Sl. No	Course offered to	Course Code	Course Title	Faculty Feedback Report	Action taken
1	B.Tech.	10210CH103	Environmental Studies	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered.
2	B.Tech.	10210CH104	Environmental Studies and Sustainability	Providing additional support and individualized attention are recommended for slow learners.	At the end of each class, a brief discussion was conducted to help students understand the topic covered.

  
**Head of the Department**

  
**Dean-FME**

Dr. P. Senthil Kumar  
 Dean-Faculty of Management

  
**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 (Deemed to be University) Estd. in 1964, Affd. 1996

  
**Vel Tech**  
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
 (Deemed to be University) Estd. in 1964, Affd. 1996



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**


**WINTER SEMESTER 2023-24 (R21)**

**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT FOR ENG. CHEM**

In AY2023-24-WS, 21 Foundation theory papers were offered for B.Tech students as per 21-regulations. The course name with course code is Engineering Chemistry/10210CH105

**The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:**

- ✓ The maximum and minimum faculty scores are 4.8 and 3.6 respectively.
- ✓ The average or peak maximum faculty score of 4.4 was obtained.
- ✓ The least score was given by the slow learners, who expect that special care be taken for them.
- ✓ Some students expect the faculty to maintain an audible volume, use of interesting teaching and more discussions.



**Measures to be taken:**

- ❖ Special classes should be conducted adequately for slow learners.
- ❖ Active learning methods should be adopted to promote student participation and clarify doubts.
- ❖ Faculty members should address students' doubts during the class itself.
- ❖ Necessary steps should be taken to ensure timely distribution of lecture notes and study materials.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the upcoming sessions.

**HOD (CHEMISTRY)**

**DEAN (FME)**



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**  
**WINTER SEMESTER 2023-24 (R21)**


**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT FOR ESS**

In AY2023-24-SS, 9 Foundation theory papers were offered for the B.Tech students as per 21-regulations. List of the courses are as follows:

- Environmental Studies (10210CH103)
- Environmental Studies and Sustainability (10210CH104)

**The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:**

- ✓ The maximum and minimum faculty scores are 4.7 and 4.4 respectively.
- ✓ The average or peak maximum faculty score of 4.6 was obtained.
- ✓ Overall, the scores indicate that all faculty members received good feedback.
- ✓ The lowest scores were observed among learners who need additional support and individualized attention.



**Measures to be taken:**

- ❖ Special classes should be conducted adequately for slow learners.
- ❖ Active learning methods should be adopted to promote student participation and clarify doubts.
- ❖ Faculty members should address students' doubts during the class itself.
- ❖ Necessary steps should be taken to ensure timely distribution of lecture notes and study materials.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the upcoming sessions.

**HOD (CHEMISTRY)**

**DEAN (FME)**

Dr. M. Sivalumar  
Dean - Freshmen Engineering



## Department of English

### Interim Feedback Report – Winter Semester – 2023-24

#### 10210EN202 - Professional Communication II

#### Inferential Statistics of Feedback Report

S.No.	Score Range	No. of Faculty Observations	Average Score
1	3.5 - 4.0	4	3.82
2	4.0 – 4.5	26	4.38
3	4.5 – 5.0	10	4.67

#### Statistical Analysis Report – English

Course Code: 10210EN202

Semester: Winter Semester – 2023-24

#### Observations from Faculty Feedback Score:

Student feedback was collected to evaluate the effectiveness of faculty members based on twelve well-defined parameters related to teaching–learning processes. These parameters focused on classroom communication, conceptual clarity, learner engagement, inclusivity, assessment practices, syllabus completion, and overall teaching dynamism. The feedback data were statistically analyzed to draw meaningful inferences regarding teaching quality and student satisfaction.

