



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Department of Physics

School of Science and Humanities

Stakeholders Feedback on Curriculum


Faculty Feedback analysis for AY 2020-21

Faculty Feedback Analysis on course handled 2020-21

1. More than 90% of faculty members were satisfied with recent curriculum and course outcomes.
2. Around 75% of faculty members were highly satisfied with reference books available in our library.
3. More than 75% of faculty members were satisfied with adequateness of the total number of periods allotted to complete the delivery of the course contents.
4. Majority of 70% of faculty members were satisfied with the extent of pre-requisite knowledge of students with respect to learning of this course content.
5. Majority of 70% of faculty members were satisfied with freedom in accessing appropriate teaching aids for delivering the course.
6. Majority of 70% of faculty members were satisfied with classroom ambiance for students learning.
7. Majority of 70% of faculty members are satisfied with accessibility of e-learning resources for the students.
8. More than 60% of faculty members were satisfied with effectiveness of implementing ALM methods to the students.
9. More than 60% of faculty members were completed the faculty development program.
10. Around 75% of faculty members were satisfied with effectiveness of continuous assessments with respect to measurement of course outcomes.

S.No.	Criteria	High	Medium	Low
1	Are the syllabus contents of the course adequate to attain the course outcomes?	17	1	1
2	Are all the prescribed text and reference books of the course (Titles & Volume) available in our Library?	14	3	2
3	Adequateness of the total number of periods allotted to complete the delivery of the course contents	16	2	1
4	Extent of pre-requisite knowledge of students with respect to learning of this course content	15	2	2
5	Freedom in accessing appropriate teaching aids for delivering the course	15	2	2

6	Classroom ambiance for students learning	15	3	1
7	Accessibility of e-learning resources for the students	14	4	1
8	Effectiveness of Implementing ALM methods to the students	12	5	2
9	Have you attended any faculty development programme for this course?	16	2	1
10	Effectiveness of continuous assessments with respect to measurement of course outcomes	16	2	1




Students Feedback analysis for AY 2020-21


Based on the Students' feedback on curriculum design, the following are the observations:

1. 100% of the students were either fully satisfied or satisfied with the existing curriculum offered by the Department of Physics.
2. 100% of the students were either fully satisfied or satisfied with employability skills addressed in curriculum

3. 90% of the students were fully satisfied or satisfied with active participation in providing suggestions in curriculum design
4. Nearly 80% of students were satisfied with the curriculum design methodology followed by department
5. Nearly 50% students satisfied with curriculum updating.
6. Nearly 60% students were satisfied with the improvements in lab experiments
7. Nearly 70% of students were satisfied with the improvement in Teaching- learning practice
8. Around 70% of students are either very happy or happy with the available course.
9. more than 80% of students were satisfied with time available for course preparation
10. Around 80 % of students were satisfied with the opportunity and motivation in self-study
11. Around 85% of students were satisfied with the availability of course reference materials



S.No.	Criteria	High	Medium	Low
Curriculum Design				
1	BoS is taking care of current and relevance of the offering programme	6	8	0
2	Employability skills are addressed in curriculum	11	3	0
3	Active participation in providing suggestions in curriculum design	5	7	2
4	Curriculum design methodology followed by department	10	4	0
Frequency in curriculum update				
5	The curriculum is updated regularly	7	3	4
6	Improvements in lab experiments	8	3	3
7	Improvements in Teaching- learning practice	10	4	0
Suggestions and Improvements				
8	Students interest level in available course (List topics to be modified / removed)	9	5	0
9	Time available for course preparation	11	3	0
10	Opportunity and motivation in self-study	10	2	2
11	Availability of course reference materials	12	2	0


 Head of the Department
 Physics
Vel Tech
 Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
 R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Chartered to the University Estd. vide 3 of UGC Act, 1956



Vel Tech

Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Department of Physics

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Name: K. Nixanjani

ID No.: VTP 2811

Year: 2021

Batch: 2020 - 2022 (M.Sc. Physics).

S.No	Criteria	High	Medium	Low
Curriculum Design				
1	BoS is taking care of current and relevance of the offering programme		✓	
2	Employability skills are addressed in curriculum	✓		
3	Active participation in providing suggestions in curriculum design		✓	
4	Curriculum design methodology followed by department	✓		
Frequency in curriculum update				
5	The curriculum is updated regularly		✓	
6	Improvements in lab experiments	✓		
7	Improvements in Teaching- learning practice	✓		
Suggestions and Improvements				
8	Students interest level in available course (List topics to be modified / removed)		✓	
9	Time available for course preparation	✓		
10	Opportunity and motivation in self study	✓		
11	Availability of course reference materials	✓		
	Any other suggestions			


Signature



Vel Tech
Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala
R&D Institute of Science and Technology
(Deemed to be University Estd. u/s 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Department of Physics

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Name: *Singaravel.S.*

ID No.: VTP 2985

Year: 2021

Batch: 2020 - 2022 (M.Sc. Physics)