#### Inferential Statistical Interpretation

The inferential analysis of the feedback data reveals the following significant trends:

- A dominant majority (65%) of faculty members fall within the 4.0–4.5 score range, indicating a consistently high level of teaching effectiveness across the department.
- 25% of faculty members achieved scores between 4.5 and 5.0, reflecting exceptional teaching performance characterized by strong conceptual delivery, student engagement, fairness in assessment, and energetic classroom conduct.
- Only 10% of faculty members scored between 3.5 and 4.0, which still falls within a good performance range, suggesting scope for enhancement rather than concern.

The overall mean score trends toward the higher end of the scale, demonstrating that student perception of teaching quality is significantly positive.

## **Inference and Academic Implications**

From the statistical distribution and average scores, the following inferences can be drawn:

- Students clearly understand classroom instruction, concepts, and course outcomes, indicating effective communication and pedagogical clarity.
- The high average scores suggest that faculty members successfully employ student-centric teaching strategies, encourage interaction, and provide meaningful academic support.
- Fairness and transparency in Internal Assessment practices have been well received, strengthening trust in evaluation mechanisms.
- Timely syllabus completion before examinations reflects effective academic planning and execution.
- The strong representation in the top score range implies that teaching practices adopted by the department significantly enhance student learning outcomes.

## **Action Taken**

1. Interactive and ICT-enabled teaching strategies were strengthened to improve learner engagement and conceptual clarity across courses.
2. Remedial and mentoring support was initiated for slow learners to ensure inclusive and effective learning outcomes.
3. Evaluation transparency was reinforced through regular discussion of Internal Assessment answer scripts and timely syllabus completion.

## **Conclusion**

The inferential statistical analysis of the student feedback clearly indicates that the overall teaching performance of the faculty members is highly satisfactory to excellent. The concentration of observations in the higher score ranges validates the effectiveness of instructional strategies, learner support mechanisms, and assessment practices followed by the department. The feedback outcomes affirm the department's commitment to academic excellence and continuous quality enhancement.





**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

**WINTER SEMESTER 2023 – 2024**


**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT**

**FIRST YEAR COURSES**


In AY2023-24-WS, five Foundation courses were offered for the first year B.Tech students as per 21-regulations.

- Calculus and Ordinary Differential Equations (10210MA102) was offered to the students of School of Computing.
- Vector Calculus and Complex Variable (10210MA203) was offered to the students of School of Electronics and Communication, School of Mechanical and Construction.
- Probability, Statistics and Queueing Theory (10210MA103) was offered to the students of School of Computing (Special Section).
- Probability and Numerical Methods (10210MA204) was offered to the students of School of Electronics and Communication (Special Section).
- Probability and Statistics (10210MA205) was offered to the students of School of Mechanical and Construction.

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and the following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses offered by the department of Mathematics.



## Average Feedback Mathematics First Year (WS 2023-24)



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Calculus and Ordinary Differential Equations (10210MA102) was 4.7 and the least feedback score rate was 3.3.
- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Vector Calculus and Complex Variable (10210MA203) was 4.7 and the least feedback score rate was 3.8.
- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Probability, Statistics and Queueing Theory (10210MA103) was 4.6 and the least feedback score rate was 3.9.
- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Probability and Numerical Methods (10210MA204) was 4.6.
- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained in Probability and Statistics (10210MA205) was 4.3.
- ✓ An average, the feedback score ranges between 4.3 to 4.7.
- ✓ The students expect the faculty to maintain the mode of audibility.
- ✓ The students wanted the faculty to include additional references and visual representations in the lectures.
- ✓ The students expect the faculty to use appropriate teaching techniques to enhance teaching.
- ✓ The students expect the faculty to complete the syllabus well in advance before the tests.
- ✓ The students need subject materials to be shared with them for effective learning process.
- ✓ Mostly, the least score was given by the slow learners.
- ✓ The students are expecting that their doubts to be cleared by the faculties in the class.

Remedial Measures:

- ❖ Students were advised through mentors to maintain silence in the class and actively participate in the class.
- ❖ Special class for the slow learners is planned and conducted.

- ❖ Active Learning Method techniques are adopted for active participation of students.
- ❖ The doubts are clarified in the class itself by the faculties.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned to enrich and update the current trends to the faculties.
- ❖ 0 credit value added courses are planned and conducted for improving the better understanding of the students.
- ❖ Necessary action is taken for the students to receive their lecture notes study materials on time.

✓ 9/8/24.

### HOD- MATHEMATICS

Prof. Dr. S. V. Venkatesh  
Head of the Department  
Ph.D

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Government Approved AICTE & ISO 9001:2015

G. Gunasekaran  
10/8/24

DEAN-FME

Dr. G. Gunasekaran  
Dean - Freshman Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Government Approved AICTE & ISO 9001:2015

S.No.	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Slot	No. of Students Registered	No. of Students Entered Feedback	Feedback Rating Point (Out of 5)
<b>Course Code / Title: I0210MA102 / Calculus and Ordinary Differential Equations(R21)</b>						
1	TTS1084	Dr. VISWANATH. J	SoC-S1	69	62	4.20
2	TTS2240	Dr.E.CHANDRASEKARAN	SoC-S2	69	60	4.10
3	TTS2255	Dr. SURESH. M. L	SoC-S3	69	61	4.60
4	TTS2315	Dr. T. GUNASEKAR	SoC-S4	66	66	4.40
5	TTS2376	Dr. SHANMUGA PRIYA. R	SoC-S5	68	58	4.10
6	TTS2428	Dr. KALAIVANI. N	SoC-S6	68	57	4.30
7	TTS1498	Dr. J. NAresh KUMAR.	SoC-S7	69	57	4.70
8	TTS1090	Dr. D. KANAGAJOTHI	SoC-S8	69	60	4.40
9	TTA2894	Dr.P.NARAYANASAMY	SoC-S9	68	41	4.30
10	TTS2615	Dr. N. NAGADEVI BALA	SoC-S10	65	50	4.50
11	TTS2773	Dr. N. KUMARAN	SoC-S11	63	51	3.90
12	TTS2895	Dr.B.RAMESH KUMAR	SoC-S12	60	50	4.40
13	TTS3245	Dr. PREMALATHA. M	SoC-S13	67	65	4.40
14	TTS1286	Dr. SREELAKSHMI LINGINENI	SoC-S14	63	62	4.10
15	TTS2471	Dr. P. K. HEMALATHA	SoC-S15	63	62	4.60
16	TTS2944	Dr. NAGALAKSHMI. T	SoC-S16	63	63	4.50
17	TTS3300	Dr. SARAVANAKUMAR. G	SoC-S17	64	60	3.10
18	TTS3338	Dr. R. SANKAR	SoC-S18	65	65	4.50
19	TTS3531	Dr. KAVIYARASU	SoC-S19	64	49	4.20
20	TTS3541	Dr. BALAMURUGAN.M	SoC-S20	65	52	4.70
21	TTS3667	Dr. SELVAKUMARI. R	SoC-S21	62	60	4.20
22	TTS3668	Dr. STALIN. T	SoC-S22	62	23	4.40
23	TTS3856	Dr.K.ELAVARASAN	SoC-S23	64	39	4.50
24	TTS3860	Dr.P.MAYAVEL	SoC-S24	64	42	4.30
25	TTS3972	Dr.ARCHANA ALIAS GURULAKSHMI.G	SoC-S51	70	63	3.80
26	TTS3914	Dr.EPADMAVATHY	SoC-S52	69	60	4.60
27	TTS2773	Dr. N. KUMARAN	SoC-S53	68	45	4.30
28	TTS3860	Dr. MAYAVEL	SoC-S54	67	60	4.30
29	TTS3972	Dr.ARCHANA ALIAS GURULAKSHMI.G	SoC-S55	68	54	4.40
30	TTS3914	Dr.EPADMAVATHY	SoC-S56	64	54	4.40

**Course Code / Title: I0210MA203 / Vector Calculus and Complex Variable(R21)**

1	TTS2545	Dr.R.NARMADA DEVI	SoEC-S1	66	63	3.60
2	TT52732	Dr. MEENAKSHI. A	SoEC-S2	67	58	4.40
3	TT52792	Dr. VIJAYALAKSHMI. G.M	SoEC-S3	66	59	4.30
4	TTS1643	Dr.M.REGAN	SoEC-S4	67	62	4.40