S.No	Criteria	High	Medium	Low
	Curriculum Design			
1	BoS is taking care of current and relevance of the offering programme		✓	
2	Employability skills are addressed in curriculum	✓		
3	Active participation in providing suggestions in curriculum design		✓	
4	Curriculum design methodology followed by department		✓	
	Frequency in curriculum update			✓
5	The curriculum is updated regularly			✓
6	Improvements in lab experiments			✓
7	Improvements in Teaching- learning practice			
	Suggestions and Improvements			
8	Students interest level in available course (List topics to be modified / removed)		✓	
9	Time available for course preparation	✓		
10	Opportunity and motivation in self study		✓	
11	Availability of course reference materials	✓		
	Any other suggestions			

Singavel
Signature

Faculty Feedback on Their Handled Course

Faculty Name: Dr. Pradeep Reddy, V

TTS No: 2412

Name of the Programme: M.Sc Physics

Academic Year: 2020-21

Semester: 2nd

Department: Physics

Course Title & Code: 60191PH102 Mathematical Physics

Give your feedback and valuable suggestions for the revision, modifications and inclusion in Course Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching aids and delivery methods.

[Make a Tick mark (✓) in the appropriate box]

1. Are the syllabus contents of the course adequate to attain the course outcomes?

Well adequate	☒	Just adequate	☐	Not adequate	☐
---------------	-------------------------------------	---------------	--------------------------	--------------	--------------------------

2. Are all the prescribed text and reference books of the course (Titles & Volume) available in our Library?

Well adequate	☐	Just adequate	☒	Not adequate	☐
---------------	--------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------	--------------	--------------------------

3. Adequateness of the total number of periods allotted to complete the delivery of the course contents

High	☒	Moderate	☐	Low	☐
------	-------------------------------------	----------	--------------------------	-----	--------------------------

4. Extent of pre-requisite knowledge of students with respect to learning of this course content

Excellent	☒	Good	☐	Poor	☐
-----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

5. Freedom in accessing appropriate teaching aids for delivering the course

Excellent	☐	Good	☒	Poor	☐
-----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

6. Classroom ambiance for students learning

Excellent	☐	Good	☒	Poor	☐
-----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

7. Accessibility of e-learning resources for the students

High		Moderate	☒	Low	
------	--	----------	-------------------------------------	-----	--

8. Effectiveness of Implementing ALM methods to the students

More Effective		Less Effective	☒	Not Effective	
----------------	--	----------------	-------------------------------------	---------------	--

9. Have you attended any faculty development programme for this course?

More than two		One or Two		Nil	☒
---------------	--	------------	--	-----	-------------------------------------

10. Effectiveness of continuous assessments with respect to measurement of course outcomes

More Effective	☒	Less Effective		Not Effective	
----------------	-------------------------------------	----------------	--	---------------	--

11. Any other Suggestions/ Comments for further Improvement

Slight modification need to be done in Syllabus


Signature of the Faculty:

TTS Number: 2412

Date: 25/06/2020

Faculty Feedback on Their Handled Course

Faculty Name: DR. S. SRIDHAR

TTS No: 2572

Name of the Programme: M.Sc

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Semester: I

Department: Physics

Course Title & Code: 60191PH104 - Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics

Give your feedback and valuable suggestions for the revision, modifications and inclusion in Course Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching aids and delivery methods.

[Make a Tick mark (✓) in the appropriate box]

1. Are the syllabus contents of the course adequate to attain the course outcomes?

Well adequate	☒	Just adequate	☐	Not adequate	☐
---------------	-------------------------------------	---------------	--------------------------	--------------	--------------------------

2. Are all the prescribed text and reference books of the course (Titles & Volume) available in our Library?

Well adequate	☐	Just adequate	☒	Not adequate	☐
---------------	--------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------	--------------	--------------------------

3. Adequateness of the total number of periods allotted to complete the delivery of the course contents

High	☐	Moderate	☒	Low	☐
------	--------------------------	----------	-------------------------------------	-----	--------------------------

4. Extent of pre-requisite knowledge of students with respect to learning of this course content

Excellent	☒	Good	☐	Poor	☐
-----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

5. Freedom in accessing appropriate teaching aids for delivering the course

Excellent	☒	Good	☐	Poor	☐
-----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

6. Classroom ambiance for students learning

Excellent	☒	Good	☐	Poor	☐
-----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

7. Accessibility of e-learning resources for the students

High		Moderate	☒	Low	
------	--	----------	-------------------------------------	-----	--

8. Effectiveness of Implementing ALM methods to the students

More Effective	☒	Less Effective		Not Effective	
----------------	-------------------------------------	----------------	--	---------------	--

9. Have you attended any faculty development programme for this course?

More than two		One or Two	☒	Nil	
---------------	--	------------	-------------------------------------	-----	--

10. Effectiveness of continuous assessments with respect to measurement of course outcomes

More Effective	☒	Less Effective		Not Effective	
----------------	-------------------------------------	----------------	--	---------------	--

11. Any other Suggestions/ Comments for further Improvement

<i>I^{unit} contains more contents. It should be modified</i>
--

Signature of the Faculty: 

Date: 1/3/2020

TTS Number: TTS2572