1	TT51962	Dr. K. SATHISH KUMAR	SoEC-55	66	63	4.80
2	TT53034	Dr. SENBAGAMALAR, J	SoEC-56	63	47	4.50
3	TT52941	Dr. S. KARPAGAM	SoEC-57	67	61	4.30
4	TT53267	Dr. A. SELVARAJ	SoEC-58	68	54	4.10
5	TT53284	Dr. JEEVA, A	SoEC-510	50	50	3.60
6	TT53284	Dr. A. JEEVA	SoEC-511	63	63	4.10
7	TT53267	Dr. A. SELVARAJ	SoMC-51	66	50	3.70

Course Code / Title: 10210MA103 / Probability, Statistics and Queuing theory(R21)

1	TT5637	Dr. C.T.DORA PRAVINA	SoC-551	70	63	4.20
2	TT52799	Dr. NIRANJAN S.P.	SoC-552	69	60	4.20
3	TT53507	Dr. CHANDIRAN, V	SoC-553	68	45	3.80
4	TT52649	Dr. K. A. VENKATESAN	SoC-554	67	60	4.00
5	TT53005	Dr. B. SOMASUNDARAM	SoC-555	68	54	4.20
6	TT53339	Dr. R. NAVANEETHA KRISHNAN	SoC-556	65	54	4.20

Course Code / Title: 10210MA204 / Probability and Numerical Methods(R21)

1	TT53266	Dr. KARTHIK, S	SoEC-59	50	47	4.70
---	---------	----------------	---------	----	----	------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA205 / Probability and Statistics(R21)

1	TT52600	Dr. P. SARANYA	SoMC-52	56	49	4.70
---	---------	----------------	---------	----	----	------

Course Coordinators

1. S.P.L
2. M. A. M.
3. S. K. K.

HoD - Maths

Prof. Dr. J. Viswanath  
Head of the Department  
Mathematics

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. S. Srinivasa  
Institute of Science and Technology  
Tamil Nadu, India - 601106  
Established in 1996

Dean - FME

Dr. G. Gunasekaran  
Dean - Freshman Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. S. Srinivasa  
Institute of Science and Technology  
Tamil Nadu, India - 601106  
Established in 1996




**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

**WINTER SEMESTER 2023 – 2024**

**INFERENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK REPORT**

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and the following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses conducted by the mathematics department.



The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- ✓ The highest feedback score obtained for the courses (Fourier series and Transform technique-10210MA104 and Calculus and Differential equations-10210MA202) was 4.9 and the least feedback score rate was 3 (Discrete Mathematical Structure-10210MA110).
- ✓ For many courses the feedback score ranges between 4.4 to 4.9.
- ✓ The least score was given by the slow learners to insist that the special care to be taken for them.
- ✓ It is observed that some of the students' doubt to be clarified by the faculty.
- ✓ Some students felt difficult to understand the phrases of the faculty.
- ✓ Students are not familiarised with the Cos of their courses.

Measures to be taken:

- ❖ Special class for the slow learners is planned to be conducted.
- ❖ Various Active Learning Method (ALM) to be implemented for active participation of students and for clearing their doubts.
- ❖ Faculty development programmes are planned for the forth coming sessions.
- ❖ All CCs are instructed to direct the faculty to start the new chapter only after explaining the CO of the content.
- ❖ Faculty are instructed to conform the transparency of evaluated answer scripts to the students.
- ❖ Faculty are informed to conform the satisfaction of the students on the provided study materials.
- ❖ Faculty are urged to spend time to clarify the students doubt as an activity.

21-16/4/24.

16/4/24  
DEAN-FME

HOD- MATHEMATICS

Prof. Dr. J. Viswanath  
Head of the Department  
Mathematics

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R.D. Institute of Science and Technology  
Chennai - 600 080, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. M. Sivalakumar  
Dean - Freshman Engineering

**Vel Tech**  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R.D. Institute of Science and Technology  
Chennai - 600 080, Tamil Nadu, India

**Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology**  
**Freshman Engineering - Department of Mathematics**  
**AY (2023 - 24) - Winter Semester - Consolidated Interim Feedback Analysis Report (R21)**

S.No.	TTS No.	Faculty Name	Slot	No. of Students Registered	No. of Students Entered Feedback	Feedback Rating Point (Out of 5)
<b>Course Code / Title: 10210MA103 / Probability, Statistics and Queuing theory(R21)</b>						
1	TTS2545	Dr. NARMADA DEVI R	L19 S1	56	49	4.70
2	TTS2615	Dr. N. NAGADEVI BALA	L18 S1	57	42	4.80
3	TTS3267	Dr.SELVARAJ A	L14 S1	62	49	4.70
4	TTS2944	Dr.T Nagalakshmi	L3 S1	56	50	4.70
5	TTS2649	Dr.K.A. Venkatesan	L15 S1	55	52	4.70
6	TTS2792	Dr.G.M.Vijayalakshmi	L2 S1	55	46	4.40
7	TTS3531	Dr.Kaviyarasi M	L2 S1	56	47	4.50
8	TTS3245	Dr.H.Premalatha	L7 S1	56	48	4.50
9	TTS3300	Dr.G.Saravananmur	L19 S1	28	25	4.60
10	TTS2471	Hemalatha, P.K	L1 S1	30	24	4.70
11	TTS3339	Navaneetha Krishnan R	L19 S1	51	43	4.50
12	TTS1090	Dr.D.Kanagaljoshi	L9 S2	35	26	4.80
13	TTS3284	Dr.Jeeva A	L3 S2	24	21	4.60
14	TTS2600	Saranya P	L9 S2	37	34	4.60
15	TTS1962	Dr. Sathish Kumar K.	L1 S2	19	13	4.60
16	TTS2799	Dr. Niranjana S.P	L6 S1	50	45	4.50
17	TTS2770	Senshik S	L10 S1	55	43	4.40
18	TTS1286	Sreelakshmi Lingineni	L7 S1	57	50	4.50
19	TTS2732	Dr.A.Meenakshi	L18 S1	55	47	4.60
20	TTS3507	Dr.Chandiran,V	L15 S1	58	48	4.50
21	TTS3266	Dr.Karthik S	L6 S1	52	46	4.80
22	TTS2788	Sivaprasakasam S	L15 S1	58	42	4.70
23	TTS3005	Dr.B.Somasundaram	L17 S2	29	21	4.60
24	TTS2956	R.Kala	L11 S1	57	46	4.60
25	TTS3034	Dr.J.Seebagamalar	L14 S1	56	46	4.70
26	TTS2895	Dr.B.Ramesh Kumar	L14 S1	56	31	4.50
<b>Course Code / Title: 10210MA104 / Fourier Series and Transform Techniques(R21)</b>						
1	TTS2944	Dr.T Nagalakshmi	S1	19	17	4.80
2	TTS2945	Dr.T Nagalakshmi	S2	3	1	5.00
<b>Course Code / Title: 10210MA106 / Probability and Random Process(R21)</b>						
1	TTS3267	Dr.Sekaraj A	P-1	58	57	4.80
2	TTS3507	Dr.Chandiran,V	P-1	56	56	4.50
3	TTS2615	Dr.N. Nagadevi Bala	P-1	55	51	4.80
4	TTS2799	Dr. Niranjana S.P	P-1	54	51	4.50
5	TTS2471	Hemalatha, P.K	P-1	55	52	4.50
6	TTS3266	Dr.Karthik S	P-1	55	52	4.60

1	TT52956	R.Kala	P-I	55	52	4.50
2	TT52773	N.Kumaran	P-I	33	31	4.60
3	TT53034	Dr J.Senbagamalar	P-I	57	56	4.70
4	TT53245	Dr M.Premalatha	P-I	52	50	4.60

Course Code / Title: 10210MA107/ Statistics and Numerical Methods(R21)

1	TT52315	Dr T.Gunasekar	SI	63	61	4.70
2	TT52545	Dr. Narroda Devi, R.	SNM-I	56	49	4.70

Course Code / Title: 10210MA109/ Numerical Methods(R21)

1	TT52770	Senthil S	NM-I	55	46	4.40
2	TT52428	Dr Kalavani N	NM-I	23	22	4.50
3	TT52732	Dr A.Meenakshi	NM-I	27	21	4.30
4	TT53005	Dr B.Somasundaram	NM-II	5	5	4.40

Course Code / Title: 10210MA110 / Discrete Mathematical Structures(R21)

1	TT53667	Dr.Sivakumar, R.	D2	3	3	3.00
---	---------	------------------	----	---	---	------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA111 / Biostatistics(R21)

1	TT53541	Dr Balumurugan, M.	SI	64	56	4.40
---	---------	--------------------	----	----	----	------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA202 / Calculus and Differential Equations(R21)

1	TT52600	Saranya, P	S2	1	1	4.90
---	---------	------------	----	---	---	------

Course Code / Title: 10210MA203/ Vector Calculus and Complex Variable(R21)

1	TT52792	Dr G.M.Vijayalakshmi	SI	1	1	3.10
---	---------	----------------------	----	---	---	------

Course Code / Title: 10213MA101 / Operation Research(R21)

1	TT52649	Dr K.A. Venkatesan	MI	24	17	4.30
2	TT51962	Dr. Sathish Kumar, K.	MI	31	22	4.80
3	TT52600	Saranya, P	MI	37	31	4.50
4	TT51090	Dr D.Kanagayothi	MI	37	32	4.50
5	TT52792	Dr G.M.Vijayalakshmi	MI	22	21	4.50
6	TT52788	Sivaprakasam S	MI	23	21	4.60
7	TT53338	Sankar R	MI	40	38	4.70
8	TT5637	Dr. Dora Pravina C.T.	MI	39	31	4.50
9	TT52376	Dr Shanmuga Priya, R.	MI	39	25	4.70

1. Dr (P.K. Hemalatha) *Handwritten*  
2. Dr [Dr. M. Vijayalakshmi] *Handwritten*

Course Coordinators

HoD - Maths

*Handwritten*  
Dean - FME

Prof. Dr. J. Viswanath  
Head of the Department  
Mathematics

Dr. M. Sivakumar  
Dean - Freshman Engineering

Vel Tech  
Dr. S. Srinivasan  
Institute of Science and Technology  
Autonomous, Deemed to be University, Tamil Nadu, AICTE Approved, ISO 9001:2008

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Autonomous, Deemed to be University, Tamil Nadu, AICTE Approved, ISO 9001:2008

## DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

### WINTER SEMESTER 2023-24


#### INFERRENTIAL STATISTICS OF INTERIM FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

In AY 2023-24 (WS), two theory courses and one laboratory course were offered for first year B. Tech student as per the 2021 regulations.

- Applied Physics (10210PH103) was offered to the students of school of electronics and communication, school of mechanical engineering.
- Applied Physics Laboratory (10210PH302) was offered to the students of school of electronics and communication, school of mechanical engineering.
- Universal Human Values II (10210ME102) was offered to the students of school of computing, electronics and communication, and mechanical engineering.

Based on the feedback score attained by the faculties for their respective courses handled, the feedback statistical analysis has been made and following report is generated. The chart below gives us the average scores of the courses conducted by the physics department.

#### AVERAGE FEEDBACK – Department of Physics WS2023-24




The statistical analysis gives the following inferences:

- The highest feedback score obtained was 4.8 and the least one is 3.1.
- In most cases the feedback lies between 3.1 to 4.8 for all subjects offered.
- The least score was given by the slow learners to insist that special care to be taken for them.

- The students expected the faculty to be more audible.
- The students expected the faculty to use appropriate teaching technique to enhance.
- The students expected the faculty to clear the doubts by the faculty in the class room.

#### **Measures to be taken**

- Special class for the slow learners to be conducted by the individual faculty.
- Active learning method technique to be adopted for the active participation of the students and for clearing the doubts.
- The faculty spend time to clarify students doubt in the class itself.
- Necessary arrangements are taken for the students to receive their lecture notes study materials on time.
- Faculty are encouraged to attend faculty development programmes before the commencement of the next semester.



**HoD - Physics**

**Dr D. Senthilkumar**  
Head of the Department  
Physics

**Vel Tech**



## Department of Management Studies

### Interim Feedback Analysis

#### Summer Semester of Academic Year 2023-24-I MBA II SEM

This analysis evaluates teaching effectiveness among MBA faculty at Vel Tech during the Summer Semester of 2023-24. It reviews student feedback on clarity, engagement, accessibility, and overall satisfaction, with scores ranging from 1 to 5. The findings highlight strengths and areas for improvement, aiming to enhance teaching practices and student outcomes.

#### Marketing Management

Dr. Raja Priya has received an excellent feedback score of 4.5, reflecting strong student satisfaction. Her teaching effectiveness and approach are highly appreciated by her students.

Dr. Raja S. has received a feedback score of 4.2, His dynamic teaching style and timely syllabus completion have contributed to positive student evaluations.

#### Applied Operation Research

Mr. Arul Krishnan has received an impressive feedback score of 4.7, highlighting his effective teaching and strong student engagement.

Arul Krishnan has achieved a commendable score of 4.4, reflecting his effective teaching and student satisfaction

#### Financial Management

Dr. Lumina Julie R. has earned a solid feedback score of 4.3, Her clarity in explanations and supportive approach are highly valued by students.

Dr. Thandayudapani.S score 4.3 out of 5, he key parameters such as clarity, engagement, support for slow learners, and timely syllabus completion.

#### Business Ethics & Corporate Social Responsibility

Dr. Geetha Kesavaraj performed well, scoring 4.2 out of 5. highlighting her clarity, engagement, and support for slow learners.

Dr. Tamil Selvan.P has demonstrated strong teaching effectiveness, with an score of 4.3, providing simplified materials for slow learners and additional resources for advanced students to further enhance learning outcomes.

## **Operations Management**

Dr. Sankar Ganesh. R, received a strong score of 4.3, reflecting effective communication and clear explanations in the classroom.

Dr. P. Elantheraiyan achieved a commendable score of 4.4, reflecting his clarity in teaching, effective communication, and ability to complete the syllabus on time.

## **Human Resource Management**

Felisiya. M achieved a score of 4.3, showcasing her clarity in teaching and dynamic engagement. Her efforts in supporting students and using effective teaching methods are highly appreciated.

Dr. Rajapriya. M scored 4, reflecting consistent teaching performance. She provides additional resources to support diverse learner needs.

## **Data Analysis for Managers**

Dr. Lumina Julie. R achieved a score of 4.3, showcasing effective teaching and student engagement

Ashlin Nimo. J.R scored an impressive 4.6, demonstrating strong teaching effectiveness and engagement, with room for enhancing examination guidance and showcasing subject expertise.

## **Business Research Methods**

Dr. K. Ravishankar scored 4.4, showcasing effective teaching and student engagement, with opportunities to share successful methods and continue supporting learners effectively.


Dr. Thandayuthapani.S received a score of 4.0, reflecting his effective teaching while highlighting areas for improvement, such as providing more materials and clarifying doubts for slow learners.

## **Seminar-II Market Survey**

Dr. Tamil Selvan.P scored 4.1, demonstrating effective teaching while needing improvements in providing additional materials, and supporting slow learners.

Dr. G. Manoj received a score of 4.4, indicating strong teaching performance with clear communication, effective explanations, and a supportive approach to student learning.

## MBA II SEM



### Overall summary

The faculty members across various subjects are positive, with scores reflecting strong teaching effectiveness, student engagement, and clarity in communication. Dr. Raja Priya and Mr. Arul Krishnan stood out with scores of 4.5 and 4.7, respectively, for their ability to engage students and explain complex concepts effectively. Dr. Lumina Julie R. and Dr. P. Elantheraiyan showcased strong teaching abilities with scores of 4.3 and 4.4, with an emphasis on providing clear explanations and completing the syllabus on time. Areas for improvement, such as providing additional materials for advanced learners and clarifying doubts for slow learners, were identified for a few faculty members.

### Action taken

1. Provide Support for faculty to engage in research,
2. Faculty actively participate in workshops that focus on enhancing teaching methodologies, classroom management.

Feedback Coordinator

Dr. R. Ravishankar  
Head - Management Studies

Dr. M.S. Devariyappan  
Dean - School of Management

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Saravanan  
Institute of Science and Technology

Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Saravanan  
Institute of Science and Technology



**Department of Management Studies**

**Interim Feedback Analysis**

**Winter Semester of Academic Year 2023-24**

This analysis focuses on the winter semester of the 2023-24 academic year and assesses the efficacy of different faculty members in the Master of Business Administration program at Vel Tech. It methodically goes over input from students in a variety of courses, taking into account things like how easy the content is to access, how engaged the students are in the topic, and how satisfied they are with the course overall. The feedback scores, which run from 1 to 5, offer numerical assessments of faculty performance along with extra remarks that point out areas that could use improvement and are worth acknowledging. To guide improvements to teaching methods and student learning results, this thorough review attempts to pinpoint the curriculum's and pedagogical approaches' possibilities and strong points.

**Business strategy**

Dr. Sankar Ganesh. R with a score of 4.5 indicates strong teaching performance with a focus on clarifying important ideas, and concepts to the students and making it easier to understand.

Mr. Arul Krishnan.S. with a score of 4.7 shows good teaching quality with a focus on improving clarity in explaining important concepts to students.

**Social Media Marketing**

M. Felisiya's score of 4.8 denotes excellent teaching quality and a great dedication to the success and learning of the students.

**Fintech Banking Technology**

Dr. Tamilselvan.P score of 4.4 suggests a need for employing suitable teaching strategies and clarifying key concepts in subject.

**Human Resources Information System**

Dr. Lumina Julie. R scored 4.4, indicating room for improvement in ensuring material availability and accessibility for students.

Dr. Raja S scored 4.4 emphasizes the significance of making learning-materials accessible and shows a praiseworthy effort to make it easier for students to access resources that are crucial for their academic path.


## Managing Digital Innovation and Transformation

Ashlin Nimo, J.R. score of 4.8 highlights her exceptional quality and commitment, fostering a safe and stimulating learning environment conducive to academic success.

## EXIM and Custom Duties

Dr. G. Manoj's score of 4.7 highlights the effectiveness of his teaching techniques in EXIM and Custom Duties, suggesting a commitment to improving instructional methods for continued academic advancement.


### Feedback score for MBA course -Winter Sem 2023-2024




Over all summary: The feedback, which has excellent ratings ranging from 4.4 to 4.8, demonstrates the generally positive attitudes towards the faculty. While noting that there is still a need for growth in areas like teaching techniques, materials availability, etc. Overall, there is an acknowledgment of the commitment and efficacy of educators in creating learning opportunities, which calls for gratitude and support for their ongoing excellence.

#### Action taken

- Faculty-led training sessions: To share their knowledge and best practices with colleagues, invite seasoned faculty members to give workshops or training sessions.
- Cross-disciplinary cooperation: Provide faculty members from various departments the chance to work together on projects, exchange ideas, and gain knowledge from one another's experiences.

  
Feedback Coordinator

  
Dr. K. Ravishankar  
Head - Management Studies

  
Dr. M. S. R. Mariyappan  
Dean - School of Management

  
Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by MHRD, Govt. of India & AICTE, Approved by BCI, Govt. of India

  
Vel Tech  
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala  
R&D Institute of Science and Technology  
Approved by MHRD, Govt. of India & AICTE, Approved by BCI, Govt. of India